

Molecular Characteristic of *Fusarium oxysporum* from Different Altitudes in East Java, Indonesia

Henik Sukorini^{1,*}, Erfan Dani Septia¹, Lili Zalizar² and Netnapis Khewkhom³

¹Department of Agrotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture and Animal Science, University of Muhammadiyah Malang, Jl. Raya Tlogomas no 246 Malang 65145, East Java, Indonesia; ²Department of Animal Science, University of Muhammadiyah Malang; ³Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Kasetsart University, 50 Thanon Ngamwongwan, Lat Yao, Chatuchak, Bangkok 10900, Thailand

Received: Feb 20, 2021; Revised: May 28, 2021; Accepted May 29, 2021

Abstract

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) is one of the most economically important vegetable crops in Indonesia. Tomato diseases caused by fungi are transmitted by seed or transplants. *Fusarium* wilt disease is a cosmopolitan species caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* Schlecht. Emend. Snyder & Hansen. Among this special attention of disease caused by *F. oxysporum* has been given to stem and root rotting. Six selected *Fusarium* samples from previous research were prepared using a single spore method and cultured in the PDB medium. The Research carried out in the Agrotechnology Laboratory of the University of Muhammadiyah Malang. DNA extraction and PCR used ITS 1 and ITS 4, electrophoresis, and data analysis was achieved at the Genetic and Molecular Laboratory of the Biology Department of the Faculty of Science and Technology, Maulana Malik Ibrahim Malang Islamic State University. Isolate code 3313439 originating from Karangploso soil (515 m a.s.l) and code 3313428 derived from the soil of Blitar (156 m a.s.l.) showed species similarity to *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* strain CBS249.52. Then for sample 3313426, the roots of Pujon have similarities with the strain of *F. oxysporum* S58. Besides, samples of 3313 422 Blitar roots, 3313 424 Karangploso roots, and 3313 432 Pujon soils (956 m a.s.l.) showed proximity to species *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *pisi* HG423346. The samples were in one clade with the nucleotide base sequences of two other *F. oxysporum* species recorded in the NCBI Genbank database. Differences in species will likely affect the pathogenicity, growth rate, spore production, and disease control management.

Keywords: Fungi, *Fusarium* wilt, Molecular Identification, Plant Pathology, Tomato

1. Introduction

Globally, one of the most economically important crops is the Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) (Aydi-Ben-Abdallah et al., 2020). Several economically essential tomato diseases caused by fungi are transmitted by seed or transplants. *Fusarium oxysporum* f. *slycopersici* Schlecht. Snyder & Hansen (FOL) is the causal agent of fusarium wilt disease on tomatoes. It is a cosmopolitan species that can be found in all types of soil. Ignjatov et al. (2012) reported healthy plants could become infected by *F. oxysporum* if the soil in which they are growing is infested with the pathogen. FOL spread through short distances, mainly through irrigation water and contaminated farm equipment, and it can spread long distances through infected transplants, soils, etc. (Agrios, 2005). Special attention to disease has been given to the rotting of stems and roots caused by *Fusarium* sp. Based on the symptoms of the disease caused by *Fusarium* sp. indistinguishable. Control of this disease is ~~also~~ still problematic. The use of chemicals such as methyl bromide is quite effective for disease management but impacts humans and the environment. The use of resistant varieties has also been carried out. This method is environmentally friendly but requires a lot of money. The ease with which pathogens form new strains and break the resistance of varieties

causes this disease to be difficult to control (Xie et al., 2015).

Biju et al. (2017) reported three known FOL races (Races 1, 2 and 3) pathogens of tomato cultivars are distinguishable by their principle resistance genes. Races 1 and 2 are grown through the tomato-growing regions globally. Race 3 has been reported in countries such as California, Australia, Southwestern Georgia, and Mexico. Most commercial tomato varieties grown through the world are resistant to race 1 and 2, and a few are resistant to race 3. Certainly, once a region becomes contaminated with FOL, the fungus usually remains indefinitely (Animashaun et al., 2017; Prihatna et al., 2018). Pathogenic isolates from three different heights, namely low, medium, high altitude, have different colony colors, sporulation power, and growth rate. The ability to survive at high temperatures and resistance to Mancozeb 64 % + Metalaxyl 8 % and Benomil 50 % fungicide also varies even though the growth inhibition value is below 60 % (Henik et al., 2021). This, of course, will affect the success of controlling this pathogen. Therefore, molecular identification is required.

Identification can be made in two ways consisting of morphological and molecular character identification. Molecular character identification is based on the similarity of DNA (Alsohaili and Bani-Hasan, 2018)

* Corresponding author e-mail: hsukorini@yahoo.com.

The genus *Fusarium* has often served as a testing ground for new speciation concepts in fungi (Hsuan *et al.* 2011). The use of molecular approaches to differentiate species has been tried with many strains usually considered problematic, i.e. not fitting within a given species but not distinguishable from it. Studies of the grouping patterns resulting from studies with amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLPs) and phylogenetic lineages based on multiple-gene genealogies provide new means of evaluating relatedness.

This research is a follow-up study from previous studies, namely the control of *Fusarium* sp wilt in tomato plants and *Alternaria* sp in potato plants. Henik *et al.* (2021) reported earlier studies, *F. oxysporum* from tomatoes originating from different altitude and parts of plants with spore shape and size characters. The same, but has other growth characters, so too has different pathogenicity and virulence. Therefore, it is necessary to reveal more about the differences in isolates from regions with different altitudes with molecular character identification.

2. Method

2.1. Single spore isolation technique for *F. oxysporum* isolates

Soil and tomato roots samples were taken from tomato growing areas in Blitar (156 m a.s.l.), Karangploso (515 m a.s.l.), and Pujon (956 m a.s.l.). After preparation, isolates were grown on PDA media (Merck). Then the isolates were made suspended and transferred to the water agar media. A suspension of conidia either from a sporodochium or aerial mycelium was prepared in 5 mL sterile water in a sterile vial. The conidial suspension was scraped by an L-shape inoculating needle several times and streaked across the water agar plate. The plate was incubated for 12 h to 24 h at 25 °C; after that, it was examined under a dissecting microscope (Olympus CX43). A lot of germinating conidia appeared on the inoculation, and by following the streaked lines using the low power of the microscope, single germinating conidia could be observed. Finally, identification of *F. oxysporum* cultures was accomplished (Niemeyer and De Andrade, 2016).

Soil and tomato root samples were taken from tomato growing areas in Blitar (156 masl), Karangploso (515 m a.s.l.), and Pujon (956 m.a.s.l.). Isolation was carried out using the method (El-shafey, 2020) with slight modifications in the medium. Isolation was carried out by growing the sample on PDA (Merck) media until pure isolates were obtained. This will be used for further investigations. 0.1uL of *Fusarium* isolates were then grown in water agar media for 24 h, then a single spore from *Fusarium* was cut and grown on new PDA media in Petri dish for 7 d at 25 °C. The growing isolates were then used for DNA testing and stored in the refrigerator for collection and other purposes. Isolates aged 7 d were then harvested for DNA testing.

2.2. Isolate preparation

Isolates grown on PDA media (Merck) were then developed into PDB media (potato dextrose broth - Merck) and incubated in water bath shakers for 7 d to 9 d until the mycelium grew. The mycelium can be harvested, put into

an Eppendorf tube, then added 500 mL Mili Q water and be ready for extraction with centrifuged at 10 000 rpm (1 rpm=1/60 Hz) for 10 min (Hussain *et al.*, 2012).

2.3. Fungi DNA extraction

PCR Preparation Based on isolate preparation, the supernatant was taken with a micropipette and crushed with pastel until it became colloidal. Colloids were added with Reagent 1 as much as 300 mL, then homogenized using tips from the micropipette. Added 3 µL of RNase, homogenized using tips, and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C in a water bath, then added Reagent 2 for 200 µL, strong shaking for ± 10 min set for 10 min at room temperature, put in the freezer for 20 min. After freezing, added 250 µ of chloroform and 250 µ of phenol. It was homogenized for ± 4 min, centrifuged (DLAB High-Speed Refrigerated Micro-Centrifuge D3024R) at 10 000 rpm for 10 min at the temperature of 14 °C. The supernatant was taken, plus isopropanol, in half of the sample volume (250 µL), then reversed slowly to homogeneous. Centrifuged at 10 000 rpm for 10 min, then the supernatant was removed. Added 50 µL ethanol 99 %, then centrifuged with a speed of 10 000 rpm for 10 min; the supernatant was disposed of by pouring. It was dried up by turning the microtube over the tissue by opening the lid for ± 30 min. Added Nuclease free water as much as 100 µL (lots) and 50µL (a little).

2.4. Polymerase Chain Reaction

The DNA obtained was then multiplied by a polymerase chain reaction. The primers used for this PCR process were ITS 1 and ITS primers 4. The primer ITS1 (5'-TCT GTA GGT GAA CCT GCG G3') and ITS4 (5'-TCC TCC GCT TAT TGA TAT GC-3'). The PCR solution mixture was 1 uL to 2 uL primer, 5 uL DNA samples, and 6 uL PCR mix (Half reaction) (Singha *et al.*, 2017). The PCR condition was run in 5 min 94 °C for pre-denaturation, then followed by 35 cycles where each cycle consisted of 30s, 94 °C for denaturation, 30s, 50°C for annealing, and 1 min temperature 72 °C centigrade for extension; then ended with 1 min cycle with a temperature of 72 °C. The particular annealing temperature was also tested at 48 °C as the annealing temperature recommended by primer pairs.

2.5. Agarose Preparation

mixed 1 % agarose (0.3 g) with 30 mL TBE 1× (from Tris base and boric acid 10×) in an Erlenmeyer tube. Agarose was dissolved by heating it to the microwave for ± 2 min until the solution was dissolved entirely then add ETBR 2 µL and pour it into a gel mold into a gel mold that has been fitted with a comb The gel was allowed to hard for ± 30 min.

2.6. Electrophoresis preparation

After the comb was removed from the mold, then the gel was transferred into the electrophoresis tank. The 3 µL DNA solution was mixed with loading dye 1µL and 2µL on parafilm paper, then the mixture and marker were put into the agarose gel well. Adjust the position of the agarose gel in electrophoresis. After combining DNA loading, dye and water were inserted into the well. The electrophoresis device has adjusted the electrophoresis with 100 V and 25 min later running. Electrophoresis was complete. The agarose gel lifted in the agarose gel was brought into the doc gel illuminated with a UV-

Transilluminator and gel photographed with the gel documentation to visualize the results.

2.7. Measurement of concentration and purity of extracted DNA

The concentration and purity of DNA extracted using NanoDrop with two different wavelengths, wavelengths 260 and 280. The purity of DNA can be determined by dividing the results of the NanoDrop measurements of both wavelengths (Srinivas *et al.*, 2019), while the DNA concentration was obtained directly from the NanoDrop.

2.8. Analyzing the results of reading Gel Doc

Analyzing the results of reading Gel Doc was done qualitatively by observing and determining the band's size that comes out with PCR-electrophoresis results compared to the marker. This information was then compared with the literature to ascertain whether the target DNA location obtained was precisely the location of ITS (Adame-García, 2015).

2.9. Sequencing the results of amplification of DNA samples

The results of the DNA sample amplification obtained were then sent to PT. Indonesian Science Genetics for sequencing. The 1st Base company carried out the sequencing process, Axil Scientific Pte Ltd., Based in Singapore. The material used for DNA sequencing is BigDye® Terminator v3.1 Cycle Kit Chemistry.

2.10. Data Analysis of Sequencing Results

Sequencing results based on ITS 1 or ITS 4 primers for each isolate were aligned and then edited using Mega v.10 software by referring to the chromatogram and being converted to fasta. The data in the form of fasta were further analyzed by looking for similarities using the basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) program from the GeneBank Gen database owned by the National Center of Biotechnology Information / NCBI.

BLAST was used to determine which species has the closest homology. Ambiguous areas in parallel sequences were omitted from the analysis. The gap was considered missing data (Pinaría, *et al.*, 2015). The phylogeny tree's evolutionary history was inferred based on the Neighbor-Joining method (Cañizares *et al.*, 2015). The evolutionary distance was calculated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method (Chala, *et al.*, 2019). And it was in units of base substitution per site. Evolution analysis was carried out with the MEGA X version application (Nirmaladevi, *et al.* 2016). Preparation of the genetic distance matrix *F. oxysporum* Karangploso sample with several species genes recorded in GenBank was also carried out using the MEGA X version application.

3. Result and Discussion

The isolates used in this research had the highest sporulation and growth rates from previous studies, namely those from roots and soil from three high, medium, and low land altitudes. (Henik *et al.*, 2021). Morphologically, *Fusarium* species are identified by several morphological characteristics. One of the notable

features is the development of various shapes and sizes of macro and microconidia. Other structures that they form are called chlamydospores spores (El Kichaoui *et al.*, 2017; Raghu *et al.* 2016), and also identified based on the growth rate and their pigmentations on agar media (Leslie *et al.*, 2008).

Moreover, morphological identification can be quite difficult among the *Fusarium* species (Lievens *et al.*, 2008). The sequence information using ITS regions has been immensely used in the phylogeny and taxonomy of *Fusarium* species (Menezes *et al.*, 2010) as ITS regions have successfully identified them (Chen *et al.*, 2004). ITS is differentiated into ITS1 and ITS2 (genes 18S to 5.8S and 5.8S to 28S, respectively) (Hillis and Dixon, 1991). There are more than 172 000 fungal ITS sequences present in Genbank. The result showed the thickness of DNA bands that varied from those showing thin bands to thick bands (Figure 1). The thickness of the tape is related to the concentration of DNA isolation results. The thin ribbon shows that the DNA concentration produced from the extraction process is low, while the thick band indicates the low concentration of DNA from extraction (Menu, *et al.*, 2018).




Figure 1. The amplification of *Fusarium* DNA samples using primary pairs ITS 1 and ITS 4 with an annealing temperature of 50 °C at three different altitudes (156 m a.s.l, 515 m a.s.l. and 956 m a.s.l.). AB= Blitar root, AK= Karangploso root, AP= Pujon root, TB= Blitar soil, TK= Karangploso soil, TP= Pujon soil .

Electrophoresis results show a band with a smear (Figure 1). Smear is the remainder of the solutions that are still carried during the isolation process and can also be degraded DNA during the isolation process (González-Mendoza, *et al.*, 2015). The process of DNA degradation at the stage of isolation can be caused by mixing the solution using a vortex, which aims to help cell lysis so that some DNA comes out and is fragmented and causes smears when electrophoresed (Campbell *et al.*, 2010).

The results of *Fusarium* sample DNA amplification with a temperature of 48 °C annealing in the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) process showed no amplification in each sample DNA tested. The thin bands below show a size that is much smaller than 250 bp. Allegedly, these bands are a visual form of primers' formation during polymerized Chain Reaction (PCR). Primers-dimers are not the result of the desired target DNA amplification. It can be seen from the size of the resulting tape that it is between 500 bp and 750 bp, but there are still smears.




Figure 2. Phylogeny tree of *Fusarium oxysporum* at three different altitudes.

Based on the results of the evolution of tree evolution (phylogeny tree) and the similarity of nucleotide base sequences originating from the Internal Spacer (ITS) region,

F. oxysporum from six samples consisting of soil samples and roots of tomato plants at three different altitudes (156 m a.s.l., 515 m a.s.l. and 956 m a.s.l.), it was found that code 3313439 derived from Karangploso soil and code 3313428 originating from Blitar soil showed similarity of species with *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lycopersici* strain 249.52. A sample of 3313426 pujon roots has similarities with *Fusarium oxysporum* strain S58. Besides, samples of 3313422 Blitar roots, 3313424 roots of Karangploso, and 3313432 Pujon soil showed closeness to the species *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *pisi* HG423346. That sample is in one clade with a nucleotide base sequence; other *Fusarium oxysporum* species have been recorded in the NCBI Genbank database. This third sample forms a monophyletic group with each other. In other parts of the branching, all groups incorporated in the *F. oxysporum* taxon species are polyphyletic with taxon members of Genus *Fusarium* sp. others. Knowledge of *Fusarium* wilt symptoms as a result of in depth is required. Tomato plants at the same altitudes have different closeness to *Fusarium* species or forma species in one area. It might affect the resistance of heat, fungicides, and different pathogenicity (Henik, *et al.*, 2021) and show variations in pathogenicity, response to management systems, environment, and host differences (Hami *et al.*, 2021).

Campbell *et al.*, (2014) reported that a taxon is monophyletic if its single ancestor produces a whole derivative species in the taxon and not a species in another taxon, polyphyletic. If its members come from several ancestors that are not the same for all members, and paraphyletic if the taxon does not include species with grandmothers, the same ancestor in a member of a species towards another species. Branching like this can occur when two types of molecular characters specific to different homologous DNA species over time change due to various conditions (Nath, *et al.*, 2017). The situation is like a point mutation that removes a nucleotide from the specific DNA sequences and inserts three adjacent nucleotides (Edel-Hermann and Lecomte, 2019). As a result of this situation, DNA sequences that are initially very similar have different lengths and sequences.

At the end of the branching of these three samples, a figure of 100 % shows the high bootstrap value of this branching group. Bootstrap value is one of the measures introduced by (Fredricks, Smith, and Meier, 2005), which offers the stability value of a topology tree. The higher the bootstrap value in a branching, the more fulfilling the topology's validity level requirements. In other words, a high boost value (close to 100 %) does not mean showing the accuracy of a topology tree but rather indicates that each character information of each individual in the branching group "agrees" that a branching member is a group.

3313422_Root_Blitar ITS1																																														
3313424_Root_Karang_ploso ITS1	0.000																																													
3313426_Root_Pujon ITS1	0.329	0.329																																												
3313428_Soil_Blitar ITS1	0.324	0.324	0.281																																											
3313430_Soil_Karang_ploso ITS1	0.234	0.324	0.281	0.00																																										
3313432_Soil_Pujon ITS1	0.000	0.00	0.329	0.324	0.324																																									
<i>Fusarium_circinatum</i> _361_Pinus_pinaster_FJ74410	0.322	0.322	0.281	0.007	0.007	0.322																																								
<i>Fusarium_circinatum</i> _SF1_KX276593	0.322	0.322	0.281	0.007	0.007	0.322	0.00																																							
<i>Fusarium_fujikuroi</i> _dmV_MF567510	0.322	0.322	0.279	0.002	0.002	0.322	0.005	0.005																																						
<i>Fusarium_graminearum</i> _KCS7e_MG182681	0.326	0.326	0.283	0.046	0.046	0.326	0.041	0.041	0.043																																					
<i>Fusarium_oxysporum</i> _BRM004946_MG461603	0.324	0.324	0.281	0.000	0.000	0.324	0.007	0.007	0.002	0.046	0.000																																			
<i>Fusarium_oxysporum</i> _CS-2_KT876656	0.324	0.324	0.281	0.000	0.000	0.324	0.007	0.007	0.002	0.046	0.000	0.000																																		
<i>Fusarium_oxysporum</i> _EF-382_MF992182	0.324	0.324	0.281	0.000	0.000	0.324	0.007	0.007	0.002	0.046	0.000	0.000	0.000																																	
<i>Fusarium_oxysporum</i> _MR43-1_myricaria_laxiflora_KU324799	0.324	0.324	0.281	0.000	0.000	0.324	0.007	0.007	0.002	0.046	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000																																
<i>Fusarium_sambucinum</i> _CBS_187.34_MH855483	0.322	0.322	0.281	0.007	0.007	0.322	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.041	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007																																
<i>Fusarium_solanii</i> _DOS_Dendrobium_officinale_Kimura_et_Migo_KY644557	0.322	0.322	0.279	0.002	0.002	0.322	0.005	0.005	0.000	0.043	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002																														
<i>Fusarium_Verticillioides</i> _05007_MG274298	0.324	0.324	0.279	0.009	0.009	0.324	0.002	0.002	0.007	0.043	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009																													

Figure 3. Genetic distance analysis of *F. oxysporum* at three different altitudes

Based on genetic distance analysis results, *F. oxysporum* from six sample-consisted soil samples and tomato plant roots at three different altitudes. Genetic distance matrix *F. oxysporum* with several specific Genes recorded from GenBank between 0.00 to 0.32. The closest genetic distance is 0.00 for the sample. On the other hand, the genetic distance of the DNA sample *F. oxysporum* has a value of 0.32, which is the farthest distance, which is of the genus *Fusarium* from other *F. oxysporum* species and then widens to other species.

In contrast, phylogeny's opening results show various levels of kinship between one species and another. The bootstrap value of the phylogeny tree is available between 51 % and 100 % the highest. According to Hafizi *et al.* (2013), the lower the genetic distance of species with other species, the closer the kinship distance.

According to Dharmayanti (2011), the farther the genetic distance of an individual or group from other individuals or groups, the more distant the kinship of the organism. Vice versa, the closer the genetic distance, the more closely related. Although the targeted gene's location is the same, differences in several nucleotide points in the gene sequence are prevalent mutations that cause this matter. Mutations are changes in genetic material that can be inherited and give rise to alternative forms of any gene. The more changes occur, the farther the genetic distance is from other species that share a common ancestor. Mutations result in a new variation of alleles, genes with the same location on the chromosome but whose properties vary (Stansfield *et al.*, 2006).

4. Conclusion

From the results of molecular tests, information was obtained that all isolates found from different plant parts and from different altitudes were *Fusarium oxysporum*.

analysis shows *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *Lycopersici* CBS 249.52 strain has the closest relationship in code 3313439 from Karangploso soil and code 3313428, which comes from Blitar soil. Besides, *Fusarium oxysporum* strain S58 has the closest relationship with the isolate 3313426 Pujon roots. Then samples of 3313422 Blitar

roots, 3313424 Karangploso roots, and 3313432 Pujon soils showed proximity to the *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *pisi* HG423346

References

- Adame-García J., Rodríguez-Guerra R., Iglesias-Andreu LG, Ramos-Prado JM and Luna-Rodríguez M. 2015. Molecular identification and pathogenic variation of *Fusarium* species isolated from *Vanilla planifolia* in Papantla Mexico. *Bot. Sci.* **93(3)**: 669–678.
- Agrios G, 2005. **Plant Pathology**, fifth ed. Elsevier Academic Press, Burlington, MA, USA.
- Animashaun BO, Popoola AR, Enikuomihin OA, Aiyelaagbe IOO, Imonmion J E. 2017. Induced resistance to *Fusarium* wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum*) in tomato using plant growth activator, Acibenzolar-S-methyl. *Niger J Biotechnol* **32**:83–90
- Aydi-Ben-Abdallah, Jabnoun-Khiareddine H and Daami-Remadi M. 2020. *Fusarium* wilt biocontrol and tomato growth stimulation, using endophytic bacteria naturally associated with *Solanum sodomaeum* and *S. bonariense* plants. *Egypt J Biol Pest Co* **30**:1–13.
- Biju VC, Fokkens L, Houterman PM, Rep M and Cornelissen BJC. 2017. Multiple evolutionary trajectories have led to the emergence of races in *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **83(4)**:e02548-16: 1–20.
- Campbell NA, Reece JB, Urry LA, Cain M L, Wasserman SA, Minorsky P V and Jackson RB. 2010. *Campbell biology*. In: **Campbell Biology** (11th ed.). Pearson, London, UK
- Cañizares MC, Gómez-Lama C, García-Pedrajas MD and Pérez-Artés E. 2015. Study of phylogenetic relationships among *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *dianthi* Isolates: Confirmation of intraspecific diversity and development of a practical tool for simple population analyses. *Plant Dis.* **99(6)**:780–787.
- Chala A, Degefu T and Brurberg MB. 2019. Phylogenetically diverse *Fusarium* species associated with sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) and finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L. Gerten) grains from Ethiopia. *Diversity*, **11(93)**:1–11
- Chen CA, Chang CC, Wei NV, Chen C, Lein YT, Lin HE, Dai CF and Wallace C. 2004. Secondary structure and phylogenetic utility of the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) in Scleractinian corals. *Zool Stud* **43(4)**: 759–771.

- Dharmayanti, I., 2011. Filogenetika molekuler: Metode taksonomi organisme berdasarkan sejarah evolusi [Molecular phylogenetics: The taxonomic method of organisms based on evolutionary history]. *Wartazoa*, **21** (1):1–10. [in Bahasa Indonesia]
- Edel-Hermann V and Lecomte C. 2019. Current status of *Fusarium oxysporum* formae speciales and races. *Phytopathology*, **109**(4):512–530.
- Fredricks DN, Smith C and Meier A. 2005. Comparison of six DNA extraction methods for recovery of fungal DNA as assessed by quantitative PCR. *J. Clin. Microbiol*, **43**(10): 5122–5128.
- González-Mendoza D, Adame-García J, Rodríguez-Guerra R, Iglesias-Andreu LG, Ramos-Prado JM and Luna-Rodríguez M. 2010. A rapid method for isolation of total DNA from pathogenic filamentous plant fungi. *Genet. Mol. Res*, **9**(1):162–166.
- Hafizi R, Salleh B and Latiffah Z. 2013. Morphological and molecular characterization of *Fusarium solani* and *F. oxysporum* associated with crown disease of oil palm. *Braz. J. Microbiol.* **44**(3): 959–968.
- Henik S, Erfan DS and Netnapi K. 2021. Variability of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* from different altitudes in East Java, Indonesia. *E3S Web of Conf.*, **226**(00023):1–9.
- Hillis DM and Dixon MT. 1991. Ribosomal DNA: Molecular evolution and phylogenetic inference. *Q Rev Biol.* **66**(4):411–453.
- Hsuan HM, Salleh B and Zakaria L. 2011. Molecular identification of *Fusarium* species in *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complex from rice, sugarcane and maize from Peninsular Malaysia. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **12**(10): 6722–6732.
- Hussain MZ, Rahman MA, Islam MN, Latif MA and Bashir MA. 2012. Morphological and molecular identification of *Fusarium oxysporum* Sch. isolated from guava wilt in Bangladesh. *Bangladesh J. Bot.*, **41**(1):49–54.
- Ignjatov M, Milosevic D, Nikolic Z, Gvozdanovic-Varga J, Jovicic D and Zdjelar G. 2012. *Fusarium oxysporum* as causal agent of tomato wilt and fruit rot. *Pestic. Fitomed.*, **27**(1): 25–31.
- Kichaoui AE, Elnabris K, Shafie A, Fayyad N, Arafa M, and El Hindi M. 2017. Development of *Beauveria bassiana* based bio-fungicide against *Fusarium* wilt pathogens for *Capsicum annuum*, a promising approach toward vital biocontrol industry in Gaza Strip. *IUG Journal of Natural Studies*. **25**(2):183–190.
- Leslie JF and Summerell BA. 2006. **Handbook Fusarium**. Blackwell Publishing Professional. New Jersey, USA
- Leslie JF and Summerell BA. 2008. **The Fusarium Laboratory Manual**. Wiley, New York.
- Lievens B, Rep M and Tomma BP. 2008. Recent developments in the molecular discrimination of formae speciales of *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Pest Manag Sci.* **64**(8):781–788.
- Menezes JP, Lupatini M, Antonioli ZI, Blume L, Junges E, Manzoni CG. 2010. Genetic variability in rDNA ITS region of *Trichoderma* spp. (Bio control agent) and *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *chrysanthemi* isolates. *Cienc. e Agrotecnologia* **34**(1):132–139.
- Menu E, Mary C, Toga I, Raoult D, Ranque S. and Bittar F. 2018. Evaluation of two DNA extraction methods for the PCR-based detection of eukaryotic enteric pathogens in fecal samples. *BMC Res Notes*, **11**(1):4–9.
- Nath N, Ahmed AU and Aminuzzaman FM. 2017. Morphological and physiological variation of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* isolates causing wilt disease in chickpea. *Int. J. Agric. Environ. Biotechnol.*, **2**(1):202–212.
- Nirmaladevi D, Venkataramana M, Srivastava RK, Uppalapati SR, Gupta VK, Yli-Mattila T, Chandra NS. 2016. Molecular phylogeny, pathogenicity, and toxigenicity of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *Lycopersici*. *Sci. Rep.* **6**(21367): 1–14.
- Pinaria AG, Laurence MH, Burgess LW and Liew ECY. 2015. Phylogeny and origin of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vanillae* in Indonesia. *Plant Pathol.*, **64**(6):1358–1365.
- Prihatna C, Barbetti MJ, and Barker SJ. 2018. A novel tomato *Fusarium* wilt tolerance gene. *Front. Microbiol.* **9**(1226): 1–11.
- Raghu S, Benagi, V and Nargund, V. 2016. Cultural, morphological and pathogenic variability among the isolates of *Fusarium solani* causing wilt disease of Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *J. Pure Appl. Microbiol.* **10**(1):599–604.
- Singha IM, Kakoty Y, Unni BG, Das J and Kalita MC. 2016. Identification and characterization of *Fusarium* sp. using ITS and RAPD causing fusarium wilt of tomato isolated from Assam, North East India. *J Genet Eng Biotechnol.* **14**(1): 99–105.
- Sohail A. Alshaili and Bayan M. Bani-Hasan. 2018. Morphological and molecular identification of fungi isolated from different environmental sources in the Northern Eastern desert of Jordan. *Jordan J. Biol. Sci.* **11**(3): 329–337.
- Srinivas C, Nirmala DD, Narasimha MK., Mohan CD, Lakshmeesha TR, Singh BP and Chandra NS. 2019. *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* causal agent of vascular wilt disease of tomato: Biology to diversity– A review. *Saudi J Biol Sci.*, **26**(7):1315–1324.
- Stansfield, William D., Jaime S., Raul J., 2006. **Biologi Molekuler dan Sel** [Molecular and Cell Biology]. Penerbit Erlangga, Jakarta, Indonesia [in Bahasa Indonesia].
- Teixeira LM, Coelho L, and Tebaldi TD. 2015. Characterization of *Fusarium oxysporum* isolates and resistance of passion fruit genotypes to fusariosis. *Rev. Bras. Frutic.* **39**(3): e-415:1–11.