Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms in TLR4 Gene and Endometritis Resistance in River Buffalo (Bubalus bubalis)

Noha M. Osman^{1,2}, Ahlam A. Abou Mossallem², Fawzy R. El Seedy³ and Eman R. Mahfouz^{2*}

¹Department of Molecular and Computational Biology, University of Southern California, 1050 Childs Way RRI316 Los Angeles, CA 90089. USA: ²Department of Cell Biology, Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research Division, National Research Centre, 12311 Dokki, Giza, ³Department of Bacteriology, Mycology and Immunology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Beni-Suef University, Beni-Suef, Egypt.

Received March 13, 2018; Revised June 24, 2018; Accepted June 25, 2018

Abstract

Toll-like receptor (TLR) genes play a crucial role in pathogen recognition and subsequent activation of the innate immune response. The role of TLR4 in the initiation of inflammatory and immune response makes it a suitable candidate gene for marker-assisted selection to enhance disease resistance in dairy animals. The present study was undertaken to characterize the distribution of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in TLR4 coding region, and to test their role as potential risk factor for the occurrence of endometritis in river buffalo Egyptian breed (Bubalus bubalis). The analysis of the entire coding sequences (CDs) enabled the identification of 13 non-synonymous SNP. A statistical correlation was observed between resistance to endometritis and the genotype CC at the 2464 C >A locus and AA genotype at the 2465 A > C. Moreover, a correlation was seen between susceptibility to endometritis and the heterozygous and recessive homozygous genotypes of these SNPs. Haplotype reconstruction of the TLR4 gene revealed a statistical significance of haplotype frequencies between healthy and diseased cases by performing a permutation test which retrieved significant association with the occurrence of the disease in the coding region of TLR4.PolyPhen 2 analysis revealed two amino acid substitutions which may have a potential structural and functional significance. The current study describes some novel SNPs in TLR4 gene of river buffalo Egyptian breed and their association with the endometritis disease, therefore suggesting a possible positional marker in the buffalo genome that may be related to such a disease.

Keywords: Bubalus bubalis, TLR4, Endometritis, SNPs, Genotype.

1. Introduction

Water buffalo plays a pivotal role in the livestock and agricultural economy of many developing countries including Egypt. The current world water buffalo population is estimated at 195 million heads including both river and swamp buffaloes. Egyptian buffaloes are of the river type with a population of 4 million heads, it ranks third after the Indian (over 115 millions) and Pakistani buffalo (31.7 millions) (Lewandowski 2015).

Although there are many advantages for raising water buffalo, breeders and farmers have been facing many problems such as poor reproductive efficiency and high incidence of infertility (Michelizzi et al., 2010). Endometritis is the most common uterine disease observed in buffaloes slaughtered at abattoirs, and is one of the main causes of infertility in both cattle and buffalo (Ajevar et al., 2014; Azawi et al., 2008). The severity of endometritis is dependent in part on the type of bacteria present; moreover, the establishment and persistence of the uterine infection are also influenced by the presence of a suitable uterine environment, genetic factors, and the animal's innate and acquired immunity (Williams et al., 2005).

Mammalian Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are a key family of innate immune proteins and a major class of pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) (Medzhitov 2001). TLRs recognize broad classes of pathogen- associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), and play an essential role in initiating and directing immune responses to pathogens (Takeda et al., 2003; Takeda and Akira, 2005).

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) can occur anywhere in the genome. Leveque et al., (2003) reported that polymorphisms within TLR genes are associated with variations in disease resistance traits in livestock.

The TLR4 gene in water buffalo consists of three exons and two introns, and is located on chromosome number 8 (Gulhane and Sangwan, 2012). TLR4 is a member of the TLR family which is highly expressed in the endometrial cells of the uterus, and play a key link between endometritis and immune system response; therefore, they can be considered as detection markers for the endometritis disease (Ganesan et al., 2013; Yeo et al., 2013 ; Ju et al., 2014). Polymorphisms of TLR4 exons in buffalo

^{*} Corresponding author. e-mail: emanmahfouz27@yahoo.com.

lead to the alteration of resistance and susceptibility for the disease occurrence, and make it a suitable candidate gene for use in marker-assisted selection (Mitra *et al.*, 2012).

The aim of this study is to identify SNPs in the full CDs of TLR4 gene performing a case-control study to test its role as potential risk factor for the endometritis occurrence in river buffalo Egyptian breed, with the purpose of enhancing available genetic tools for the improvement of health and production of an animal considered of increasing worldwide economic importance. Additionally, the current study characterizes TLR4 protein architecture domains, where TIR domain plays an important role in inflammatory response activation since the number and structure of LRRs of TLRs have a significant effect on the function of pathogen recognition system among species.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design

Genetic association between *TLR4* gene SNPs and endometritis disease was studied by a case-control approach on uterine tissues which were collected from forty Egyptian buffaloes (river buffalo) at the slaughter house. The forty samples were divided equally to apparently healthy uteri and clinically infected ones according to the physical examination and presence of abnormal secretions and inflammation signs in uterine tissues (Williams *et al.*, 2005; Sheldon *et al.*, 2009). Bacteriological processing was performed on all forty uterine samples to confirm the samples identification detected by visual inspection, and to identify bacterial pathogens from clinically diseased samples (Collee *et al.*, 1996; Quinn *et al.*, 2011).

2.2. TLR4 Sequencing

Total genomic DNA was extracted from uterine samples using DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Five specific PCR primers were designed to amplify entire CDs of three exons of TLR4 gene using published Bubalus bubalisTLR4 gene (accession no. JN786600) and the web interface primer3 as shown in Table 1. The TLR4 coding sequence was amplified using five primers according to the following thermal profile: initial denaturation at 95°C for 1-3 minutes, followed by forty cycles of thirty seconds at 95°C, thirty seconds at TMann(C) which is the annealing temperature specific for each primer (Table 1) and one minute at 72°C, with a final extension for one minute. PCR reactions were performed with PCR Master Mix kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK), which included in addition, 50ng of genomic DNA and 10µM of each primer (forward and reverse) in a final volume 50 µL. Amplicons were purified according to the manufacturer's instructions (QIAquick PCR Purification Kit, Qiagen) and were bidirectionally sequenced by Laragen company (USA).

Table 1. PCR primers, annealing temperatures and amplicon size of amplified *TLR4* exons.

Amplicon	PCR	Sequence (5`-3`)	CDs of TLR4
(bp)	TMann(C)		gene
785	53°C	F: GACAGCCATCTATAAGCCAAGG	TLR4 A
		R: TGTCTGTTTGCAAATGAACCT	(CDs_Exon1)
273	56°C	F: AGAGTTGCTGGGAAGTCTGC	TLR4 B
		R: AACATTCCTCCTTGTACAGTGGT	(CDs_Exon2)
985	55°C	F: GCATTGTTATATCTGTGTGGAGACC	TLR4 C
		R:TGAGATCTAGATACTGAAGGCTTGG	(CDs_Exon3)
953	54°C	F: CGAATTCTCAGGGGACGATA	TLR4 D
		R: GCTCTGCACACATCATTTGC	(CDs_Exon3)
953	55°C	F: GACTGCAGTTTCAACCGTATCA	TLR4 E
		R:TGGCAGCATTTACTTGTTAACTGA	(CDs_Exon3)

2.3. SNP Selection and Genotyping

Sequences were analyzed by multiple alignment using CLUSTAL–W program (Thompson *et al.*, 1994). The forty river buffalo Egyptian breed sequences of the *TLR4* gene were aligned with CDs of publicly available GenBank: JN 786600. Each forward and reverse sequence from a single DNA sample was aligned against each other to generate a consensus sequence for each sample and to identify polymorphisms among the samples, in addition to the confirmation of polymorphic sites by visual examination of sequence's charts.

2.4. Genetic Association and Protein Analysis

Genotype frequencies were tested for deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) by using Fisher's Exact test, where the allelic and genotypic frequencies were carried out for each SNP independently.

The univariate logistic regression model was performed for the SNPs that were significantly associated with the disease. Odds Ratio test (OR) was calculated with 95 % confidence interval (CI) (McHugh, 2009). All statistical analyses were performed using R statistical program, and P-value was corrected using Bonferroni method (Bland and Altman, 1995). Haplotype reconstruction was performed using PHASE software, (version 2.1) (Stephens et al., 2001). The potential impact on protein structure and function due to amino acid substitutions was performed by PolyPhen-2 software (Polymorphism Phenotyping V2) with default parameters (Adzhubei et al., 2010), and the colored figures are available at http://genetics.bwh. harvard.edu/cgi-bin/ggi/ggi2.cgi. Protein domain has been predicted from the CD sequence by using the Conserved Domain Database available at (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi) (Aron et al., 2009).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Bacteriological Analysis

In a previous study, Osman *et al.* (2015) aimed to characterize the transcripts of TLRs: TLR1, TLR2, TLR4, TLR6 and TLR10 in *Bubalus bubalis* with endometritis. Quantitative real-time (q-PCR) assays were performed to detect transcript expression profiles of these TLRs in liver, mammary gland, ovary and uterus of *Bubalus bubalis* with and without endometritis. The results showed that the

transcript profiles of TLRs especially TLR2 and TLR4 were significantly different in mammary glands, ovary and the uterus.

The current study focused on an important *TLR* gene, *TLR4*, which has the ability to recognize endotoxins associated with Gram-negative bacterial infections (White *et al.*, 2003; Davies *et al.*,2008; Mariotti *et al.*, 2009; Mitra *et al.*, 2012; Alfano *et al.*, 2014). As shown in Table 2, *Escherichia coli* was the highly isolated bacterium from the diseased samples.

Table 2. Identification of bacteria, isolated by aerobic and anaerobic culture of uterine swabs, according to their expected pathogenic potential in the uterus.

1	2	3	
E. coli (45.5)	S. aureus (31.58)	Micrococcus spp. (23.68)	
	S. pyogenes (26.32)	Klebsiella spp. (33.3)	
		S. epidermidis (18.42)	
		Proteus spp. (21.2)	

-Categories are: (1) recognized uterine pathogens associated with uterine endometrial lesions; (2) potential pathogens frequently isolated from the bovine uterine lumen and cases of endometritis but not commonly associated with uterine lesions; (3) opportunist contaminants transiently isolated from the uterine lumen and not associated with endometritis. Numbers in parenthesis indicate the percentage of a total of 20 samples isolates.

3.2. SNPs Identification and Protein Analysis

The analysis of the entire CDs of TLR4, which was deduced from five overlapped amplified fragments, enabled us to identify thirteen polymorphic sites. All SNPs were bi-allelic and non-synonymous with eight nSNPs being transversions and five nSNPs being transitions. In addition, two dinucleotide SNPs in the CDs of exon 3 $(576/577 \ TG > GA \ \& \ 2464/2465 \ AC > CA)$ were identified. The TLR4 CD sequences generated from healthy and diseased cases were submitted to GenBank with the accession numbers KU984440 and KU984441, respectively. For protein analysis, the majority of amino acid substitutions were detected to be benign. Only two substitutions (I 271 R and F272 L), which were found within TLR4 coding region, were observed to be possibly damaging, and may have a potential impact on the alteration of protein structure and function (Adzhubei et al., 2013). It is to be noted that the change of polarity from nonpolar Isoleucine to polar Arginine (I 271 R) might also affect the protein structure and function (Allen et al., 2013). This effect of change in protein function, related to that of amino acid polarity of TLR4 SNPs, was also reported in cattle by White et al. (2003). It was also previously reported that non-synonymous SNPs that modify amino acids polarity in TLRs may affect ligand binding and recognition (Zhang et al., 2014). Furthermore, amino acid substitutions that alter the amino acid polarity may also have a potential impact on host immune responses and resistance to diseases (Shinkai et al., 2006).

Table 3 shows all the SNPs, their positions in TLR4 CDs, the protein domain and PolyPhen-2 analysis of amino acid substitution effects of these SNPs. Five non-synonymous SNPs were detected in Leucine-rich repeats (LRR) domains of *TLR4*, which might have a role in altering its ability to identify extracellular pathogens

(Fujita *et al.*, 2003 ; Seabury *et al.*, 2007). Furthermore, polymorphisms that occur in LRR domains may cause changes in responsiveness towards pathogenic microorganisms (Matsushima *et al.*, 2007).

3.3. Case-Control Study Analysis

As a first step for the genetic association study, Fisher's Exact test was carried out for the calculation of allele and genotype frequencies for each SNP (McDonald, 2009), where it was found that all *TLR4* SNPs conformed to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (P > 0.05) (Salanti *et al.*, 2005). Only two SNPs (2464 M (C/A) & 2465 M (A/C)) in the coding region were found to be statistically significant (P<0.01) in the distribution of allele and genotype frequencies between healthy and diseased cases (Table 4).

3.4. Odd Ratio Test Analysis for Statistically Significant SNPs

The two statistically significant SNPs [2464 (C/A) and 2465 (A/C)], associated with endometritis disease (P<0.01) (Table 4) were subjected to further analysis. The logistic regression model was performed to calculate the Odd Ratio (OR) for each SNP.

The OR test analysis revealed the presence of associations between the two statistically significant SNPs [2464 (C/A) and 2465 (A/C)] and resistance/susceptibility to the endometritis disease. Specifically, a correlation was observed between resistance to the endometritis disease and the genotype CC at the 2464 C >A locus and AA genotype at the 2465 A > C locus [P=0.006, OR=0.2411 and 95% Cl=0.134-0.436]. Moreover, a correlation was detected between susceptibility to endometritis and the heterozygous and recessive homozygous genotypes of those SNPs (OR: 2.125 and 6.00; P: 0.01 and 0.002, respectively; Table 5). Despite the relatively small number of samples used, the results give a rather good idea about the association between TLR4 genotypes and resistance/ susceptibility to endometritis. Those results are in good agreement with: Cargill and Womack, 2007 ;Uenishi and Shinkai, 2009 ; Jann et al., 2009 ; Koets et al., 2010 ; Plantinga et al., 2012 ; Tschirren et al., 2013 ; Alfano et al., 2014, who reported that TLRs polymorphisms are associated with resistance and susceptibility to diseases in many species.

Previous studies have demonstrated the influence of polymorphisms on susceptibility to several bacterial diseases, where *TLR4* polymorphisms were found to increase the risk of infections like tuberculosis (Zhang *et al.*, 2013; Sun *et al.*, 2015), urinary tract infections (Hawn *et al.*, 2009), Mastitis (Kannaki *et al.*, 2011; Sharma *et al.*, 2006), and other disease conditions (Mucha *et al.*, 2009; Schnetzke *et al.*, 2015). The relation between endometritis occurrence and genetic polymorphism of TLRs in humans and cattle was previously reported (Taylor *et al.*, 2012; Pinedo *et al.*, 2013). In this respect, several polymorphisms have been found in the bovine *TLR4* gene, 12 SNPs affecting ligand binding domain (White *et al.*, 2003), and four SNPs were associated with susceptibility to Map infection (Mucha *et al.*, 2009).

CDs_Exon	SNPs positions	Amino acid substitution	Protein	Amino acid substitution effect
	-		domain	PolyPhen-2 software (Polymorphism Phenotyping V2) [Adzhubei et al. 2010]
CDs_Exon1	44 Y(C>T)	Thr (Polar) 15 Met (Non Polar)	_*	This mutation is predicted to be BENIGN with a score of 0.000 (sensitivity: 1.00; specificity: 0.00)
CDs_Exon 1	66 W(A>T)	Arg (Polar) 22Ser (Polar)	LRR_R1	This mutation is predicted to be BENIGN with a score of 0.137 (sensitivity: 0.92; specificity: 0.86)
CDs_Exon 3	572 M (C>A)	Tyr (Polar) 191Ser (Polar)	LRR_8	This mutation is predicted to be BENIGN with a score of 0.013 (sensitivity: 0.96; specificity: 0.78)
CDs_Exon 3	576 K (T>G)	His (Polar) 192Gln (Polar)	LRR_8	This mutation is predicted to be BENIGN with a score of 0.101 (sensitivity: 0.93; specificity: 0.85)
CDs_Exon 3	577/579 R(GA>AG)	Lys (Polar) 193 Glu (Polar)	LRR_8	This mutation is predicted to be BENIGN with a score of 0.001 (sensitivity: 0.99; specificity: 0.15)
CDs_Exon 3	647 R(G>A)	Gly(Non Polar) 216 Asp (Polar)	LRR_R1	This mutation is predicted to be BENIGN with a score of 0.055 (sensitivity: 0.94; specificity: 0.84)
CDs_Exon 3	662 R(G>A)	Gly(Non Polar) 221 Asp (Polar)	_*	This mutation is predicted to be BENIGN with a score of 0.389 (sensitivity: 0.90; specificity: 0.89)
CDs_Exon 3	672 M(A>C)	Lys (Polar) 224 Asn (Polar)	_*	This mutation is predicted to be BENIGN with a score of 0.011 (sensitivity: 0.96; specificity: 0.78)
CDs_Exon 3	812 K(T>G)	IIe (Non Polar) 271Arg (Polar)	_*	This mutation is predicted to be POSSIBLY DAMAGING with a score of 0.964 (sensitivity: 0.76; specificity: 0.94)
CDs_Exon 3	816 M(C>A)	Phe (Non Polar) 272Leu (Non Polar)	_*	this mutation is predicted to be PROBABLY DAMAGING with a score of 0.985 (sensitivity: 0.74; specificity: 0.96)
CDs_Exon 3	2464/2465 M (AC>CA)	Gln (Polar) 822Thr (Polar)	_*	0.00 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.00 $1.00This mutation is predicted to be BENIGN with a score of 0.001 (sensitivity: 0.99; specificity: 0.15)$

 Table 3. Detected SNPs and their effect on protein function in TLR4 CDs.

-SNPs positions were calculated by taking the ATG start codon as position 1 on the GenBank sequence: JN786600

- Amino acids substitutions are considered according to the ATG start codon

-* Protein position without known function

Table 4. Corrected *P*-values for allelic and genotypic frequencies for each SNP of *TLR4* CDS.

SNPs position	Corrected P value of allelic frequency	Corrected P value of genotypic frequency
44 Y(C/T)	0.05	0.14
66 W(A/T)	0.05	0.14
572 M(C/A)	0.97	0.99
576 K(T/G)	0.96	0.98
577 R(A/G)	0.97	0.99
579 R(G/A)	0.97	0.99
647 R(G/A)	0.96	0.98
662 R(G/A)	0.96	0.98
672 M(A/C)	0.96	0.98
812 K(T/G)	0.96	0.98
816 M(C/A)	0.96	0.98
2464 M(C/A)	0.004*	0.0012*
2465 M(A/C)	0.004*	0.0012*

-*Statistically significant values (P < 0.01). Fisher Exact test was used because of the small number of samples. Bonferroni was used for p value correction.

Table 5. Polymorphic sites including genotypes of statistically significant differences in frequency distribution between healthy and diseased cases.

SNPs	Genotype	P-value	OR	95% Cl
CD 2464	CC	0.006*	0.2411	0.134-0.436
M(C/A)	CA	0.01*	2.125	1.1962-3.7750
	AA	0.002*	6.000	1.969-18.275
CD 2465	AA	0.006*	0.2411	0.134-0.436
M(A/C)	CA	0.01*	2.125	1.1962-3.7750
	CC	0.002*	6.000	1.969-18.275

-*Statistically significant p values (*p* <0.05) and ORs. CL: confidence level.

3.5. Haplotype Prediction

The determination of haplotype reconstruction for several SNPs in one gene is considered to be a powerful tool to provide more information about genotypephenotype associations than individual SNPs (Ciampolini et al., 2007). Haplotype reconstruction based on TLR4 polymorphisms performed by PHASE software, generated 21 possible haplotypes. The most frequent haplotype within healthy cases was TTCTAGGGATCCA which displayed 30 % frequency, while CACTAGGGATCAC was the most frequent haplotype within diseased cases with a 31 % frequency. The software PHASE revealed the presence of a statistical significance (P value=0.02) of haplotype frequencies between healthy and diseased cases, which indicated significant association between haplotype frequencies and the occurrence of endometritis disease in the coding region of *TLR4*.

Haplotype reconstruction of TLRs and its association with the disease occurrence have been reported in humans (Ferwerda *et al.*, 2007; Bochud *et al.*, 2008), cattle (Jann *et al.*, 2009) and buffaloes (Alfano *et al.*, 2014).

4. Conclusion

Polymorphisms and mutations of TLRs interfere with the innate immune activation due to the reduction of the protein ability to recognize pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). The current study is focused on an important Toll-Like receptor gene, TLR4, which has the ability to recognize endotoxins associated with gramnegative bacterial infections. It suggests the presence of novel polymorphic sites in TLR4 gene in the river buffalo Egyptian breed and their association with the endometritis disease occurrence. Studies of the genetic factors involved in complex diseases may help identify their underlying physio-pathological pathways, which will improve our ability to understand the disease in its entirety, and to determine the risk of developing it. This will pave the way to the improvement of disease resistance in herds by selective breeding, and also to the identification and synthesis of innovative drugs.

References

Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A, Bork P, Kondrashov AS and Sunyaev SR. 2010. A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. *Nature Methods*,**7(4)**: 248-249.

Adzhubei I, Jordan DM and Sunyaev SR. 2013. Predicting functional effect of human missense mutations using PolyPhen-2. *Curr Protoc Hum Genet.*, **2013**:7-20.

Ajevar G, Muthu S, Sarkar M, Kumar H, Das GK and Krishnaswamy N. 2014. Transcriptional profile of endometrial TLR4 and 5 genes during the estrous cycle and uterine infection in the buffalo (*Bubalusbubalis*). *Vet Res Comm.*,**38** (2): 171-176.

Alfano F, Peletto S, Lucibelli MG, Borriello G, Urciuolo G, Maniaci MG, Desiato R, Tarantino M, Barone A, Pasquali P and Acutis PL. 2014. Identification of single nucleotide polymorphisms in Toll-like receptor candidate genes associated with tuberculosis infection in water buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*). *BMC Genet.*,**15** (1): 139.

Allen SJ, Schwartz AM and Bush MF. 2013. Effects of polarity on the structures and charge states of native-like proteins and protein complexes in the gas phase. *Analyt Chem.*,,85 (24): 12055-12061.

Aron MB, Anderson JB, Chitsaz F, Derbyshire MK, DeWeese-Scott C, Fong JH, Geer LY, Geer RC, Gonzales NR, Gwadz M. and He S. 2009. Specific functional annotation with the Conserved Domain Database. *Nucl Acids Res.*,**37**: 205–210.

Azawi OI, Ali AJ and Lazim EH. 2008. Pathological and anatomical abnormalities affecting buffalo cows reproductive tracts in Mosul. *Iraqi J Vet Sci.*, **22** (2): 59-67.

Bland JM and Altman DG. 1995. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. *BMJ.*, *310* (6973): 170.

Bochud PY, Chien JW, Marr KA, Leisenring WM, Upton A, Janer M, Rodrigues SD, Li S, Hansen JA, Zhao LP and Aderem A. 2008. Toll-like receptor 4 polymorphisms and aspergillosis in stem-cell transplantation. *New England J Med.*, **359** (17): 1766-1777.

Cargill EJ and Womack JE. 2007. Detection of polymorphisms in bovine toll-like receptors 3, 7, 8, and 9. *Genomics*, **89** (6): 745-755.

Ciampolini R, Ciani E, Roux M, Cecchi F, Mazanti E, Tancredi M, Castellana E, Presciuttini S and Amarger V. 2007. Haplotype reconstruction from unphased genotype data at the bovine PRKAG3 gene. *Annali della Facoltà di Medicina Veterinaria*, **59**: 81-86.

Collee JG, Anderw GF and Barrie PM. 1996. **Practical Medical Microbiology**, 14th edition. Churchill Livingstone, USA.

Davies D, Meade KG, Herath S, Eckersall PD, Gonzalez D, White JO, Conlan RS, O'Farrelly C and Sheldon IM. 2008. Tolllike receptor and antimicrobial peptide expression in the bovine endometrium. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol.*, **6** (1): 53.

Ferwerda B, McCall MB, Alonso S, Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ, Mouktaroudi M, Izagirre N, Syafruddin D, Kibiki G, Cristea T, Hijmans A and Hamann L. 2007. TLR4 polymorphisms, infectious diseases, and evolutionary pressure during migration of modern humans. *Proceedings of the Nat Acad Sci.*, **104** (**42**): 16645-16650.

Fujita M, Into T, Yasuda M, Okusawa T, Hamahira S, Kuroki Y, Eto A, Nisizawa T, Morita M and Shibata KI. 2003. Involvement of leucine residues at positions 107, 112, and 115 in a leucine-rich repeat motif of human Toll-like receptor 2 in the recognition of diacylated lipoproteins and lipopeptides and Staphylococcus aureus peptidoglycans. *J Immunol.*, **171** (7): 3675-3683.

Ganesan A, Sankar M, Saravanan R, Das GK, Kumar H, Kumaresan A and Narayanan K. 2013. Endometrial Toll–like receptors and postpartum uterine infection in bovine; a Mini review. *Advances in AnimVet Sci.*, **1** (2S): 37-41.

Gulhane AB and Sangwan ML. 2012. Polymorphism in TLR 4 gene and its association with mastitis in Murrah buffaloes. *Indian J Biotechnol.*, **11(3)**: 330-332.

Hawn TR, Scholes D, Li SS, Wang H, Yang Y, Roberts PL, Stapleton AE, Janer M, Aderem A, Stamm WE and Zhao LP. 2009. Toll-like receptor polymorphisms and susceptibility to urinary tract infections in adult women. *PloS One*, **4**(6): e5990.

Jann OC, King A, Corrales NL, Anderson SI, Jensen K, Ait-Ali T, Tang H, Wu C, Cockett NE, Archibald AL and Glass EJ. 2009. Comparative genomics of Toll-like receptor signalling in five species. *BMC Genomics*, **10** (1): 1.

Ju J, Li L, Xie J, Wu Y, Wu X and Li W. 2014. Toll-like receptor-4 pathway is required for the pathogenesis of human chronic endometritis. *Exper Therapeutic Med*, **8(6)**: 1896-1900.

Kannaki TR, Shanmugam M and Verma PC. 2011. Toll-like receptors and their role in animal reproduction. *Anim Reprod Sci.*, **125** (1): 1-12.

Koets A, Santema W, Mertens H, Oostenrijk D, Keestra M, Overdijk M, Labouriau R, Franken P, Frijters A, Nielen M and Rutten VPMG. 2010. Susceptibility to paratuberculosis infection in cattle is associated with single nucleotide polymorphisms in Toll-like receptor 2 which modulate immune responses against Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis. *Preventive Vet Med*, **93(4)**: 305-315.

Lewandowski CM. 2015. Inquiry into Life. The effects of brief mindfulness intervention on acute pain experience. *An Examination of Individual Difference*, **1:** 1689-1699.

Leveque G, Forgetta V, Morroll S, Smith AL, Bumstead N, Barrow P, Loredo-Osti JC, Morgan K and Malo D. 2003. Allelic variation in TLR4 is linked to susceptibility to Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium infection in chickens. *Infect Immun.*, **71**(3): 1116-1124.

Mariotti M, Williams JL, Dunner S, Valentini A and Pariset L. 2009. Polymorphisms within the toll-like receptor (TLR)-2,-4, and-6 genes in cattle. *Diversity*, **1(1)**: 7-18.

Matsushima N, Tanaka T, Enkhbayar P, Mikami T, Taga M, Yamada K and Kuroki Y. 2007. Comparative sequence analysis of leucine-rich repeats (LRRs) within vertebrate toll-like receptors. *BMC Genomics*, **8(1)**: 124.

McDonald JH. 2009. **Handbook of Biological Statistics**. Baltimore, MD: Sparky House Publishing, pp. 173-181.

McHugh ML. 2009. The odds ratio: calculation, usage, and interpretation. *Biochemia Medica*, **19**(2): 120-126.

Medzhitov R. 2001. Toll-like receptors and innate immunity. *Nature Rev Immunol.*, **1**(2): 135-145.

Michelizzi VN, Dodson MV, Pan Z, Amaral MEJ, Michal JJ, McLean DJ, Womack JE and Jiang Z. 2010. Water buffalo genome science comes of age. *Int J Biol Sci.*, **6(4)**: 333-349.

Mitra M, Taraphder S, Sonawane GS and Verma A. 2012. Nucleotide sequencing and SNP detection of Toll-like receptor-4 gene in Murrah Buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*). *ISRN Mol Biol.*, (2012): 659513.

Mucha R, Bhide MR, Chakurkar EB, Novak M and Mikula I. 2009. Toll-like receptors TLR1, TLR2 and TLR4 gene mutations and natural resistance to *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. paratuberculosis infection in cattle. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol.*, **128(4)**: 381-388.

Osman NM, Abou Mossallam AA, El Seedy FR, Mahfouz ER, Li R and Mikula M. 2015. Characterization and transcriptional profile of toll-like receptors of *Bubalus bubalis* with endometritis.*J Infect Mol Biol.*, **3** (2): 34-46.

Pinedo PJ, Galvao KN and Seabury CM. 2013. Innate immune gene variation and differential susceptibility to uterine diseases in Holstein cows. *Theriogenology*, **80(4)**: 384-390.

Plantinga TS, Johnson MD, Scott WK, Van De Vosse E, Edwards DRV, Smith PB, Alexander BD, Yang JC, Kremer D, Laird GM and Oosting M. 2012. Toll-like receptor 1 polymorphisms increase susceptibility to candidemia. *J Infect Dis.*, **205(6)**: 934-943.

Quinn PJ, Markey BK, Leonard FC, FitzPatrick ES, Fanning S and Hartigan P. 2011. Veterinary Microbiology and Microbial Disease. John Wiley & Sons.

Salanti G, Amountza G, Ntzani EE and Ioannidis J.P. 2005. Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium in genetic association studies: an empirical evaluation of reporting, deviations, and power. *Eur J Human Genet.*, **13**(7): 840-848.

Schnetzke U, Spies-Weisshart B, Yomade O, Fischer M, Rachow T, Schrenk K, Glaser A, von Lilienfeld-Toal M, Hochhaus A and Scholl S. 2015. Polymorphisms of Toll-like receptors (TLR2 and TLR4) are associated with the risk of infectious complications in acute myeloid leukemia. *Genes and Immun.*, **16(1)**: 83-88.

Seabury CM, Cargill EJ and Womack JE. 2007. Sequence variability and protein domain architectures for bovine Toll-like receptors 1, 5, and 10. *Genomics*: **90(4)**: 502-515.

Sharma BS, Leyva I, Schenkel F and Karrow NA. 2006. Association of toll-like receptor 4 polymorphisms with somatic cell score and lactation persistency in Holstein bulls. *J Dairy Sci.*, **89(9):** 3626-3635.

Sheldon IM, Cronin J, Goetze L, Donofrio G and Schuberth H.J. 2009. Defining postpartum uterine disease and the mechanisms of infection and immunity in the female reproductive tract in cattle. *Biol Reprod.*, **81(6)**:1025-1032.

Shinkai H, Tanaka M, Morozumi T, Eguchi-Ogawa T, Okumura N, Muneta Y, Awata T and Uenishi H. 2006. Biased distribution of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in porcine Toll-like receptor 1 (TLR1), TLR2, TLR4, TLR5, and TLR6 genes. *Immunogenetics*, **58**(4): 324-330.

Stephens M, Smith NJ and Donnelly P. 2001. A new statistical method for haplotype reconstruction from population data. *Am J Human Genet.*, **68(4):** 978-989.

Sun Q, Zhang Q, Xiao HP and Bai C. 2015. Toll-like receptor polymorphisms and tuberculosis susceptibility: A comprehensive meta-analysis. *J Huazhong University of Sci Technol [Medical Sci]*, 35: 157-168.

Takeda K, Kaisho T and Akira S. 2003. Toll-like receptors. *Ann Rev Immunol.*, **21(1):** 335-376.

Takeda K and Akira S. 2005. Toll-like receptors in innate immunity. *Inter Immunol.*, **17**(1): 1-14.

Taylor BD, Darville T, Ferrell RE, Kammerer CM, Ness RB and Haggerty CL. 2012. Variants in toll-like receptor 1 and 4 genes are associated with *Chlamydia trachomatis* among women with pelvic inflammatory disease. *J Infect Dis.*, **205(4):** 603-609.

Thompson JD, Higgins DG and Gibson TJ. 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **22(22)**: 4673-4680.

Tschirren B, Andersson M, Scherman K, Westerdahl H, Mittl PR and Råberg L. 2013. Polymorphisms at the innate immune receptor TLR2 are associated with Borrelia infection in a wild rodent population. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B: Biol Sci.*,280(1759):20130364.

Uenishi H and Shinkai H. 2009. Porcine Toll-like receptors: the front line of pathogen monitoring and possible implications for disease resistance. *Develop Comparative Immunol.*, **33(3)**: 353-361.

White SN, Taylor KH, Abbey CA, Gill CA and Womack J.E. 2003. Haplotype variation in bovine Toll-like receptor 4 and computational prediction of a positively selected ligand-binding domain. *Proceedings of the National Acad Sci.*, **100(18)**: 10364-10369.

Williams EJ, Fischer DP, Pfeiffer DU, England GC, Noakes DE, Dobson H and Sheldon IM. 2005. Clinical evaluation of postpartum vaginal mucus reflects uterine bacterial infection and the immune response in cattle. *Theriogenol.*, **63**(1): 102-117.

Yeo SG, Won YS, Lee HY, Kim YI, Lee JW and Park DC. 2013. Increased expression of pattern recognition receptors and nitric oxide synthase in patients with endometriosis. *Inter J Med Sci.*, **10(9)**: 1199.

Zhang XY, Lei M, Xie L, Zhang CX, Zheng J, Yang C, Deng XD, Li JL, Huang DP and Xie XH. 2014. Detection of polymorphisms and protein domain architectures in rabbit toll-like receptor 2. *World Rabbit Sci.*, **22**(1): 83-90.

Zhang Y, Jiang T, Yang X, Xue Y, Wang C, Liu J, Zhang X, Chen Z, Zhao M and Li JC. 2013. Toll-like receptor-1,-2, and-6 polymorphisms and pulmonary tuberculosis susceptibility: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PloS One*, **8**(5): e63357.