Effects of *Trigona* honey on the Gene Expression Profile of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 10145 and *Streptococcus pyogenes* ATCC 19615

Mohammad Abdulraheem Al-kafaween^{1*}, Abu Bakar Mohd Hilmi^{1*}, Norzawani Jaffar¹, Hamid Ali Nagi Al-Jamal¹, Mohd Khairi Zahri¹, Malik Amonov², Bouacha Mabrouka³ and Nour A.Elsahoryi⁴

¹Faculty of Health Sciences; ²Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Terengganu, Malaysia; ³Laboratory of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Sciences, University of Badji Mokhtar, 23000 Annaba, Algeria; ⁴Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Pharmacy and Medical Sciences, University of Petra, Amman/Jordan

Received May 10, 2019; Revised May 22, 2019; Accepted June 25, 2019

Abstract

Honey is a broad-spectrum antimicrobial agent that seems to affect different bacteria in many different ways. The aim of this study was to evaluate the antibacterial activities of *Trigona* honey against *P. aeruginosa* and *S. pyogenes*. The effect of *Trigona* honey on *P. aeruginosa* and *S. pyogenes* was investigated using growth kinetics curve and real-time PCR. The growth kinetics of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. pyogenes* with 20% (w/v)(MIC) *Trigona* honey inhibited the growth cells number of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. pyogenes* with cells grown without honey. Treatment with 10% (w/v) (half-MIC) showed slightly decreased number of cells of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. pyogenes* and *S. pyogenes*. The RT-qPCR results showed that the expression of *Sof* and *Sfbl* decreased 7.82-fold and 9.23-fold respectively after exposure to 20% concentration of *Trigona* honey, whereas the expression of *algD* and *oprF* decreased 6.28-fold and 11.11-fold respectively after exposure to 20% concentration of *Trigona* honey. *Trigona* honey demonstrated the highest antibacterial activity against *P. aeruginosa* and *S. pyogenes* in *vitro*. Our results indicate that *Trigona* honey has the potential to be an effective inhibitor on virulence genes of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. pyogenes*.

Keywords: Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Streptococcus pyogenes, Trigona honey, Growth kinetics, RT-qPCR.

1. Introduction

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a pathogen of plants, animals and humans with colonies as diverse as the site of isolation and is able to cause devastating infections because of the strong attachment potential with pili (Lyczak et al., 2000; Van Delden and Iglewski, 1998) and production multiple virulence genes such as oprF and algD (van Delden, 2004; Wendt et al., 2017). oprF is a general outer membrane porin of P.aeruginosa allowing nonspecific diffusion of ionic species and small polar nutrients, including polysaccharides (Nestorovich et al., 2006). oprF is described as a structural protein, anchoring the outer membrane to the peptidoglycan layer (Rawling et al., 1998; Woodruff and Hancock, 1989). The structure and positioning of oprF provides structural stability, forming an anchor point between the outer membrane and peptidoglycan layer (Bouffartigues et al., 2015; Chevalier et al., 2017; Fito-Boncompte et al., 2011; Rosay et al., 2015; Sugawara et al., 2006). The reduced expression of this gene not only could result in the disruption of diffusion, but may also compromise cellular integrity; the

latter supports the scanning microscopy data, which showed a loss and disruption of extracellular components and abnormal cell structure observed in previous studies (Henriques et al., 2011; Al-Kafaween et al., 2019). Currently, oprF has been shown to play a role in the growth and biofilm formation (Bouffartigues et al., 2015; Chevalier et al., 2017; Fito-Boncompte et al., 2011; Rosay et al., 2015; Sugawara et al., 2006) of P.aeruginosa (Bjarnsholt et al., 2008; James et al., 2008; Yoon et al., 2002). The mucoid phenotype of *P.aeruginosa* is caused by excessive alginate production and has long been associated with prolonged infection of the pulmonary cavity, particularly in those suffering from cystic fibrosis (Jones et al., 2013; McIntyre et al., 2010; Wozniak et al., 2003). Recent studies (Wood and Ohman, 2012) have shown that alginate genes are under the regulation of sigma factor (s22) and are upregulated as part of an extra cytoplasmic stress response to cell wall stress. Of the many genes regulated, 11 are directly involved in cell envelope homeostasis. The algD (GDP-mannose dehydrogenase), which is essential for the production of alginate (algB, algC, algE, algR, algG and algT) and as a virulence factor (Leid et al., 2005; May et al., 1991). Additionally, algD is

^{*} Corresponding author e-mail: mhilmiab@unisza.edu.my, mohammadalkafaween25@yahoo.com..

2.2. Honey Samples

a key enzyme of the alginate biosynthetic pathway (Manzo et al., 2011; Jones et al., 2013; McIntyre et al., 2010; Wozniak et al., 2003). S. pyogenes produces a wide range of virulence factors, and the cell wall associated M protein is a major virulence factor of S. pyogenes, which can bind directly to the extracellular matrix components (e.g. fibrinogen)(Cole et al., 2007; Yamaguchi et al., 2013). Fibronectin (Fn) is a high-molecular weight glycoprotein that circulates free as a dimer in the soluble form in blood plasma or as a fibrillar form is assembled by cells as major component of the extracellular matrix. So far, fibronectin binding proteins are the best studied adhesions of S. pyogenes and currently 11 different such adhesions have been identified (Yamaguchi et al., 2013), divided in two types. First type proteins are SfbI, PrtF2, Sof, SfbX, Fbp54, FbaA, and FbaB and they all contain Fn-binding repeats. Second type proteins are M1, Shr, Scl1, and GAPDH and they do not contain these repeats. It is estimated that 60% of initial attachment to cells is realized by streptococcal lipoteichoic acid. Fibronectin binding proteins are the most important in the irreversible stage of adherence. Binding of these adhesions to Fn could result in irreversible attachment to the cell or biofilm production in tissue or bacterial internalization. Expression of Fn-binding proteins is regulated as response to the environmental conditions in which streptococci survive and multiply. Protein F/SfbI, which allows binding to cells of the dermis and Langerhans cells, shows increased expression on bacterial surface with increasing pressure of oxygen. Similarly as in protein F1/SfbI, F2 activity is also response to the environmental oxygen pressure (Jaffe et al., 1996). Previous studies showed that the expression of oprF and algD of P. aeruginosa and Sof and Sfbl of S. pyogenes were suppressed after treated with Manuka honey (Maddocks et al., 2012; Roberts et al., 2012). Honey is a natural product and for many centuries was held in high regard due to its antibacterial properties (Crane, 2001; Rao et al., 2016). Such effects have been observed against more than 80 bacterial species, including both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, and multidrug-resistant pathogens (Cooper et al., 2009; Molan, 1992). The inherent antibacterial properties of honey are partly conferred by sugars, which account for 80% of its weight, resulting in a high osmolarity and low water activity (Abu Baker et al., 2018; Jibril et al., 2019). This study was undertaken to determine the effect of Trigona honey on the level of gene expression of P. aeruginosa and S. pyogenes.

2. Materials And Methods

2.1. Bacterial strains and culture conditions

Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 10145 and *Streptococcus pyogenes* (ATCC 19615) were used throughout the study. One to five colonies of test organism were inoculated into 20 ml nutrient broth (Oxoid, UK) and incubated at 37° C for 24 hours. After incubation time, the turbidity of the suspension was adjusted to achieve 0.5 McFarland with the absorbance range of 0.08 to 0.1 by using spectrophotometer at wave length of 600 nm (Bouacha *et al.*, 2018; Zainol *et al.*, 2013). *Trigona* honey samples were obtained from farm in Kelantan state in East Coast of Peninsula Malaysia. The samples were kept in the dark (Bouacha *et al.*, 2018; Garedew *et al.*, 2003; Ng *et al.*, 2017). The MIC of this *Trigona* honey for the test organisms is 20% (w/v) as described by AL-kafaween *et al.*, (2020)

2.3. Growth Kinetics

To determine the effects of *Trigona* honey on the growth of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. pyogenes* cells were grown and treated in 96-well plate with MIC 20% (w/v), half-MIC 10% (w/v) and quarter-MIC 5% (w/v) concentration of honey. Initially, column number 1 was filled 200 μ l of final volume of 20%, column number 2 was filled 200 μ l of final volume of 10% and column number 3 was filled 200 μ l of final volume of 5%. The plate was incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. At 60 min intervals, the plate was measured at 570nm using a microplate reader (Tecan Infinite 200 PRO, Austria). The experiments were performed in triplicate (Bouacha *et al.*, 2018; Maddocks *et al.*, 2012; Roberts *et al.*, 2012; Zainol *et al.*, 2013).

2.4. RNA extraction from P.aeruginosa and S.pyogenes

P. aeruginosa and *S.pyogenes* cells were grown in duplicate in 10 ml of Mueller Hinton broth for 24 hours at 37°C. The total RNA from untreated and treated *P.aeruginosa* and *S.pyogenes* was extracted using the SV Total RNA Isolation System (Promega, UK)(França *et al.*, 2011; Goldsworthy, 2008; Maddocks *et al.*, 2012; Roberts *et al.*, 2012; Wasfi *et al.*, 2016; Yadav *et al.*, 2012). Total RNA concentrations from untreated and treated of *P.aeruginosa* and *S.pyogenes* were examined by using Implen NanoPhotometer® NP80. RNA purity levels were assessed using the 260/280 absorbance ratio, with only sample ratios between 1.8 and 2.1 being accepted for conversion to cDNA. The experiments were performed in triplicate.

2.5. Conversion of RNA to cDNA

Reverse transcription of RNA was performed with Oligo (dT)₁₅ primers and Random Primers. Total RNA samples were converted to cDNA using a high capacity RNA to cDNA conversion kit (Promega, UK). Samples were diluted to 100 ng/µl using ultra pure water. Mastermix 1 was prepared for RNA samples extracted from cells treated and untreated as per the manufacturer's instructions (Promega, UK). For each reaction, 4 µl of (100 ng/µl) RNA, 1 µl of Oligo (dT)15, 2 µl of random primers and nuclease-free water was added to get 10 ul. Mastermix 1 was incubated in PCR thermal cycler at 70°C for 5 minutes to denature the secondary structures of RNA that potentially formed in samples, before was chilled on ice for 5 minutes. While matermix 1 was being incubated, mastermix 2 for each reaction was prepared as following 4 µl 5X Reaction Buffer, 2 µl MgCl2, 1 µl PCR nucleotide mix, 0.5 µl ribonuclease inhibitor, 1 µl reverse transcriptase primers and topped up with nuclease-free water to a final volume of 10 µl. Negative controls were created by substituting total RNA with ultra pure water. The mixture of Mastermix 1 and Mastermix 2 was incubated at 5 minutes at 25°C, 60 minutes at 42°C and a final hold at 70°C for 15 minutes by using a thermal cycler. Following conversion to cDNA, samples were

stored at -20°C until ready to use (Maddocks et al., 2012; Roberts et al., 2012; Yadav et al., 2012).

2.6. Reverse Transcriptase Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR)

Primers of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. pyogenes* were retrieved from previous studies as shown in Table 1. Lyophilised and desalted oligonucleotides were reconstituted using sterile ultra-pure water following the manufacturer's instructions. Stock solutions were stored at -20°C. The RT-qPCR mastermix for each reaction was prepared by following the manufacturer's instructions (Promega, UK), 10µl of qPCR Master Mix, 1µl of forward primer, 1µl of reverse primer, 2µl of cDNA template, 0.2µl CXR Reference dye and topped up with nucleasefree water to 20µl. Wells were closed with strip caps, **Table 1.** Primers used for the RT-qPCR analysis of *P aeruginosa* and *S pyogenes*

centrifuged and placed into PCR instrument. The following PCR protocol was used: denaturation at 95°C for 2 minutes one cycle, amplification at 95°C for 15 seconds 40 cycles and a final elongation annealing: at 60 °C for 1 min 40 cycles. The positive control for the reaction was provided by the manufacturer (Promega), and nano-pure water was used to exclude the possibility of contamination. Also, negative control primers were used for both bacteria. Densitometry was performed by using the Applied Biosystems StepOne Software v2.3. The experiments were performed in triplicate to determine the level of relative gene expression in samples, a modified $2-\Delta\Delta$ Ct method was used (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001; Maddocks et al., 2012; Roberts et al., 2012; Schmittgen and Livak, 2008; Wasfi et al., 2016; Yadav et al., 2012).

Tuble I Timore used for the KT qr ext analysis of Theraganosa and S. Syberces									
Gene of	Amplicon	GC	Annealing	Number of	Direction	Primer sequence			
name	Size (bp)	content	temp (C°)	cycles		$(5' \rightarrow 3')$			
1. algD	144	42.5 %	56	41	Forward	CGCCGAGATGATCAAGTACA			
		42.9%			Reverse	AGGTTGAGCTTGTGGTCCTG			
2. oprF	101	41.2%	54	41	Forward	CTGGACGCCATCTACCACTT			
		42%			Reverse	CTGTCGCTGTTGATGTTGGT			
3. rpoD*	146	42.3%	53	41	Forward	GCGACGGTATTCGAACTTGT			
		42.6%			Reverse	CGAAGAAGGAAATGGTCGAG			
4. Sof	873	36.4 %	57	41	Forward	ACTTAGAAAGTTATCTGTAGGG			
		42.9 %			Reverse	TCTCTCGAGCTTTATGGATAG			
5. SfbI	960	42.9 %	55	41	Forward	AACTGCTTTAGGAACAGCTTC			
		52.6 %			Reverse	CCACCATAGCCACAATGCT			
6. glr*	797	42.9 %	54	41	Forward	ATGGATACAAGACCAATTGG			
		47.6 %			Reverse	TCATAAGGTGACATGCTCCAC			

*rpoD was used as a reference gene for P.aeruginosa and *glr was used as a reference gene for S.pyogenes

2.7. Statistical Analysis

Data was expressed as mean \pm standard error of means, one way analysis of variance and graphing was performed using SPSS program, version 20.For each data set, three replicates were performed.

3. Results

3.1. Growth kinetic curves

As shown in Figure 1 and 2, results showed *P.aeruginosa* and *S.pyogenes* could not be recovered after 24 h incubation with MIC (20% (w/v) and prevented the growth of *P.aeruginosa* and *S.pyogenes*. *P. aeruginosa* and *S.pyogenes* treated with half-MIC (10% w/v) have resulted a decreased optical density and decreased lag phase and exponential phase compared to untreated sample. Conversely, *P.aeruginosa* and *S.pyogenes* treated with quarter-MIC concentrations (5 %, w/v) had no inhibition in growth compared with untreated cells. The data obtained from growth kinetics supporting the MIC of *Trigona* honey as mentioned in previous study by Al-kafaween et al., (2020)



Figure 1. Growth curves of *P.aeruginosa* cells grown with and without *Trigona* honey.



Figure 2. Growth kinetics curve of *S.pyogenes* cells grown with and without *Trigona* honey.

3.2. RT-qPCR of genes expression of P.aeruginosa and S.pyogenes

Two genes *oprF* and *algD* of *P.aeruginosa* and two genes *Sof* and *Sfbl* of *S.pyogenes* showed a statistically significant reduction in gene expression after being treated with 20% (w/v) of *Trigona* honey. As shown in Table 2 and Figure 3, results showed all genes were downregulated and different degrees of downregulation were observed. The RT-qPCR results demonstrated that the expressions of *oprF* and *algD* genes of *P. aeruginosa* were decreased 11.11-fold and 6.28-fold respectively after treated with 20% (MIC) *Trigona* honey. Whereas *Sof* and *Sfbl* of *S. pyogenes* were decreased 7.82-fold and 9.23-fold respectively after treated with 20% (MIC) *Trigona* honey. Table 2. Effect of *Trigona* honey on the expression of

P.aeruginosa and *S.pyogenes* detected by RT-qPCR (Schmittgen & Livak, 2008).

Gene name	Average ΔΔCt	Expression Fold Change $(2^-\Delta\Delta Ct)$	Expression Fold Change	P- value	SD
1. oprF	3.47	0.09	-11.11	0.04*	1.0
2. algD	2.65	0.16	-6.28	0.04*	1.5
3. <i>Sof</i>	2.97	0.13	-7.82	0.03*	1.3
4. Sfbl	3.21	0.11	-9.23	0.03*	1.5

If the delta-delta Ct has a negative value, the gene of interest is upregulated, because the fold change will be larger than 1. On the other hand, if the delta-delta Ct has a positive value, the gene is down regulated and the fold change is <1. *Statistically significant change in the level expression (P<0.05).



Figure 3.Alterations in gene expression profiles associated with exposure of *P.aeruginosa* and *S.pyogenes* to *Trigona* honey as determined by RT-qPCR. Mean values of fold changes (\pm SD) are shown in relation to untreated (control).Error bars denote standard error of the mean from three biological samples.

4. Discussion

This study describes the first systematic analysis of the effect of *Trigona* honey on level of gene expression of *P.aeruginosa* and *S. pyogenes*. Total viable cell decreased after exposure to 20% (w/v) and 10% (w/v) of *Trigona* honey. Studies by (Zainol et al., 2013) reported that *Trigona* honey inhibited growth of *P.aeruginosa* at 20% concentration of honey. Studies by (Maddocks et al., 2012; Roberts et al., 2012) showed that the number of cells of

S.pyogenes were decreased after treated with 20% concentration of Manuka honey. Previous studies showed that the growth kinetics of *P.aeruginosa* and *S.epidermidis* was gradually declined after exposure to 40% (w/v) concentration of Indian honey (Chakraborti et al., 2014). Recently, honey has been documented to reduce growth rate of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (Nassar et al., 2011). RT-qPCR was used to determine the level of gene expression of P.aeruginosa and S.pyogenes after treated with Trigona honey. Reduced expression was noticeable with a different level of expression in both bacteria. The expression of Sof and SfbI of S.pyogenes decreased 7.82-fold and 9.23-fold respectively after treated with 20% (MIC) of Trigona honey. Whereas oprF and algD of P.aeruginosa decreased 11.11-fold and 6.28-fold respectively after treated with 20% (MIC) of Trigona honey. In previous study oprF has been shown to play a role in the anaerobic growth of P.aeruginosa(Yoon et al., 2002) and subsequent biofilm formation, concurrent with chronic wound infection (Bjarnsholt et al., 2008; James et al., 2008). The observed reduction of oprF in P.aeruginosa following treatment with Trigona honey may therefore, in part, account for the observed ability of honey-treated P.aeruginosa to form microcolonies. GDPmannose dehydrogenase is essential for the production of algD (Leid et al., 2005; May et al., 1991). It is, therefore, possible that the observed decrease in algD and oprF causes instability of the cell envelope, making P.aeruginosa susceptible to the osmotic action of Trigona honey, which results in the decreased expression of algD as the extra cytoplasmic stress response system is activated. Sfbl is regarded as one of the major adhesions of S.pyogenes (Medina et al., 2000) and Sof is regarded as a major virulence factor that is known to contribute to pathogenesis of streptococcal infection in animal models (Courtney and Pownall, 2010). The Sof gene was first sequenced over 15 years ago (Rakonjac et al., 1995) and its product was found to be a surface bound protein of over 100 kDa, with a C-terminal domain comprised of numerous repeating peptides that bound to both fibronectin and fibrinogen (Courtney et al., 2003; Courtney and Pownall, 2010). It is a possibility that the reduction in fibronectin binding was a combination of reduced expression and specific physical disruption of binding or stearic hindrance by components of the Trigona honey.

A study by (Roberts et al., 2012) showed that algD of P.aeruginosa increased 16-fold in the expression whereas oprF decreased 10-fold after treated with 12% (MIC) of Manuka honey. A previous study showed that the Sof and Sfbl proteins decreased in the expression of S. pyogenes after treated with 20% (MIC) of Manuka honey (Maddocks et al., 2012). A study by (Roberts et al., 2014) showed that six genes (*fliA*, *fliC*, *flhF*, *fleN*, *fleQ* and *fleR*) of P. aeruginosa were reduced in gene expression after exposure to 24% (MIC) of Manuka honey. A previous study showed that tnaA and yjfO (bsmA) genes were downregulated in expression of E.coli in the range of 12.5-16.2fold after treated with 25% (MIC) of Egyptian honey (Wasfi et al., 2016). A study by (Wasfi et al., 2016) reported that ycfR (BhsA) and evgA genes of E.coli were upregulated in expression in the range of 2.2-4.19-fold and 1.09-fold respectively after treated with 25% (MIC) of Egyptian honey. Honey is a complex substance estimated to be comprised of between 200 and 600 components,

including fructose (~38.2 %), glucose (~31.3 %), sucrose (1%) and 'other sugars' (9%) (Bogdanov et al., 2008). Additional minor constituents include acids (0.57 %), proteins (0.266 %), amino acids (0.1 %), nitrogen (0.41 %), minerals (0.17 %) The antibacterial action of Trigona honey is attributed to its high osmolarity, low water activity, viscosity, low PH and the presence of hydrogen peroxide; it is the combination of these factors that is thought to provide an unsuitable environment for bacterial growth (Cooper, 2008; Adams et al., 2009). It is evident that Trigona honey is effective at inhibiting the growth of P. aeruginosa and S. pyogenes, causing abnormal cell by reducing structural integrity to the point of cell lysis as mentioned in previous study by (Al-kafaween et al., 2019). The data presented here supports previous findings and describes the effects of Trigona honey on P. aeruginosa and S. pyogenes at a genetic level. These effects may be compounded by the high osmolarity of Trigona honey. Whether these are the only targets remains to be determined, and the global effect of Trigona honey on P. aeruginosa and S. pyogenes will be the subject of future research.

5. Conclusion

This is the first comprehensive study of the level of gene expression of P. aeruginosa and S. pyogenes after exposure to Malaysian Trigona honey. Taken together, our results revealed that the tested Trigona honey has the potential to be effective inhibitors of S.pyogenes. Differential gene expression in response to honey exposure exhibited down-regulation of two genes involved in microcolonies and biofilm formation in P. aeruginosa and S. pyogenes. The obtained results indicate that the honey under study may represent promising antibacterial, antibiofilm and anti-virulence agents for treatment and modulation of infections caused by P.aeruginosa and S.pyogenes. Future clinical evidence pertaining to the efficacy of the tested Trigona honey in the prevention and treatment of P. aeruginosa and S. pyogenes induced infections at various tissue/cell types might be required.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grant: UniSZA/2017/DPU/37 R0018-R341. We thank all the staff members of the Faculty of Health Sciences and Microbiology Laboratory, Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin for their support and commitment.

References

Al-kafaween MA, Hilmi ABM, Jaffar N, Al-Jamal HAN, Zahri MK, Jibril FI. 2020. Antibacterial and Antibiofilm activities of Malaysian Trigona honey against Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 10145 and Streptococcus pyogenes ATCC 19615. *Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences.*, **13**(1):69–76.

Abu Baker, M H, Jibril, FI, Manivannan,L. 2018. Physicochemical Characteristics of Malaysian Stingless Bee Honey from Trigona Species. *International Medical Journal Malaysia*, **17(1)**:187-191.

Bjarnsholt T, Kirketerp-Møller K, Jensen PØ, Madsen KG, Phipps R, Krogfelt K, Høiby N, Givskov M. 2008. Why chronic wounds will not heal: a novel hypothesis. *Wound repair and regeneration.*, **16**(1): 2-10.

Bouacha M, Ayed H., Grara N. 2018. Honey Bee as Alternative Medicine to Treat Eleven Multidrug-Resistant Bacteria Causing Urinary Tract Infection during Pregnancy. *Scientia pharmaceutica.*, **86(2)**: 14.

Bouffartigues E, Moscoso J A, Duchesne R, Rosay T, Fito-Boncompte L, Gicquel G, Lesouhaitier O. 2015. The absence of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa OprF protein leads to increased biofilm formation through variation in c-di-GMP level. *Frontiers in microbiology.*, **6**: 630.

Chakraborti T, Barman S, Mandal NC, Bhattacharya K. 2014. Evaluation of antibacterial potential of some Indian honey samples against throat and skin infective pathogens. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App Sci.*, **3**: 362-369.

Cooper R, Molan P, White R. 2009. Honey in modern wound management: Wounds UK., 7(1):101.

Courtney HS, Pownall HJ.. 2010. The structure and function of serum opacity factor: a unique streptococcal virulence determinant that targets high-density lipoproteins. *BioMed Research International.*, 56-71

Fito-Boncompte L, Chapalain A, Bouffartigues E, Chaker H, Lesouhaitier O, Gicquel G, Bazire A, Madi A, Connil N, Véron W, Taupin L. 2011. Full virulence of Pseudomonas aeruginosa requires OprF. *Infection and immunity.*, **79**(3): 1176-1186.

Garedew A, Schmolz E, Lamprecht I. 2003. The antimicrobial activity of honey of the stingless bee Trigona spp. *Journal of Apicultural Science.*, **47**(1): 37-40.

Goldsworthy MJH. 2008. Gene expression of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and MRSA within a catheter-associated urinary tract infection biofilm model. *Bioscience Horizons.*, **1**(1): 28-37.

Henriques AF, Jenkins RE, Burton NF, Cooper RA. 2011. The effect of manuka honey on the structure of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *European journal of clinical microbiology & infectious diseases.*, **30**: 167-171.

Jaffar N, Miyazaki T, Maeda T. 2016. Biofilm formation of periodontal pathogens on hydroxyapatite surfaces: Implications for periodontium damage. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A.*, **104**: 2873-2880.

Jaffe J, Natanson S, Caparon MG, Hanski E. 1996. Protein F2, a novel fibronectin-binding protein from Streptococcus pyogenes, possesses two binding domains. *Molecular microbiology.*, **21**: 373-384.

James GA, Swogger E, Wolcott R, Pulcini, ED, Secor P, Sestrich J, Costerton JW, Stewart PS. 2008. Biofilms in chronic wounds. *Wound Repair and regeneration.*, **16**(1): 37-44.

Jibril FI, Hilmi AB, Manivannan L. 2019. Isolation and characterization of polyphenols in natural honey for the treatment of human diseases. *Bulletin of the National Research Centre.*, **43**(1):4.

Jones CJ, Ryder CR, Mann EE, Wozniak DJ. 2013. AmrZ modulates Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm architecture by directly repressing transcription of the psl operon. *Journal of bacteriology*, **195**:1637-1644.

Leid JG, Willson CJ, Shirtliff ME, Hassett DJ, Parsek MR, Jeffers AK. 2005. The exopolysaccharide alginate protects Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm bacteria from IFN-γ-mediated macrophage killing. *The Journal of Immunology.*, **175**: 7512-7518.

Livak KJ and Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2-\Delta\Delta$ CT method. *methods.*, **25**: 402-408.

Lyczak JB, Cannon CL, Pier GB. 2000. Establishment of Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection: lessons from a versatile opportunist1. *Microbes and infection.*, **2**: 1051-1060.

Maddocks SE and Jenkins RE. 2013. Honey: a sweet solution to the growing problem of antimicrobial resistance. *Future microbiology.*, **8**: 1419-1429.

Maddocks SE, Lopez MS, Rowlands RS, Cooper RA. 2012. Manuka honey inhibits the development of Streptococcus pyogenes biofilms and causes reduced expression of two fibronectin binding proteins. *Microbiology.*, **158**: 781-790.

Maddocks SE, Wright CJ, Nobbs AH, Brittan JL, Franklin L, Strömberg N, Kadioglu A, Jepson MA, Jenkinson HF. 2011. Streptococcus pyogenes antigen I/II-family polypeptide AspA shows differential ligand-binding properties and mediates biofilm formation. *Molecular microbiology.*, **81**: 1034-1049.

May TB, Shinabarger D, Maharaj RO, Kato J, Chu L, DeVault JD, Roychoudhury SI, Zielinski NA, Berry A, Rothmel RK. 1991. Alginate synthesis by Pseudomonas aeruginosa: a key pathogenic factor in chronic pulmonary infections of cystic fibrosis patients. *Clinical microbiology reviews.*, **4**(2): 191-206.

McIntyre-Smith A, Schneiderman J, Zhou K. 2010. Alginate does not appear to be essential for biofilm production by PAO1 Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J. Exp. Microbiol. Immunol., 14: 63-68.

Medina E, Schulze K, Chhatwal GS, Guzmán CA. 2000. Nonimmune Interaction of the SfbI Protein of Streptococcus pyogenes with the Immunoglobulin GF (ab') 2Fragment. *Infection and immunity.*, **68**: 4786-4788.

Molan, PC. 1992. The antibacterial activity of honey: 1. The nature of the antibacterial activity. *Bee world.*,**73**(1): 5-28.

Nassar HM, Li M, Gregory RL. 2011. Effect of honey on Streptococcus mutans growth and biofilm formation. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, AEM., **78**(2):36-40..

Nestorovich EM, Sugawara E, Nikaido H, Bezrukov SM. 2006. Pseudomonas aeruginosa porin OprF properties of the channel. *Journal of Biological Chemistry.*, **16(24)**:230-237.

Ng WJ, Chan YJ, Lau ZK, Lye PY, Ee KY. 2017. Antioxidant properties and inhibitory effects of trigona honey against Staphylococcus aureus planktonic and biofilm cultures. *International Journal.*, **12(37)**: 28-33.

Rakonjac JV, Robbins JC, Fischetti VA. 1995. DNA sequence of the serum opacity factor of group A streptococci: identification of a fibronectin-binding repeat domain. *Infection and immunity.*, **63**(2): 622-631.

Rao PV, Krishnan KT, Salleh N, Gan SH. 2016. Biological and therapeutic effects of honey produced by honey bees and stingless bees: a comparative review. *Revista Brasileira deFarmacognosia*., **26(5)**: 657-664.

Rawling EG, Brinkman FS, Hancock RE. 1998. Roles of the carboxy-terminal half of Pseudomonas aeruginosa major outer membrane protein OprF in cell shape, growth in low-osmolarity medium, and peptidoglycan association. *Journal of bacteriology.*, **180(14)**:56-62.

Roberts AE, Maddocks SE, Cooper RA. 2012. Manuka honey is bactericidal against Pseudomonas aeruginosa and results in differential expression of oprF and algD. *Microbiology.*, **158(12)**:5-13

Roberts AE, Maddocks SE, Cooper RA. 2014. Manuka honey reduces the motility of Pseudomonas aeruginosa by suppression of

flagella-associated genes. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy.*, **70(3)**:716-725.

Rosay T, Bazire A, Diaz S, Clamens T, Blier AS, Mijouin L, Hoffmann B, Sergent JA, Bouffartigues E, Boireau W, Vieillard J. 2015. Pseudomonas aeruginosa expresses a functional human natriuretic peptide receptor ortholog: involvement in biofilm formation. *MBio.*, **6(4)**:3-15.

Schmittgen TD, Livak KJ. 2008. Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative C T method. *Nature protocols*, 3(6): 1101.

Sugawara E, Nestorovich EM, Bezrukov SM, Nikaido H. 2006. Pseudomonas aeruginosa porin OprF exists in two different conformations. *Journal of Biological Chemistry.*, **281(24)**: 16220-16229.

Van Delden C. 2004. Virulence factors in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Virulence and Gene Regulation.*, 3-45

Van Delden C and Iglewski BH. 1998. Cell-to-cell signaling and Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections. *Emerging infectious diseases.*, **4(4)**: 551.

Wasfi R, Elkhatib WF, Khairalla AS. 2016. Effects of selected Egyptian honeys on the cellular ultrastructure and the gene expression profile of Escherichia coli. *PloS one.*, **11(3)**: 0150984.

Wendt M, De Silva BC, Heo GJ. 2017. Research Article Virulence Factors and Antimicrobial Resistance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Isolated from Pet Turtles. *Asian J Anim Vet Adv.*, **12(4)**:5-11

Wood LF and Ohman DE. 2012. Identification of genes in the σ 22 regulon of Pseudomonas aeruginosa required for cell envelope homeostasis in either the planktonic or the sessile mode of growth. *MBio.*, **3(3)**:4-12.

Woodruff WA and Hancock RE. 1989. Pseudomonas aeruginosa outer membrane protein F: structural role and relationship to the Escherichia coli OmpA protein. *Journal of Bacteriology.*, **171(6)**:4-9.

Wozniak DJ, Wyckoff TJ, Starkey M, Keyser R, Azadi P, O'Toole GA, Parsek MR. 2003. Alginate is not a significant component of the extracellular polysaccharide matrix of PA14 and PAO1 Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.*, **100(13)**:7-12

Yadav MK, Kwon SK, Cho CG, Park SW, Chae SW, Song JJ. 2012. Gene expression profile of early in vitro biofilms of Streptococcus pneumoniae. *Microbiology and immunology.*, **56(9)**: 621-629.

Yamaguchi M, Terao Y, Kawabata S. 2013. Pleiotropic virulence factor–S treptococcus pyogenes fibronectin-binding proteins. *Cellular microbiology.*, **15(4)**: 503-511.

Yoon SS, Hennigan RF, Hilliard GM, Ochsner UA, Parvatiyar K, Kamani MC, Allen HL, DeKievit TR, Gardner PR, Schwab U, Rowe JJ. 2002. Pseudomonas aeruginosa anaerobic respiration in biofilms: relationships to cystic fibrosis pathogenesis. *Developmental cell.*, **3(4)**:593-603.

Zainol MI, Yusoff KM, Yusof MY. 2013. Antibacterial activity of selected Malaysian honey. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine.*, **13**(1): 129.