Wound healing activities of *Moringa oleifera* leaves extract cultivated in Kurdistan region-Iraq
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Abstract

Medicinal plants have been used for many years as an ancient curative method for treating and healing wounds in different cultures. Therefore, and accordingly this work has been conducted to study wound healing activity of *Moringa oleifera* leaves extract cultivated in the Kurdistan region in the northern Iraq (KRG). In the current investigation, experimentally-induced wounds in rats have been infected with strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clinically isolated from the wound site in hospitalized patients. High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) is used to determine some bioactive compounds within the extract. Plant leaf was extracted by using the maceration method and 70% ethanol as solvent. The HPLC results were dependent on comparison between the extract with standards. Two lacerated wounds were made on each animal at either side of the thoracolumbar spine and inoculated by a 0.4 ml bacterial suspension. The treatment regimen was for 14 days with different formulation of *ethanolic leaves* extract, and gentamicin ointment as a control positive. At the end point of the experimental trial, animals were euthanized humanly at day 15. Samples from healed-wounded skin was prepared for histological evaluation. Generally speaking, our findings indicated that alcoholic leaf extract showed potential wound healing property in different concentration as a dose-dependent manner of the extracted ointment 3.5%, 5%,10% particularly 10% of the extract formulation which showed better results in comparison to gentamicin ointment. The presented essential constituents for *Moringa* leaf derivatives were gallic acid (3461 ppm), catechin (1201 ppm), rutin (286 ppm) and quercetin (88 ppm). Last but not least, the extract was able to provide promising evidence to possess a drug formulation material.
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1. Introduction

The largest organ of the body is skin which acts as a barrier against external means. The loss of skin tissue integrity, as in the development of wound, can cause lesions or illnesses (Sussman and Bates, 2007). Disturbance of the cellular and anatomic progression of a tissue defined as the wound with or without microbial infection is happening due to cut with sharp-edged things or any accident (Sabale et al., 2012). It may occur due to chemical, immunological, physical and microbiological attacks to the tissue, leading to cellular disruption of tissue is occurring. Healing of wound is a procedure in which tissue regeneration occurs (Alam et al., 2012). Wound healing is a natural circumstance by which the body itself replacing normal structure functions and gets over the damage to the tissue. The skin wound healing process includes progress in different phases such as hemostasis, inflammatory, proliferative and remodeling (Eming et al., 2014). Natural sources of biologically active compounds were medicinal plants (Ji et al., 2009; Zhang and Ma, 2018). Some of these biologically active compounds have advantageous effects, which can be used to enhance human health (Mohammed and Manan, 2015). The usage of medicinal plants as an alternative to chemically synthesized drugs within the treatment of diseases has been accepted worldwide (Liew and Yong, 2016; Khdhir et al., 2019). Many medicinal plants are monitored for powerful, newer and low-cost wound-healing agents (Boakye et al., 2018). Any plants characterized and proved to be antioxidant, antimicrobial and antiproliferative can be used in the wound treatment as an ointment delivery system (Arun et al., 2016). Plants were used for this purpose, such as *Aloe vera*, *M. oleifera*, and *Kigelia Africana*, green tea, Echinacea, grapevine, ginseng, chamomile, rosemary (Pazyar et al., 2014). *M. oleifera* is a plant that belongs to the family Moringaceae. It is commonly known as the drumstick tree or horseradish tree and is native to India but grown in different parts of the world. *M. oleifera* can be characterized by its nutritional values and medical uses. The plant of *Moringa* includes various components, which are quercetin, kaempferol, vitamins, carotenoids, B-sitosterol, caffeoylquinic acid, zeatin, tannin, flavonoids, alkaloids, polyphenol, phenolic acid, oxalates, isothiocyanates saponins, glucosinolates and phytates (Anwar et al., 2020). Different methods to separation of these bioactive compounds such as high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) is a tool to determine qualitative...
and quantitative analysis of bioactive constituents such as phenol and flavonoids in the different plant parts (Nouman et al., 2016, Maqsood et al., 2017). Traditionally, leaf-paste of M. oleifera has been applied to infected wounds and treatment of sore eyes by traditional healers and has been shown to be successful (Rathi et al., 2006). Many studies have shown that the leaf, flower, bark, root, seed, and nearly all of M. oleifera plants exhibit antimicrobial activity including antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral and antiparasitic activity. Many medicinal uses were also reported, which include anti-inflammatory, anti-hypertensive, antioxidant, hepatoprotective, anti-diabetic, anticancer, analgesic activity, cholesterol-lowering activity, cardiac and circulatory stimulant (Nweze and Nawfor, 2014; Mbosso et al., 2018).

M. oleifera leaves cultivated in Kurdistan region (KRG) of Iraq have never been screened for wound healing activity in Kurdistan region. Therefore, the objectives of this study are to determine the healing properties of M. oleifera extract on an infected wound in male wistar albino rats and to identify some bioactive compounds present in leaves using HPLC method.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant collection and identification

Leaves of M. oleifera were collected from Baranan mountain when cultivated for the first time in KRG-Iraq. The Baranan mountain has rocky slopes and valleys. The Moringa plantations are situated [latitude: 35.18279°N, longitude: 45.65111°E and altitude: 879.1 masl. Annual rainfall: 450-700 mm, temperatures ranged from -5° C to 45°C] (Tahir et al., 2013). The collected aerial parts were air-dried then ground into powder using a mechanical grinder (GEEPAS) and packed into an ice-packed plastic container for further use.

2.1.1. Plant extraction

Fifty grams of collected powdered form of leaves weighed and extracted with 1000 ml of 70% ethanol by maceration, using shaker incubator (Daihan LABTECH) for 72 hrs at 40°C. The extract solution was filtered by using filter paper (Whatman No.1) and the filtrate concentrated at 45°C by rotary evaporator (Heidolph); then, the resulting extracts were freeze-dried by lyophilizer (Alpha 1-2 4Dplus) and the resultant crude powder was kept at -20°C until use (Vongsak et al., 2013).

2.2. Phytochemical analysis with the HPLC method

An amount of 0.5 g of plant powder was extracted with 10 ml of methanol (HPLC grade) for 1 h on a magnetic stirrer. The extract was achieved at room temperature and kept from light. After filtering the extract, the residue was re-extracted with the same volume of methanol, then both of the filtrates were mixed and evaporated to dryness. After that, it was dissolved in 5ml methanol (HPLC grade) to a final 1% concentration. Next, it was filtered using 0.45 μm membrane filters. Finally, the samples were stored at 4°C, aiming bioactive compound identification (Azeez et al., 2017). Different concentrations of standards were used, 15ppm of gallic acid, rutin, catechin and 10ppm of quercetin.

2.3. Isolation and identification of bacterial isolates

Clinical isolates of S. aureus and P. aeruginosa were isolated from swab samples from wound infection in patients attending Shar Hospital. The isolates were identified using Gram staining, microbiological analysis using differential media (Mannitol Salt Agar, Cetrimide Agar) and Biochemical tests (VITEC2).

2.4. Experimental design

The healthy adult male Wistar albino rats of about 200-300g body weight were used; Animals were attained from Department of Biology, College of Education, University of Sulaimani; they were housed in a controlled environment that was a 12 hours’ light/dark cycle, with a temperature of (23±5°C), and the rats were supplied with tap water and food ad libitum. The handling of animals and all of the experiments were carried out following the institutional guidelines and the Ethical Committee for Animal Research of Sulaimani University. The rats were housed individually in individual polyethylene cages kept one week before the experiment for adaptation. The rats were fasted overnight and then anesthetized as described by (Eyaref and Amid, 2010), with an intraperitoneal injection of 5% ketamine (35.0 mg/kg) and 2% xylazine (5.0 mg/kg). Two laceration wounds (3 cm long, 1cm deep) made on either side of the thoracolumbar spine of each rat were discussed by (Moscati et al., 1998). The dorsal hair of rats was shaved by an electric shaver and the surface was cleaned with antiseptic. Bleeding was restricted by using sterile gauze pressure. Following laceration, wounds were inoculated with (0.4 ml of inoculum for each S. aureus and p. aeruginosa) standardized inoculum by spectrophotometer (10^8 CFU/mL), let to not spread for 30 min.

The experimental rats were randomly divided into 7 groups with 6 animals per each group:

G1: wound without infection and treatment.
G3: infected wound treated with Vaseline.
G4: infected wound treated with Gentamicin ointment.
G5: infected wound treated with M. oleifera leaf ethanolic extract in 3.5% ointment base.
G6: infected wound treated with M. oleifera leaf ethanolic extract in 5% ointment base.
G7: infected wound treated with M. oleifera leaf ethanolic extract in 10% ointment base.

2.5. Ointment preparation

For preparing extract formulations, 1.75g (3.5%), 2.5g (5%), 5g (10%) of the extract mixed with 48.25g, 47.5g, 45g white Vaseline, respectively. All ingredients of leaves extract ointment were mixed in the mortar and stirring constantly until homogenous and form an ointment preparation, and put in a clean container for topical application during the experiment (Laut et al., 2019).

2.5.1. Treatment schedule

An amount of each of extract ointment, Gentamicin ointment as standard ointment and Vaseline was put in wounds once per day to treat different groups of animals for 14 days.
2.6. Histopathological Assessment

At day 15 of the experiment, the rats were slaughtered, tissue sections were taken from healed wounded skin for all rats, placed in 10% neutral buffered formalin solution for optimum fixation at the minimum 24hrs, at room temperature. Following fixation, the specimens were gradually dehydrated by using the ascending percentage of ethanol solution (60, 80, 90, and 98%, v/v), then cleared in xylene and embedded in paraffin. Histopathological tissue sections of 5 μm thickness were obtained and standard Hematoxylin and Eosin techniques were used for staining, and finally mounted on a glass slide then visualized under the light microscope (Prisacaru et al., 2013).

2.6.1. Semi-quantitative histopathological evaluation

As a quantitative measure, histological sections of skin wounds from each animal were estimated and measured in μm and statistically evaluated as a mean percentage. While inflammatory cells were counted in a randomly chosen 10 fields tissue sections under high power magnification (100X), then the mean average was calculated statistically in percentage. Moreover, the area of granulation tissue formation, proliferated collagen fibers and epidermal thickness was measured in μm, and semi quantitatively evaluated in the same manner as inflammatory cells. Tissue samples were analyzed under the light microscope by the mean of image analyzer software (AmScope Ver. 3.7) using a digital microscope camera (MU300). The mean percentage of calculated values were estimated as following lesion scores (score >75% as mild lesion; score 50-75% as moderate lesion; score <50% as a severe lesion) (Aziz et al., 2020).

2.7. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted with SPSS20 (USA) software. Differences between groups were evaluated by ANOVA followed by Tukey post hoc test.

3. Results

3.1. Phytochemical analysis with the HPLC method

The results of qualitative and quantitative estimation of some bioactive compounds in the crude ethanolic extract of *M. oleifera* leaves by using HPLC assay “which is for the first time estimated in Kurdistan Region” indicated the presence of gallic acid, rutin, quercetin and catechin based on the retention time of the extract with different standards as represented in (Table 1); different concentrations of the compounds were used.

(Figure 1, 2, 3, 4) HPLC analysis of different standards: gallic acids, rutin, quercetin and catechin respectively, with (Figure 5) HPLC chromatogram of the ethanolic extract of *M. oleifera*.

Quantity of each compound was shown in (Figure 6): gallic acid (3461 ppm), rutin (286 ppm) and quercetin (88 ppm) and catechin (1201 ppm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Retention time (Min.)</th>
<th>Peak area</th>
<th>Concentration of the standard compound (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gallic acid</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>100.314</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutin</td>
<td>9.55</td>
<td>259.897</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin</td>
<td>10.50</td>
<td>848.785</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catechin</td>
<td>11.90</td>
<td>916.878</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. HPLC analysis for gallic acid standard.

Figure 2. HPLC analysis for rutin standard.

Figure 3. HPLC analysis for quercetin standard.

Figure 4. HPLC analysis for catechin standard.
substances in the dermis (GS). The section also shows the bacterial colonies. Besides, the section reveals the exudate (IE) mixed with many inflammatory cells and shows a remarkable amount of fibrinous inflammatory amount of necrotic debris at the epidermal layer. When vessels can also be seen. The section shows a considerable presence of some giant cells, and newly formed blood indicates the formation of a significant amount of some (HF). The section (group3a) shows extended longitudinal section of newly formed blood vessels and reveal a significant loss (GT) formation, with an obvious (Figure 8) group3a, gram-positive, vaseline treated group presence of many hair follicles (HF). Examination of gram-positive bacteria epidermal tissue (ET) which were thicker than group3b. collagen bundles (CB), in addition the section showed comparison to those treated with 5% and 3.5% of plant extract and gentamicin treated groups. However, it is important to acknowledge that these observations were only based on the microscopic level to the changes occurred inside the wound which treated during fourteen days.

Table 2 represents the semi-quantitative measurement of wound healing properties of M. oleifera leaves. Since wound healing histological biomarkers show a significant increase in G1 control wound and other treatment groups including G3a, G4a and G7a which comprise vaseline, gentamicin and 10% plant extract formulation treatment group respectively, these treatment groups have been infected with gram-positive bacteria showing significant P<0.05 increment in (GT) and (CF) proliferation as well as
proper re-epithelialization. While wounds infected with both gram-positive (G2a) and gram-negative (G2b) bacteria and didn’t receive any treatment (untreated infected wounds) shows unfortunate healing behavior in comparison with the rest treatment groups. On the other hand, other treatment groups for both gram-positive and gram-negative bacterial infection express moderate healing properties in comparison with G3a, G4a and G7a, which display significant healing performance among other groups. Thus, in conclusion, and according to lesion scoring shown in (Table 2), plant extract treatment groups show healing properties in all infected wounds, particularly in gram-positive groups in comparison to chemically synthesized ointment as positive control. However, it is in a dose-dependent manner.

Figure 7. Photomicrograph of skin from groups; (G1) wound untreated group shows diffuse dissemination of loose (GT), which is interlaced with newly formed (BV) and (yellow arrows). The section shows deep pink necrotic debris at the skin surface mixed with inflammatory cells (ND). Dispersion of pink amorphous ground substances (GS). The upper right section shows apparent epidermal regeneration (EP). (G2a) gram-positive, untreated group indicates the formation of (GT) at the dermal layer with the presence of some giant cells (yellow arrow). Newly formed (BV) can also be seen. The section shows a considerable amount of necrotic debris (ND). (G2b) gram-negative, untreated group demonstrates deposition of fibrinous inflammatory exudate (IE) mixed with many inflammatory cells and bacterial colonies (yellow arrows). In addition, the section reveals the deposition of pinkish pretentious (GS) in the dermis together with the presence of (ND). The section also shows the presence of many (HF). H&E. Scale bars: 4 mm.

Figure 8. Photomicrograph of skin from groups; (G3a) gram-positive, vaseline group, reveals a significant loose (GT) formation, with an obvious longitudinal section of newly formed (BV) (yellow arrows). The section shows proliferated (CF), nearly thick epidermal layer (EP) and some (HF). (G3b) gram-negative, vaseline group, show proliferation of dense collagenous (GT). The area displays pinkish randomly running (CF). In addition, the section shows many (HF) and sebaceous glands (yellow arrows). (G4a) gram-positive, gentamicin treatment group, show formation of (GT) together with perpendicular newly formed (BV). Yellow arrows indicate significant regeneration of the epidermal layer. The area also shows some sections of (HF). (G4b) gram-negative, gentamicin treatment group, reveal the development of (GT) at the wound area, assorted with clear deposition of pinkish (GS), together with the apparent formation of new (BV) (yellow arrows) and proliferated (CF) along with the regenerative epithelial layer (EP). H&E. Scale bars: 4 mm.
Figure 9. Photomicrograph of skin from groups; (G5a) gram-positive, 3.5% plant extract treatment group, reveals prominent fibrous connective tissue proliferation and (GT) formation. Marked deposition of eosinophilic (GS) in the dermis. The section shows the reformation of many (HF) (yellow arrows). (G5b) gram-negative, 3.5% plant extract treatment group, displays formation and proliferation of (GT) in which extended and interlaced newly formed (BV) (yellow arrow). The proliferation of (CF) within the dermal layer, regeneration of some (HF) and the epidermis (EP) can also be seen. (G6a) gram-positive, 5% plant extract treatment group, demonstrates prominent (GT) formation together with the deposition of pinkish (GS). The section reveals the regenerative proliferation of (CF) and epidermal layers (yellow arrow). (G7a) gram-positive, 10% plant extract treatment group, show distinct proliferation of (CF) interlacing with (GT) formation. Presence of many newly formed sebaceous glands and (HF) (yellow arrows). The section also shows excessive regeneration of epithelial tissue leading to the formation of many epidermal papillae (EP). H&E. Scale bars: 4 mm.

Table 2. Histological quantitative evaluation of skin wound with different treatment values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental Groups</th>
<th>Granulation Tissue Formation* (Mean %)**</th>
<th>Inflammatory Cells Infiltration (Mean %)**</th>
<th>Collagen Fibers Proliferation* (Mean %)**</th>
<th>Skin Epidermal regeneration* (Mean %)**</th>
<th>Lesion Scoring (0-100%)</th>
<th>Lesion Grading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N=6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G1) CWG†</td>
<td>78.9 b</td>
<td>64.1 c</td>
<td>73.7 a</td>
<td>61.7 c</td>
<td>60-100</td>
<td>Mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G2a) G+ve WUG</td>
<td>57.4 c</td>
<td>74.3 b</td>
<td>58.5 c</td>
<td>44.8 c</td>
<td>40-75</td>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G2b) G-ve WUG</td>
<td>24.2 c</td>
<td>87.9 a</td>
<td>21.2 c</td>
<td>28.2 c</td>
<td>20-75</td>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G3a) G+ve + VTG</td>
<td>84.6 A</td>
<td>77.5 a</td>
<td>85.1 A</td>
<td>71.4 s</td>
<td>70-100</td>
<td>Mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G3b) G-ve + VTG</td>
<td>65.4 c</td>
<td>73.6 b</td>
<td>68.2 b</td>
<td>64.9 c</td>
<td>60-75</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G4a) G+ve + GTG</td>
<td>79.2 A</td>
<td>70.6 b</td>
<td>84.8 A</td>
<td>63.8 c</td>
<td>60-85</td>
<td>Mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G4b) G-ve + GTG</td>
<td>61.6 c</td>
<td>69.2 b</td>
<td>59.7 c</td>
<td>60.5 c</td>
<td>60-75</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G5a) G+ve + 3.5%PETG</td>
<td>60.7 c</td>
<td>64.8 c</td>
<td>61.8 c</td>
<td>68.3 b</td>
<td>60-75</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G5b) G+ve + 3.5%PETG</td>
<td>54.2 D</td>
<td>69.4 b</td>
<td>53.9 D</td>
<td>59.4 c</td>
<td>50-75</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G6a) G+ve + 5%PETG</td>
<td>62.4 c</td>
<td>60.6 c</td>
<td>68.6 b</td>
<td>67.8 b</td>
<td>60-75</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G6b) G-ve + 5%PETG</td>
<td>60.7 c</td>
<td>67.9 b</td>
<td>61.3 c</td>
<td>62.6 c</td>
<td>60-75</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G7a) G+ve + 10%PETG</td>
<td>74.3 b</td>
<td>54.6 D</td>
<td>80.8 A</td>
<td>73.6 b</td>
<td>50-85</td>
<td>Mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G7b) G-ve + 10%PETG</td>
<td>65.7 b</td>
<td>60.3 c</td>
<td>72.6 b</td>
<td>68.4 b</td>
<td>60-75</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Area of granulation tissue formation, collagen fibers proliferation and skin epidermal regeneration were estimated in (µm). **Each value represents the mean percentage of (n=6). #Statistical comparison among groups: Mean values with different capital letters have significant differences at (P < 0.05). FG1: Control wound group; G2a: Gram-positive and wound untreated group; G2b: Gram-negative and wound untreated group; G3a: Gram-positive and vaseline treatment group; G3b: Gram-negative and vaseline treatment group; G4a: Gram-positive and gentamicin treatment group; G4b: Gram-negative and gentamicin treatment group; G5a: Gram-positive and 3.5%plant extract treatment group; G5b: Gram-negative and 3.5%plant extract treatment group; G6a: Gram-positive and 5%plant extract treatment group; G6b: Gram-negative and 5%plant extract treatment group; G7a: Gram-positive and 10%plant extract treatment group; G7b: Gram-negative and 10%plant extract treatment group.
4. Discussion

Owing to the occurrence of various secondary metabolites as alkaloids, tannins, glycosides and flavonoids, medicinal plants were lauded for their various pharmacological actions (Ali et al., 2008) (Pham et al., 2008). The current study determines the quality and quantity of some bioactive compounds in M. oleifera leaf by using HPLC method for the first time estimated in Kurdistan region. Determining of these compounds is correlated with the previous study which detected quercetin and rutin in methanolic extract of M. oleifera leaf (Khudaer et al., 2016). The presence of different phenolic acids such as Vanillic, Caffeic, Chlorogenic, Cinnamic, Coumaric, Ferulic, Syringic in the methanolic extract is inconsistent with our study in ethanolic extract; also, this study confirmed the presence of quercetin and rutin as our result (Al-shamma, 2014). Detection of gallic acid and quercetin is correlated with our study by (Niranjan et al., 2017). The presence of different phenolic compounds such as catechin, gallic acid, rutin and quercetin was detected by (Oboh et al., 2015).

Moringa is a medicinal plant rich in phenols (gallic acid, p-coumaric acid and ferulic acid) flavonoids (Catechin, quercetin, kaempferol and niaziminic) (Tahir et al., 2020). Analysis of bioactive compounds by HPLC is affected by several factors, including purification of the sample, mobile phase, detectors and sorts of column (Katsube et al., 2004).

There are a number of wound contaminants which invade wound after occurring when it is exposed to external environments such as Escherichia coli, S. aureus and P. aeruginosa (Bowler et al., 2001). Wound healing is a complex and dynamic process in which the structure of cells and tissue layer of injured tissue are restoring into its natural state as carefully as possible. The healing process can be classified as a natural body mechanism to re-generating of epidermal and dermal tissues. It is clear that the rapidity of healing is directly related to providing suitable conditions of the damaged area to overcome the wound and the damage (Periyanyagam and Karthikeyan, 2013). Eventual agents to wound healing are plant products and mostly preferred due to their broad accessibility, non-toxicity, efficacy as crude preparations and little or lack of undesirable side effects (Kodati et al., 2011). The present investigation describes the wound healing activity of M. oleifera leaves; the crude extracts exhibited higher potency in infected rats with S. aureus and P. aeruginosa than the infected rats with no treatment and those treated by Vaseline and gentamicin ointment. Phytochemical analysis of M. oleifera indicated important classes of secondary metabolites that play an active role in wound healing and inhibition of microbial growth. Phytochemicals in M. oleifera which may speed up wound healing in rabbits (Kasolo et al., 2010). Topical application of M. oleifera leaves ethanolic extract in 3.5%, 5% and 10% ointment base stimulated wound healing in 14 days may due to the presence of flavonoids and phenols that may enhance vascularity, collagen synthesis promotes collagen cross linked (Kirubanandan and Bharathi, 2016). The result of this study is in agreement with the previous study which showed leaf ethyl acetate of M. oleifera extract had a potential therapeutic agent for healing wound through increasing wound closure rate and promote fibroblast proliferation examined histologically (Gothai et al., 2016). Topical use of ointment with 3.5% and 5% of the extract influenced rapid wound closure rate, leading to faster epithelialization, granulation tissue resolution, and maturation at histology (Coker et al., 2018). Other studies reported that M. oleifera leaves contain nitrogen containing naturally occurring compounds which are called alkaloids which make them capable to intercalate with microorganism’s DNA, hence they have antimicrobial properties. Tannins and flavonoids have been investigated and had shown antioxidant activity that enhance wound healing. Therefore, the high antioxidant activity of Moringa genus mostly because of its higher concentration of flavonoids (Wang et al., 2017). Presence of high phenolic content in the extracts of different plants may be responsible for the free radical scavenging activity of the extracts (Devika et al., 2016). Phenolic compounds, specifically tannins, and flavonoids are familiar to antimicrobial effects and facilitate faster skin replacement. Quality of phenolic compounds, the interaction of these metabolites, and their quantities in an extract sometimes give a connection with activity since the bioactivity of the secondary metabolites, including phenolic compounds. In tissue healing processes and skin burns, phenolic protein complexes produce a film that prevent chemical damage and microbial infection as this film prevents dehydration and make a physical barrier to damaged tissue (Luseba et al., 2007). Daily application of antibiotic ointments, besides the antibacterial activity provides moisture to the scar to help boost scar products by rapid epithelialization. This explains better scar results in our gentamicin ointment treated group that shows more re-epithelialization, fibrous granulation tissue consisting of fibrous connective tissue and newly formed blood vessels, mature collagen bundles in the dermal connective tissue and healthy hair follicles. Ointment formulation containing ethanol leaf extract of cultivated M. oleifera demonstrated better wound healing activity through reduced contraction rate and epithelialization period. Ethyl acetate fraction of M. oleifera was assessed in scratch assay and showed important constituents which effective in promoting cell proliferation and migration to close wound area (Gothai et al., 2016). Many polyphenolic compounds are able to reduce the expression of different pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as monocyte chemo attractant protein MCP-1, tumor necrosis factor TNF-α, interleukin IL-1β, IL-6, in many cell types (Comalada et al., 2006). Quercetin is one of the bioactive compounds analysed through HPLC method in the present study. It has anti-inflammatory mechanism by inhibition of the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines in the mast cell and suppression of TNF-α, thus, effect the wound healing (Pan et al., 2009). The use of the extract in ointment is more affective due to prolonged contact between extract with the wound area and it enhances the delivery of the extract to the wound site (Coker et al., 2018).

Finally, the present study suggested that ethanolic extract of M. oleifera leaves in different formulations promotes wound healing activity as the extract contains several bioactive compounds that possess an anti-inflammatory effect improving effective wound healing.
5. Conclusion

The current study confirms that leaves of *M. oleifera* cultivated in the Kurdistan region, Iraq, potentially exert wound healing activity in rats. It may be due to the presence of diverse bioactive compounds in different concentration such as gallic acid, rutin, quercetin and catechin. All these mixes are effective anti-inflammatory compounds which promote wound healing. Further studies to isolate and purified active compounds are needed to find the exact mechanism of *M. oleifera* leaves on wound healing.
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