Re-evaluation of Molecular Phylogeny of the Subfamily Cephalophinae (Bovidae: Artiodactyla); with Notes on Diversification of Body Size

Taghi Ghassemi-Khademi^{1*} and Kordiyeh Hamidi²

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Shiraz, Shiraz, ²Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

Received February 20, 2019; Revised April 2, 2019; Accepted April 9, 2019

Abstract

Duikers from the subfamily Cephalophinae are small to medium-sized antelope native to sub-Saharan Africa. Since most of the species belonging to this group are considered at-risk due to the process of population decline and probable extinction, recognizing their evolutionary biology will be useful toward preventing their extinction. Herein, molecular phylogeny of fourteen species of duikers were re-evaluated using complete mitochondrial genome sequences (n=17), cytochrome *b* (n=64), cytochrome *c* oxidase I (n=81), as well as the tRNA-proline gene and D-loop sequences. The analysis of a total of 225 gene sequences showed the average base composition of mtDNA sequences, based on mitogenome sequences, as follows: 26.8 % T, 26.5 % C, 33.3 % A, and 13.4 % G, with a great AT bias (60.1 %). According to the phylogenetic trees which resulted from maximum likelihood analysis, five distinct groups were indicated as West African red (*Cephalophus callipygus, C. ogilbyi*), East African red (*C. leucogaster, C. natalensis, C. rufilatus, C. nigrifrons*), savanna (*Sylvicapra grimmia*), giant (*C. dorsalis, C. jentinki, C. silvicultor, C. spadix*), and dwarf (*Philantomba maxwellii, P. monticola*) duikers. Furthermore, the current study showed that results will be similar using limited mitochondrial genes or either mitogenomes. Giving a careful attention to the phylogeny of duikers, two distinct evolutionary lineages were observed which could be defined as two distinct tribes (i.e. dwarf duikers and other species) within this subfamily. It means that their phylogeny is in accordance with their geographic position and body size, as can be seen in duikers.

Keywords: Duikers, Molecular phylogeny, Body size, Geographical location, Bovidae.

1. Introduction

The subfamily, Cephalophinae (Bovidae), consists of several artiodactyl species, commonly named as duikers, which are widely distributed in sub-Saharan Africa, but mainly inhabit tropical forests of Africa (Wilson and Reeder, 2005). Although they can be easily recognized due to their typical body form, they differ significantly in size. Unlike most bovids, females are slightly larger than males in this subfamily. Moreover, in contrast to most other bovids, duikers are primarily frugivorous (Castelló, 2016). However, they also feed on foliage, leaves of bushes, vines, and lower branches of trees. Sometimes they eat meat and may take nestlings, bird eggs, insects, and carrion. In captivity, they are sometimes fed dog food as a diet supplement (Burton and Burton, 2002). Duikers are very shy, elusive, and highly active creatures which need to eat large amounts of food (fruits) to maintain their energy levels (Burton and Burton, 2002). In most species, both sexes bear short pointed horns facing back over the neck with a tuff of hair between them, with the exception of the females of common duikers (Sylvicapra grimmia) which have only stunted horns or none at all. Just beneath the eyes, there are crescent-shaped glandular openings that

secrete a kind of scent. Duikers rub this scent on each other for future recognition, and also use it to mark out their territories (Burton and Burton, 2002; Castelló, 2016).

Duikers in the subfamily Cephalophinae contain three genera (Vuuren and Robinson, 2001; Grubb and Groves, 2005; Johnston and Anthony, 2012). The monotypic savanna specialist Sylvicapra genus contains a single savannah dwelling species, S. grimmia, and several subspecies widely distributed across the area from the Sahel to Austral Africa. The recently derived, species-rich, forest dwelling, genus Cephalophus, has several species and numerous subspecies inhabiting humid African tropical lowlands and mountain forests (Johnston and Anthony, 2012). Finally, the dwarf Philantomba contains the two smallest and most widely-distributed duiker species, namely P. maxwelli and P. monticola (Colyn et al., 2010; Johnston and Anthony, 2012). They both occupy a range of natural habitats, including mosaic shrubby and wooded savannah habitats near human settlements. These two species are considered as the most frequently hunted antelopes in western and central Africa, and thus, represent a significant proportion of the local bushmeat market (Colyn et al., 2010).

^{*} Corresponding author e-mail: t.ghassemi@shirazu.ac.ir.

Today, the most significant issues about duikers are the declining of their populations and the risk of extinction in Africa. Most species of duikers are common and widespread across sub-Saharan Africa (Burton and Burton, 2002). However, the destruction of fruit trees and the development of land in their place for settlement and agriculture (Burton and Burton, 2002), in addition to several other factors, have severely reduced the population of duikers to the point that, presently, all the members of the subfamily are mentioned on the red list of IUCN (Table 1).

Table 1. Scientific names and the IUCN red list categories for the duiker species of the subfamily Cephalophinae.

Scientific Name	Red List Category	Reference
C. adersi	Critically Endangered	(Finnie, 2008)
C. callipygus	Least Concern	IUCN
C. dorsalis	Near Threatened	IUCN
C. harveyi	Least Concern	IUCN
C. jentinki	Endangered	IUCN
C. leucogaster	Near Threatened	IUCN
C. natalensis	Least Concern	IUCN
C. niger	Least Concern	IUCN
C. nigrifrons	Least Concern	IUCN
C. ogilbyi	Least Concern	IUCN
C. rufilatus	Least Concern	IUCN
C. silvicultor	Near Threatened	IUCN
C. spadix	Endangered	(Moyer et al.,
		2016)
C. weynsi	Least Concern	IUCN
C. zebra	Vulnerable	IUCN
P. maxwellii	Least Concern	IUCN
P. monticola	Least Concern	IUCN
Sylvicapra grimmia	Least Concern	IUCN

Although several researchers (Hassanin and Douzery, 1999; Rebholz and Harley, 1999, Wronski *et al.*, 2010; Manuel *et al.*, 2005; Gatesy *et al.*, 1997; Groves, 2000; Kuznetsova and Kholodova, 2003; Marcot, 2007; Bärmann *et al.*, 2013; Ghassemi-Khademi, 2017a,b; Ghassemi-Khademi and Madjdzadeh, 2019; Hassanin *et al.*, 2012) have studied the phylogenetic relationship among the species belonging to Bovidae, there are few studies which are specifically focused on phylogenetic relationships within the subfamily, Cephalophinae (Johnston and Anthony, 2012; Jansen-van Vuuren and Robinson, 2001). Furthermore, based on previous studies, four major lineages for duikers have been introduced (Johnston and Anthony, 2012; Johnston, 2011; Jansen-van Vuuren and Robinson, 2001).

On the whole, since these animals and also all bovids are ecologically, economically, and biologically important animals in the world, the determination of phylogenetic relationships among them is an effective step toward planning for the conservation and enhancement of multiplication of these animals in the world. In this regard, phylogenetic analyses based on the complete mitochondrial genomes can provide most accurate inferences (Ghassemi-Khademi, 2017a).

In the present study, the taxonomical validation of the existent evolutionary lineages in Cephalophinae has been evaluated based on different genes and complete genomes of mitochondria.

2. Materials and Methods

Totally, 225 gene sequences belonging to the Cephalophinae were obtained from NCBI, including all

complete mitochondrial genome sequences (n=17), as well as sequences of cytochrome *b* (cyt *b*) (n=64), cytochrome *c* oxidase I (COI) (n=81), and tRNA-proline-D-loop (n=63). Sequences were aligned with Mega6 (Tamura *et al.*, 2013) using the clustral W alignment method. In all analyses, the corresponding gene sequences of *Tragelaphus spekii* and *T. eurycerus* were used as outgroups.

The evolutionary history was inferred using the Maximum Likelihood (ML) method for each of the studied genes separately. Evolutionary divergence over sequence pairs between groups (different species) was also evaluated based on mitogenomes. The variance estimation method was bootstrap with 1000 replications. Herein, each species belonging to the subfamily Cephalophinae was considered as a separate group. Considering the outgroups, there were a total of fifteen groups (Table 2). Those positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. All of the evolutionary analyses were computed using the Kimura 2-parameter method (Kimura, 1980) in Mega6 (Tamura et al., 2013). Moreover, the robustness of clades was calculated by the bootstrap method. In this study, a bootstrap value of 50-60 % was considered as weak, 64-75 % as moderate, 76-88 % as good, and ≥ 89 % as a strong support (Win *et al.*, 2017).

3. Results

The phylogenetic analysis of fourteen species belonging to Cephalophinae was carried out using mitogenomes, cyt *b*, COI, and tRNA-proline-D-loop genes. The average length of complete mitochondrial genomes was calculated to be 16,427.9 base pairs. In 16427.9, the average base composition of mtDNA sequences was 26.8 % T, 26.5 % C, 33.3 % A, and 13.4 % G, showing a strong AT bias (60.1 %).

The molecular phylogenetic trees for the complete mtDNA genomes and also for the cyt *b*, COI, and the tRNA-proline-D-loop genes were constructed using the ML method, which all provided nearly the same topologies (Figures 1-4). In all of the trees, five different groups of duikers, namley dwarf, giant, savanna, east African and west African duikers, were clearly separated from each other. Four phylogenetic trees based on four different genes, revealed a great main clade; all of the species belonging to the subfamily Cephalophinae, with the exception of the two species of *P. maxwellii* and *P. Monticola*, constructed a great monophyletic clade.

Moreover, as the results indicate, T. spekii and T. eurycerus (used as outgroups) were completely separated from other species. The outgroups were at a far distance (Table 3) and separated from the members of Cephalophinae in all of the constructed phylogenetic trees (Figures 1-4), which implies the presence of relative close genetic distances among duikers. Based on mitogenomes, the shortest phylogenetic distances were obtained between the two species of C. silvicultor and C. spadix (= 0.25), and also C. callipygous and C. ogilbyi (= 0.24). Furthermore, in all phylogenetic trees, the two species of C. silvicultor and C. spadix, as well as the two species of C. callipygous and C. ogilbyi were located close to each other. Thus, it can be inferred that these species are phylogenetically the closest species within the Cephalophinae.

As mentioned earlier, based on mitogenomes, the longest distance was obtained between the outgroups (T.

spekii and *T. eurycerus*) and other species. Besides, after the outgroups, dwarf duikers (*P. maxwellii* and *P. monticola*) had the most phylogenetic distances with other members of the subfamily, and in all phylogenetic trees, the two species of *P. maxwellii* and *P. monticola* were located separately from other species and did not construct a single cluster with them. Moreover, based on the mitogenomes, among the five groups of duikers (Giant, Dwarf, East African, West African, and Savanna duikers) and *C. adersi*, the shortest phylogenetic distances were obtained between west African red and giant duikers (= 0.89), savanna and giant duikers (= 0.85), east and west African red duikers (= 0.82), and *C. adersi* and west African red duikers (= 0.89) (Table 3).

Using complete mitochondrial genome sequences, two distinct groups were distinguished within the great monophyletic clade of the constructed phylogenetic tree; in these distinct groups, six species including *C. callipygous*, *C. ogilbyi*, *C. leucogaster*, *C. natalensis*, *C. rufilatus*, and *C. nigrifrons* showed the highest supported

monophyly values (\geq 99). Based on the topology of ML phylogenetic tree of mitogenomes, the relationship of species in this group was as follows: [(*C. callipygous+ C. callipygous+ C. ogilbyi*)]+ [*C. leucogaster+* {*C. natalensis+* (*C. rufilatus* and *C. nigrifrons*)}]. In group two, five species including *S. grimmia, C. dorsalis, C. jentinki, C. silvicultor,* and *C. spadix* showed the highest supported monophyly values (= 100) in the ML phylogenetic tree. Based on the topology of the ML tree of mitogenomes, the relationship of species in this group was as follows: [*S. grimmia*+ {(*C. dorsalis*)+ (*C. jentinki*)+ (*C. silvicultor*+ *C. spadix*}].

In the four phylogenetic trees, *C. adersi* was located separately from other species, and is considered as a sister taxon for other species belonging to *Cephalophus* and *Sylvicapra* genera. As mentioned before, this species along with the species mentioned above, constructed a monophyletic cluster. In addition, in all of the resulting trees, *C. adersi* was located close to dwarf duikers (Tables 4-7).

Table 2. Phylogenetic distances between species belonging to the subfamily Cephalophinae based on complete mitochondrial sequences. Gp refers to Group.

Name	Gp_1	Gp_2	Gp_3	Gp_4	Gp_5	Gp_6	Gp_7	Gp_8	Gp_9	Gp_10	Gp_11	Gp_12	Gp_13	Gp_14
Gp_1														
Gp_2	0.025													
Gp_3	0.053	0.053												
Gp_4	0.056	0.057	0.059											
Gp_5	0.086	0.088	0.087	0.091										
Gp_6	0.085	0.085	0.083	0.089	0.090									
Gp_7	0.090	0.090	0.091	0.095	0.088	0.098								
Gp_8	0.089	0.091	0.089	0.094	0.024	0.094	0.091							
Gp_9	0.090	0.090	0.092	0.094	0.082	0.099	0.096	0.087						
Gp_10	0.093	0.093	0.092	0.098	0.082	0.100	0.096	0.086	0.061					
Gp_11	0.091	0.090	0.090	0.094	0.080	0.097	0.092	0.083	0.030	0.061				
Gp_12	0.090	0.091	0.091	0.095	0.081	0.099	0.096	0.084	0.007	0.060	0.029			
Gp_13	0.110	0.115	0.111	0.115	0.110	0.115	0.113	0.112	0.114	0.112	0.111	0.114		
Gp_14	0.111	0.113	0.110	0.113	0.105	0.114	0.110	0.108	0.114	0.111	0.112	0.114	0.067	
Gp_15	0.167	0.168	0.167	0.166	0.168	0.168	0.164	0.170	0.171	0.166	0.170	0.170	0.168	0.163

Note: Group 1: Cephalophus silvicultor, Group 2: C. spadix, Group 3: C. dorsalis, Group 4: C. jentinki, Group 5: C. callipygus (three sequences), Group 6: C. grimmia, Group 7: C. adersi, Group 8: C. ogilbyi, Group 9: C. rufilatus, Group 10: C. leucogaster, Group 11: C. natalensis, Group 12: C. nigrifrons, Group 13: Philantomba maxwellii, Group 14: P. monticola (two sequences), Group 15: Tragelaphus spekii, T. eurycerus.

Table 3. Phylogenetic distances between different groups belonging to the subfamily Cephalophinae based on complete mitochondrial sequences.

	Giant duiker	West African red duiker	Savanna duiker	Aders's duiker	East African red duiker	Dwarf duiker
Giant duiker						
West African red duiker	0.089					
Savanna duiker	0.085	0.091				
Aders's duiker	0.092	0.089	0.098			
East African red duiker	0.092	0.082	0.099	0.095		
Dwarf duiker	0.112	0.107	0.114	0.111	0.113	
Outgroups	0.167	0.168	0.168	0.164	0.169	0.165

© 2019 Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences. All rights reserved - Volume 12, Number 5

Scientific Name	T(U)	С	А	G	Total	Accession Number	Reference
Cephalophus silvicultor	27.0	26.3	33.4	13.4	16425.0	JN632622	(Hassanin et al., 2012)
Cephalophus spadix	27.0	26.2	33.3	13.5	16430.0	JN632623	(Hassanin et al., 2012)
Cephalophus dorsalis	26.7	26.6	33.4	13.4	16425.0	JN632615	(Hassanin et al., 2012)
Cephalophus jentinki	27.0	26.1	33.5	13.3	16391.0	NC_020688	(Hassanin et al., 2012)
Cephalophus callipygus	26.5	26.7	33.3	13.5	16427.0	JN632613	(Hassanin et al., 2012)
Cephalophus callipygus	26.5	26.6	33.3	13.5	16427.0	JN632614	(Hassanin et al., 2012)
Sylvicapra grimmia	26.7	26.6	33.4	13.3	16437.0	JN632701	(Hassanin et al., 2012)
Cephalophus callipygus	26.4	26.8	33.3	13.5	16422.0	JN632612	(Hassanin et al. 2012)
Cephalophus adersi	26.7	26.5	33.6	13.2	16435.0	JN632611	(Hassanin et al., 2012)
Cephalophus ogilbyi	26.4	26.7	33.3	13.6	16374.0	JN632620	(Hassanin et al., 2012)
Cephalophus rufilatus	26.3	27.0	33.0	13.7	16429.0	JN632621	(Hassanin et al., 2012)
Cephalophus leucogaster	26.8	26.5	33.2	13.5	16426.0	JN632617	(Hassanin et al., 2012)
Cephalophus natalensis	26.5	26.8	33.1	13.6	16429.0	JN632618	(Hassanin et al., 2012)
Cephalophus nigrifrons	26.4	26.9	33.0	13.7	16406.0	JN632619	(Hassanin et al., 2012)
Philantomba maxwellii	27.7	25.7	33.4	13.2	16440.0	JN632685	(Hassanin et al., 2012)
Philantomba monticola	27.4	26.0	33.7	13.0	16501.0	JN632686	(Hassanin et al., 2012)
Philantomba monticola	27.5	25.9	33.6	13.0	16451.0	JN632687	(Hassanin et al., 2012)
Avg.	26.8	26.5	33.3	13.4	16427.9		
Tragelaphus spekii						NC_020620	(Hassanin et al., 2012)
Tragelaphus eurycerus						JN632703	(Hassanin et al., 2012)

Table 5: Accession numbers of cytochrome b genes for the studied species belong to the subfamily Cephalophinae (n=64) obtained fromGenBank (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) (n=66).

Scientific Name	Accession Number	Scientific Name	Accession Number
	AF153886		AF153884
	AF153885		AF153884
	FJ807612		AF091634
Cephalophus callipygus	FJ807575		FJ807596
	FJ807574		FJ807595
	FJ807573	Cephalophus dorsalis	FJ807590
	JN632614		FJ807589
	AF153889		FJ807588
Cephalophus leucogaster	FJ807606		FJ807577
	JN632617		FJ807576
	AF153890		JN632615
	FJ807611		AF153888
Cephalophus natalensis	FJ807610	Cephalophus jentinki	JN645578
	JN632618		NC_020688
	AF153890		AF153898
	FJ807626		FJ807622
Cephalophus rufilatus	FJ807625	<i>Cephalophus silvicultor</i>	FJ807587
	JN632621		FJ807579
	AF153896		FJ807571
	FJ807627		JN632622
Cephalophus nigrifrons	FJ807609		AF153883
	FJ807572		FJ807617
	JN632619	——————————————————————————————————————	FJ807616
	AF153897		JN632611
	FJ888512		JF728780
Cephalophus ogilbyi	FJ807628	—— Philantomba maxwellii	JN632685
	FJ807618		JF728781
	JN632620	Philantomba monticola	JN632687
	FJ807613		JN632686
Suluioanna onimuia	FJ807591	Tragelaphus spekii	JF728788
Sylvicapra grimmia	FJ807592	Tragelaphus euryceros	AF036276
	FJ807593	¥ • •	

Table 6. Accession numbers of Cytochrome c oxidase I (COX1) genes for the studied species from the subfamily Cephalophinae (n=81)obtained from GenBank (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) (n=85).

Scientific Name	Accession Number	Scientific Name	Accession Number
	KJ192792		HQ644091
Cephalophus ogilbyi	KJ192791		GQ144514
	KJ192789		GQ144513
	KJ192790		KJ192767
	HQ644098		KJ192768
	HQ644097		KJ192965
	GQ144515	Cephalophus dorsalis	KJ192975
	GQ144516		KJ192982
Cephalophus leucogaster	GQ144517		GQ144511
	HQ644096		GQ144507
	HQ644095		KJ192980
	GQ144521		GQ144509
	GQ144519	Cephalophus jentinki	HQ644094
	HQ644104		HQ644113
Cephalophus natalensis	HQ644103	Cephalophus silvicultor	HQ644112
	HQ644111		KJ192969
Cephalophus rufilatus	HQ644110		HQ644115
	HQ644108	Cephalophus spadix	HQ644114
	HQ644107		HQ644087
	GQ144550	Cephalophus adersi	HQ644086
Cephalophus nigrifrons	GQ144549		KJ192888
copilatopilas ingrijrons	GQ144548		KJ192889
	GQ144547		KJ192890
	GQ144546		KJ192891
	HQ644102		KJ192892
	KJ192774	Philantomba maxwellii	KJ192893
	HM144016		KJ192974
	HM144015		HM144021
Philantomba monticola	HM144026		HQ644099
	HM144022		KJ192976
	HM144020		HQ644090
	KJ192775		HQ644089
	HM144024		HQ644088
	HQ644120		GQ144491
Tragelaphus spekii	KJ192918		GQ144492
	EU623454		GQ144494
Tragelaphus eurycerus	LC143641		GQ144498
	HQ644119	Cephalophus callipygus	GQ144504
Sylvicapra grimmia	HQ644118		HM144025
	KX012658		GQ144499
			GQ144500
			HM144023
			GQ144502
			GQ144493
			GQ144505

 Table 7. Accession numbers of tRNA-proline gene and D-loop sequences for the studied species from the subfamily Cephalophinae (n=63)

 obtained from GenBank (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)(n=67).

Scientific Name	Accession Number	Scientific Name	Accession Number
	FJ823345		FJ823384
	FJ823338		FJ823383
	FJ823339		FJ823379
Cephalophus callipygus	FJ823340		FJ823375
	FJ823342		FJ823371
	FJ823341	Cephalophus dorsalis	FJ823367
Cephalophus ogilbyi	FJ823363		FJ823372
	FJ823362		FJ823381
	FJ823360		FJ823376
	FJ823333		FJ823373
	FJ823337		FJ823382
Cephalophus leucogaster	FJ823335	Cephalophus jentinki	NC_020688
	FJ823334		FJ823359
	FJ823336	Cephalophus silvicultor	FJ823358
Cephalophus natalensis	FJ823314		FJ823357

© 2019 Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences. All rights reserved - Volume 12, Number 5

	FJ823315		FJ823353
	FJ823325		FJ823356
	FJ823326		FJ823354
Cephalophus rufilatus	FJ823323		AM903086
	FJ823324		HG323850
	FJ823331		AM903085
Cephalophus nigrifrons	FJ823328		AM903084
	FJ823312	Cephalophus spadix	HG323849
Cephalophus adersi	FJ823313		FJ823351
	FJ823311		FJ823352
Philantomba maxwellii	FJ823310		HG323852
	FJ823309		FJ823349
	FJ823283		FJ823297
Tragelaphus spekii	FJ823286		FJ823296
	FJ823282	Sylvicapra grimmia	FJ823295
Tragelaphus eurycerus	JN632703		FJ823294
			FJ823304
			FJ823305
		Philantomba monticola	FJ823306
			FJ823308
			FJ823303



Figure 1. Maximum Likelihood tree based on Kimura 2- parameter distance using complete mitochondrial genome sequences. The numbers on each branch correspond to bootstrap support values. The tree was rooted with two *Tragelaphus* species sequences.



Figure 2. Maximum Likelihood tree based on Kimura 2- parameter distance using Cytochrome *b* sequences. The numbers on each branch correspond to bootstrap support values. The tree was rooted with two *Tragelaphus* species sequences.



Figure 3. Maximum Likelihood tree based on Kimura 2- parameter distance using Cytochrome *c* oxidase I (COX1) sequences. The numbers on each branch correspond to bootstrap support values. The tree was rooted with two *Tragelaphus* species sequences.



Figure 4. Maximum Likelihood tree based on Kimura 2- parameter distance using tRNA-proline gene and D-loop sequences. The numbers on each branch correspond to bootstrap support values. The tree was rooted with two *Tragelaphus* species sequences.

4. Discussion

Today, the subfamily Cephalophinae is introduced with three duiker genera: 1) the recently derived, species-rich, and forest-dwelling *Cephalophus*, 2) the dwarf *Philantomba*, and 3) the monotypic savanna specialist *Sylvicapra* (Johnston and Anthony, 2012). Using two mitochondrial genes (cyt b and 12S rRNA) and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization, Jansen-van Vuuren and Robinson (2001) retrieved four adaptive lineages in dwarf duikers (as the basal lineage), giant duikers (*C. silvicultor*, *C. spadix, C. dorsalis,* and *C. jentinki*), the red duikers with a subdivision comprising an East African red duikers group (including *C. leucogaster, C. rufilatus, C. nigrifrons, C. natalensis, C. rubidus,* and *C. harveyi*), a West African red duiker group (including *C. callipygous, C. weynsi, C. ogilbyi,* and *C. niger*), and a savanna specialist (*S. grimmia*) (Jansen-van Vuuren and Robinson, 2001).

Moreover, Johnston and Anthony (2012) used portions of two coding mDNA genes including 514 base pairs of the cyt b gene, 658 base pairs of COXI, and four nuclear

DNA markers including mechano growth factor (MGF), protein kinase CI (PRKCl), spectrin beta chain, nonerythrocytic (SPTBN1), and thyrotropin (THY) for evaluating the phylogenetic relationships within the subfamily Cephalophinae. The results of this study confirmed Jansen-van Vuuren and Robinson's statements and also confirmed four adaptive lineages of duikers completely separated from each other (Johnston and Anthony, 2012) (Figures 1- 4).

Therefore, using the complete mitochondrial genomes and three different genes of mtDNA, in addition to the confirmation of the presence of four phylogenetic lineages of duikers, resulted in inferences that are nearly compatible with those achieved when using limited mitochondrial genes. Accordingly, in the case of the phylogenetic studies of duikers, the fastest and economic method is to use limited mitochondrial genes, as it does not require using complete mitochondrial genomes. However, using mitogenomes in phylogenetic studies resulted in more accurate and reliable inferences in the world of animal diversification (Ghassemi-Khademi, 2017a, b).

Herein, similar to previous studies (Jansen-van Vuuren and Robinson, 2001; Johnston, 2011; Johnston and Anthony, 2012) the position of the genus Philantomba as a sister clade to the remaining Cephalophinae was confirmed considering it as a separate genus. Relations between West African red and giant duikers, savanna and giant duikers, east and West African red duikers, and finally, C. adersi and West African red duikers showed the shortest phylogenetic distances based on mitogenomes (Table 2). However, in all phylogenetic trees except those of the tRNA-proline-D-loop genes, savanna and giant duikers, and also East and West African red duikers were located close to each other. These observations are similar to results obtained by previous studies (Johnston, 2011; Johnston and Anthony, 2012). The East and West African red duiker lineages which are monophyletic and are considered as sister taxa (Johnston, 2011), sistered with each other in all of the resulted phylogenetic trees except in the tRNA-proline-D-loop genes tree. This result implies that they belong to a single lineage. Based on mitogenomes, the savanna duiker (Sylvicapra grimmia) and giant duikers had one of the shortest phylogenetic distances together, and were located as the sister group of giant duikers which leave the genus Cephalophus as a paraphyletic group (Johnston and Anthony 2012). Hence, this genus (Cephalophus) cannot be considered as a monophyletic group.

In all of the phylogenetic trees, *C. adersi* was located separately from other species belonging to a paraphyletic group of the genus *Cephalophus*, and constructed a sister taxon. Similar to the previous results (Johnston, 2011), in all of the phylogenetic trees, this species was basal to East and West African red, savanna, and giant duikers. Although it seems necessary to re-evaluate the phylogenetic position of this species carefully (Johnston and Anthony, 2012), this taxon is, undoubtedly, a member of the paraphyletic group of the genus *Cephalophus*. Furthermore, two species of dwarf duikers, *P. maxwellii* and *P. monticola* were located separately from other species, and did not construct a single cluster with them. Besides, after the outgroups, these species showed the greatest phylogenetic distances with other members of

subfamily Cephalophinae. Given this evidence, one can probably identify two distinct tribes within the subfamily; one for dwarf duikers and the other for the rest of the species.

On the other hand, the correlation of phylogeny with animal body size and ecological traits (e.g. geographical locality) has been evaluated in several studies (Barnagaud et al., 2014; Leonhardt et al., 2013; Abellán and Ribera, 2011; Diniz-filho and d-sant Ana, 2000; Diniz-filho and Torres, 2002; Wollenberg et al., 2011; Carrascal et al., 2008). Meanwhile, the results of the current study and some earlier studies (Johnston, 2011; Johnston and Anthony, 2012) show that phylogenetic relationships of species belonging to the subfamily Cephalophinae correspond to their body size and geographic location. An example of such situation in the animal world belongs to the Apini tribe of honey bees. Based on body size, this kind of bees is divided into three giant, medium, and dwarf groups and their phylogenetic status correspond exactly to this morphological classification (Hepburn and Radloff, 2011). Geographic and phylogenetic relationships also shape the chemical profiles of stingless bees on a global scale (Leonhardt et al., 2013). Furthermore, Barnagaud and his colleagues (2014) stated that ecological traits influence the phylogenetic structure of bird species (Barnagaud et al., 2014). Given the above studies, and the results of the present study, it can be inferred that there is a strong correlation in some animal species between phylogeny with body size and ecological traits. It seems that the phylogeny of duikers is a specific example in this case, because these animals are divided into two different groups, phylogenetically.

In conclusion, the correlation between the phylogenetic situation and the morphological status (body size) as well as the geographical location in the world of invertebrates and vertebrates is, undoubtedly, an important and interesting subject for future studies.

4. Conclusion

The analysis of a total of 225 gene sequences showed five distinct groups within the subfamily Cephalophinae. Based on the complete mitochondrial genome sequences, cytochrome b, cytochrome c oxidase I, as well as the tRNA-proline gene and the D-loop sequence phylogenetic trees, these five groups of duikers were divided into two different clusters, dwarf duikers and non-dwarf duikers. This means that their phylogeny corresponds to their bodysize classes. Probably, differences in the body size may create distinctive phylogenetic paths for taxa within a group or those with a close relationship. Finding relationships between the body size and phylogeny in a group of animals, requires comprehensive studies including, above all, ecological, biological, and phylogenetic approaches which will, undoubtedly, reveal many hidden facts in the worlds of animals.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the Department of Biology of Shiraz University for supporting this scientific research.

References

Abellán P and Ribera I. 2011. Geographic location and phylogeny are the main determinants of the size of the geographical range in aquatic beetles. *BMC Evol Biol.*, **11**: 344.

Bärmann EV, Rössner GF and Wörheide G. 2013. A revised phylogeny of Antilopini (Bovidae, Artiodactyla) using combined mitochondrial and nuclear genes. *Mol Phylogenet Evol*, **67(2)**: 484-493.

Barnagaud JY, Kissling WD, Sandel B, Eiserhardt WL, Şekercioğlu ÇH, Enquist BJ, Tsirogiannis C and Svenning JC. 2014. Ecological traits influence the phylogenetic structure of bird species co-occurrences worldwide. *Ecol Lett*, **17**(7): 811-820.

Burton M and Burton R. 2002. **The International Wildlife Encyclopedia: Volume 6.** Cavendish Square Publishing, Third Edition, New York, United States of America (USA), 864 pp.

Colyn M, Hulselmans J, Sonet G, Oude P, Winter JD, Natta A, Tamas-Nagyz and Verheyen E. 2010. Discovery of a new duiker species (Bovidae: Cephalophinae) from the Dahomey Gap, West Africa. *Zootaxa*, **2637**: 1–30.

Castelló JR. 2016. Bovids of the world: Antelopes, Gazelles, Cattle, Goats, Sheep, and Relatives. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 664 pp.

Carrascal LM, Seoane J, Palomino D and Polo V. 2008. Explanations for bird species range size: ecological correlates and phylogenetic effects in the Canary Islands. *J Biogeogr*, **35**: 2061–2073.

Diniz-Filho JAF and de Sant'Ana CER. 2000. Phylogenetic correlograms and the evolution of body size in South American owls (Strigiformes). *Genet. Mol. Biol*, **23**(2): 285-292.

Diniz-Filho JAF and Torres N. 2002. Phylogenetic comparative methods and the geographic range size - Body size relationship in new world terrestrial carnivora. *Evol Ecol*, **16(4)**: 351-367.

Ghassemi-Khademi T. 2017a. Evaluation of phylogenetic relationships of Antilopini and Oreotragini tribes (Bovidae: Artiodactyla) based on complete mitochondrial genomes. *JWB*, **1**: 1-11.

Ghassemi-Khademi T. 2017b. A re-evaluation of phylogenetic relationships within the tribe Tragelaphini (Bovinae: Bovidae), based on complete mitochondrial genomes. *J Entomol Zool Stud*, **5(5)**: 1025-1032.

Ghassemi-Khademi T., Madjdzadeh S.M. 2019. A re-evaluation of phylogenetic relationships within the subfamily Hippotraginae. *Jordan J Biol Sci*, **12(3)**: 297-305.

Gatesy J., Amato G., Vrba E.S., Schaller G.B., DeSalle R. 1997. A cladistic analysis of mitochondrial ribosomal DNA from the Bovidae. *Mol. Phylogenetics Evol*, **7**: 303–319.

Groves CP. 2000. Phylogenetic relationships within recent Antilopini (Bovidae). *In*: Vrba, ES., Schaller, George B. (Eds.), **Antelopes, Deer, and Relatives: Fossil Record, Behavioral Ecology, Systematics, and Conservation**, New Haven and London, Yale University Press,pp 223–233.

Grubb P. 2005. Artiodactyla. *In*: **Mammal species of the World. A Taxonomic and Geographic Reference** (3rd edition). Wilson D.E., Reeder D.M., (Eds), Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, pp. 637–722.

Grubb P and Groves C.P. 2005. Revision and classification of the Cephalophinae. *In*: Wilson V.J., (Ed), **Duikers and Rainforests of Africa**, Chipangali Wildlife Trust, Ascot, Bulawayo, Zimbabwe, pp. 703–728.

Hassanin A and Douzery EJP. 1999. The tribal radiation of the family Bovidae (Artiodactyla) and the evolution of the mitochondrial cytochrome b gene. *Mol Phylogenet Evol*, **13**: 227.

Hassanin A, Delsuc F, Ropiquet A, Hammer C, Jansen-vanvuuren B, Matthee C, Ruiz-Garcia M, Catzeflis F, Areskoug V, Nguyen TT and Couloux A. 2012. Pattern and timing of diversification of Cetartiodactyla (Mammalia, Laurasiatheria), as revealed by a comprehensive analysis of mitochondrial genomes. *C R Biol*, **335**: 32–50.

Hepburn HR and Radloff SE. 2011. **Honeybees of Asia**. Springer Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York 669 p p.

Jansen-van-Vuuren B and Robinson TJ. 2001. Retrieval of four adaptive lineages in duiker antelope: Evidence from mitochondrial DNA sequences and fluorescence in situ hybridization. *Mol Phylogenet Evol*, **20**: 409-425.

Johnston AR. 2011. Evolutionary relationships among duiker antelope (Bovidae: Cephalophinae). Ms.C thesis of biological sciences. University of New Orleans, Louisiana, United States of America.

Johnston AR and Anthony NM. 2012. A multi-locus species phylogeny of African forest duikers in the subfamily Cephalophinae: evidence for a recent radiation in the Pleistocene. *BMC Evol Biol*, **12**: 120.

Kimura M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rate of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *J Mol Evol*, **16**: 111-120.

Kuznetsova MV and Kholodova MV. 2003. Revision of phylogenetic relationships in the Antilopinae subfamily on the basis of the mitochondrial rRNA and b-spectrin nuclear gene sequences. *Dokl Biol Sci*, **391**: 333–336.

Leonhardt SD, Rasmussen C and Schmitt T. 2013. Genes versus environment: geography and phylogenetic relationships shape the chemical profiles of stingless bees on a global scale. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*, **280**: 280: 20130680.

Manuel H, Ferna N and Elisabeth S. 2005. A complete estimate of the phylogenetic relationships in Ruminantia: A dated species level supertree of the extant ruminants. *Biol Rev*, 269–302.

Marcot JD. 2007. Molecular phylogeny of terrestrial artiodactyls. *In*: Prothero, DR. and Foss, SE. (Eds.),**The Evolution of Artiodactyls**, Baltimore, Johns Hopkins University Press.

Moyer D, Jones T and Rovero F. 2016. *Cephalophus spadix*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016: e.T4151A50184413.

Rzhetsky A and Nei M. 1992. A simple method for estimating and testing minimum evolution trees. *Mol Biol Evol*, **9:** 945-967.

Rebholz W and Harley E. 1999. Phylogenetic relationships in the bovid subfamily Antilopinae based on mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Mol. Phylogen Evol*, **12**: 87–94.

Saitou N and Nei M. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: A new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol Biol Evol*, **4**: 406-425.

Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A and Kumar S. 2013. MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0. *Mol Biol Evol*, **30:** 2725-2729.

Wronski T, Wacher T, Hammond RL, Winney B, Hundertmark JK, Blacket MJ, Mohammed OB, Flores B, Omer SA, Macasero W, Plath M, Tiedemann R and Bleidorn C. 2010. Two reciprocally monophyletic mtDNA lineages elucidate the taxonomic status of mountain gazelles (*Gazella gazella*). Syst Biodivers, **8**: 119–129.

Wilson DE and Reeder DM. 2005. Antilopinae, in Mammal Species of the World: A taxonomic and geographic reference. Johns Hopkins University Press, 3^a ed.

Win NZ, Choi EY, Park J and Park JK. 2017. Molecular phylogenetic relationship of the subfamily Nymphalinae (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) in Myanmar, inferred from mitochondrial gene sequences. *J Asia Pac Biodivers*, **10**: 86-90.

Wollenberg KC, Vieites DR, Glaw F and Vences M. 2011. Speciation in little: the role of range and body size in the diversification of Malagasy mantellid frogs. *BMC Evol Biol*, **11**: 217.

Zhang WQ and Zhang MH. 2013. Complete mitochondrial genomes revealphylogeny relationship and evolutionaryhistory of the family Felidae. *Genet Mol Res*, **12**(3): 3256-3262