# Tramadol-Induced Liver and Kidney Toxicity among Abusers in Gaza Strip, Palestine

Abdelraouf A. Elmanama<sup>\*</sup>, Noor E. S. Abu Tayyem, Hend N. Essawaf and Ikram M. Hmaid

Medical Laboratory Science Department, Islamic University in Gaza, P.O. Box 108, Gaza, Gaza Strip, Palestine Received: January 27, 2015 Revised: February 26, 2015 Accepted: March 2, 2015

## Abstract

Tramadol, a centrally acting analgesic opioid, is considered to have a low abuse potential and is devoid of side effects like drug dependence, liver and kidney toxicity.. The present study was conducted to assess the tramadol addiction effects on both liver and kidney functions among tramadol abusers. A total of 50 male individuals with a tramadol abuse problem were referred to psychiatric clinics in Gaza Strip, along with an age matched normal control. An informed consent was obtained, a questionnaire was filled, blood samples were collected, serum was separated, and tested serologically to detect antibodies to hepatitis A virus, the presence of hepatitis B surface antigen, and IgM antibodies to hepatitis A virus. Aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activities, bilirubin, creatinine, uric acid and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) levels were measured in the serum. Serum ALT, AST and LDH levels were significantly higher in abuser group compared to the control group. Serum ALP, direct bilirubin, total bilirubin, BUN, uric acid and creatinine levels significantly increased in the abusers that were addicted to tramadol for more than 5 years. Our results point out the risk of increased hepatic and renal damage due to a long-term use of tramadol. Although opioids are reported to be effective in pain management, their toxic effects should be kept in mind during the chronic usage. In addition, measures to control the spread of tramadol abuse should be implemented.

Keywords: Tramadol addiction, Liver toxicity, Kidney toxicity, Gaza Strip, Palestine.

## 1. Introduction

Tramadol (Tramal TM) is a centrally acting synthetic opioid analgesic agent, used parenterally and orally for the treatment of moderate to severe pain. The mechanism of its analgesic action is complex. Most reports suggest that the analgesic activity and other clinical effects of tramadol are a result of opioid and non-opioid mechanisms. Tramadol binds to the  $\mu$ -opioid receptor, although much more weakly than morphine. It also inhibits the neuronal reuptake of norepinephrine and serotonin as do the antidepressant drugs such as amitriptyline and desimpramine (Raffa *et al.*, 1992; Raffa, 1996; Dayer *et al.*, 1997; More *et al.*, 1999; Grond and Sablotzki, 2004; Gillman, 2005).

Tramadol has a high oral bioavailability in the range of 70-80%. Peak blood levels are reached in about 2 hours after an oral dose. It is metabolized in the liver by the cytochrome p450 and by-products are excreted through the kidney. Its biotransformation occurs in the liver and results in O-desmethyl-tramadol, which itself is an active substance and 2 to 4 times more potent than tramadol (Subrahmanyam *et al.*, 2001; Wu *et al.*, 2001; Tao *et al.*, 2002; Wu *et al.*, 2002; Halling *et al.*, 2008). Further, biotransformation results in inactive metabolites, which

are excreted by kidneys (Lee et al., 1993; Matthiessen et al., 1998).

Tramadol has a dose-dependent analgesic efficacy that lies between that of codeine and morphine, with a parenteral potency comparable to that of pethidine, i.e., about 10- 20 % of the standard morphine (Wilder-Smith *et al.*, 1999; Pang *et al.*, 2003). A long-term of tramadol administration for management of pain, as well as its use as an acceptable alternative for persons with drug-seeking behavior is controversial. However, the tramadol's effects at a cellular level are not clearly understood (Brena and Sander, 1991; McCarberg and Barkin, 2001; Atici, 2005).

The adverse effects associated with the medicine used in clinics constitute a serious problem for patients and health care providers (Asis *et al.*, 2009). It has been estimated that about 10% of drugs are associated with severe, undesirable side effects (Hussaini and Farrington, 2007; Shi *et al.*, 2010). However, this number is probably underestimated, given that drug-induced adverse effects are difficult to detect due to pre-existing medical conditions, multiple drug usage, and lack of diagnostic standards (Asis *et al.*, 2009).

The central role of liver and kidney in detoxification and drug metabolism increases the risks of toxic injury. Almost certainly, every drug has been associated with hepatotoxicity due to the essential role of the liver in drug

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. e-mail: elmanama@iugaza.edu.ps.

metabolism (Poppers, 1980; Tolman, 1998). Metabolites of the drugs that are excreted from kidneys may also cause a cellular damage leading to a kidney dysfunction and may have a higher activity and/or a greater toxicity than the original drug (Singhal *et al.*, 1998).

Tramadol is considered to have a low abuse potential and is devoid of side effects, like drug-dependence. A tramadol overdose may lead to a Central Nervous System (CNS) depression, nausea and vomiting, tachycardia, seizures, coma, respiratory depression and cardiovascular collapse (Jones, 2005; Chandrasekaran, 2007). Very few fatalities have been reported so far (Pothiawala *et al.*, 2011). Therefore, a tramadol dosage should be adjusted according to the pain severity. The total daily dose should not exceed 400mg in adult therapeutic blood levels of 0.1–0.8 mg/L (Clarot *et al.*, 2003).

Unfortunately, the unregulated access of tramadol to Gaza Strip leads to the misuse of the drug and this is considered a serious problem that may have a negative impact on liver and kidneys among users. A crosssectional prospective study was conducted to assess the liver and kidney functions among chronic users of tramadol in Gaza Strip. In addition, an investigation of the possible causes of tramadol abuse was attempted.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Study Population

The present study is analytical and descriptive. A total of 50 male individuals with tramadol abuse problems, ranging from 15 to 35 years old, were referred between September 2013 to June 2014 to psychiatric clinics in Gaza Strip. A normal control group with matching age and sex was also included, with the condition that they did not take any medication, and did not suffer from any chronic diseases. All the selected abusers were free of chronic diseases, such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, heart diseases and kidney or liver failure; all had a history of addiction.

The purpose and the procedures of the present study were explained to all participants. An informed consent was obtained, a questionnaire filled, and a blood sample was collected. Helsinki ethical committee in Gaza Strip approved of this study.

#### 2.2. Sample Collection

Blood samples were collected into plain tubes, and then the specimens were transported within one hour to the Clinical Chemistry Laboratory of the Faculty of Health Sciences, Islamic University - Gaza. Blood samples were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 minutes, serum was separated immediately. All blood specimens were tested serologically to detect antibodies to hepatitis C virus (HCV), the presence of hepatitis B surface antigen (HbsAg), and IgM antibodies to hepatitis A virus (HAV) by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (CTK Biotech Inc, San Diego). Then, biochemical tests were performed within two hours.

## 2.3. Biochemical Analysis

Levels of Serum enzymes Aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activities, bilirubin, creatinine, uric acid and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) were determined in the serum using (BioSystem, Barcelona) protocols. Data entry and analysis were done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS version 20) software. Comparisons were made using Chi-square test and t-test. A *P*-value of < 0.05 was considered indicative of a statistically significant difference.

## 3. Results

## 3.1. Description of Study Subjects

A total of 100 specimens (50 tramadol abusers who are admitted to psychiatric clinics in Gaza Strip and 50, of matching age and sex, as control) were included in the study. 28 (56%) of the abusers were from the age group of 21-25 years old, followed by the age group of 26-30 (24%), the age group of 31-35 (12%) and the age group of 15-20 (8%) (Figure 1). All abusers were male.



Figure 1. Abusers distribution by age

Twenty-five (50%) of the patients were at the secondary educational level, 27 (54%) of the patients were single. The addiction profile for the patients showed that the average addiction period was 4 years (ranging from 0.5 to 15 years). Most of the patients, 33, (66%) were addicted to a tramadol dose of more than 500 mg and 17 (34%) were addicted to a tramadol dose of less than 500 mg (Table 1) with an average intake of 5 times per day. 48 (96%) of the patients were smokers. Patients selected were free of any abnormalities that may introduce abnormal results in liver and kidney function tests; any patient with positive HAV, HBV, or HCV tests was excluded. None of the patients had a chronic disease such as diabetes, hypertension, heart, and kidney or liver diseases.

Table 1. Tramadol dose intake among patients (N=50)

Daily dose	Frequency	Percent
Less than 500 mg	17	34.0
500-1000 mg	13	26.0
1001-1500 mg	7	14.0
1501-2000 mg	5	10.0
More than 2000 mg	8	16.0

#### 3.2. Liver Function Tests

Liver toxicity does not solely occur by drug metabolites that accumulate due to over\_dosage (Singhal *et al.*, 1998); it can also occur through viral infection. In the present study, all patients were tested for hepatitis viruses (HAV, HBV, and HCV) and only those with negative results were included in the study.

With regard to liver function tests, ALT results were significantly higher at p-value of less than 0.05 in tramadol abusers (72%) against the control group (0%) (Figure 2A).

About 50% of the tramadol abusers showed abnormal AST and LDH results in comparison with the control

group that scored only 16% (Figures 2B and 2D). ALP, direct billirubin, and total bilirubin results showed no significant difference between the two groups. When the addiction period was considered in comparing the results, it was found that 4% of the "more than 5 years tramadol abusers" showed an abnormal result against a 100% normal result among the control group (Figure 2C).

## 3.3. Kidney Function Tests

During the vital role of kidney in blood clearance from toxic compounds, some of these compounds, such as drug metabolites, may cause a cellular damage, leading to a kidney dysfunction (Singhal *et al.*, 1998).

Kidney function was evaluated among the tramadol addicts and the results showed that a low percentage of the abusers (ranging from 6% -10%) had abnormal BUN and Uric acid value. Those patients were categorized as chronic addicts for more than five years (Figure 3 A and B); this indicates that the long-term tramadol addiction may cause kidney injury. The creatinine levels showed no significance differences among abusers and controls.



**Figure 2.** Liver enzymes results in patients and control serum. At P < 0.05 patients showed significant higher ALT results (A), AST results (B). ALP, Direct bilirubin and Total bilirubin and bilirubin was only elevated in patients addicted to tramadol more than 5 years (C). Data significantly showed high levels of LDH among patients at P < 0.05 (D).



Figure 3. Kidney function tests results in patients and control serum. At P < 0.05 patients with long term of addiction had abnormal BUN results (A), uric acid results (B).

## 4. Discussion

Tramadol overdosing has been one of the most frequent causes of drug poisoning in the recent years, especially in young adult males with a history of substance abuse and mental disorders (Shadina *et al.*, 2008). When overdosed, it is, as believed, associated with significant morbidity and mortality (Jones, 2005; and Chandrasekaran, 2007). The present is the first study that attempts to assess the effects of tramadol addiction on liver and kidney functions among the chronic users of tramadol in young adults of Gaza Strip.

The hepatic function in drug metabolism involves converting drugs and other compounds into products that are more easily excreted (Coughtrie *et al.*, 1989; Milne *et al.*, 1997; Tolman, 1998). Metabolites may have a higher activity and/ or a greater toxicity than the original drug. These metabolites, excreted via kidneys, may also cause a cellular damage and, thus, a kidney dysfunction (Singhal *et al.*, 1998). The liver and kidney are responsible for the tramadol metabolism and excretion and the high risk of hepatotoxicity and nephrotoxicity (Wu *et al.*, 2001; Jansen, 2005).

In the present study, tramadol abusers' personal data showed that about 56% of the abusers were 21 to 25 years old, and 50% did not go to college. This age is particularly critical, especially with the difficult socioeconomic status along with a life of hardship and unemployment. Another key factor that could contribute to the use of tramadol and other drugs by young adults is the absence of awareness of the hazardous effects of such drugs and also to the relatively easy accessibility. This is in agreement with a study performed in Iran, showing that the majority of people seeking tramadol from pharmacies are adolescents/ young adults taking tramadol orally, with the criteria for drug addiction (Zabihi et al., 2011). Most of patients, 33 (66%), were addicted to a tramadol dose of more than 500mg by means of opioid overdose according to previous published data that considered the total daily dose should not exceed 400mg for adult therapeutic blood levels of 0.1-0.8mg/L (Clarot et al., 2003)

The liver function was evaluated through measuring Aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activities and serum bilirubin. The results showed a significantly higher ALT result among tramadol abusers compared with the control group. About 50% of the tramadol abusers (> 5 years abuse) showed abnormal AST and LDH results; and this is in agreement with the findings of a study carried out by Panchenko et al. (1999). A significant increase in the level of ALT and AST was reported among rats that received 40 and 80 mg/kg of tramadol with a pronounced effect caused by the large dose and the duration of drug administration (El-Gaafarawi, 2006). Those findings were similar to previous studies conducted on long-term tramadol treated mice (Borzelleca et al., 1994; Aitic et al., 2005; Elyazji et al., 2013; Rukhshanda et al., 2014).

ALP and billirubin results showed no significant difference between tramadol abuser and control groups except for the chronic abusers (more than 5 years) who showed abnormal levels. This indicates that the long-term use of tramadol intake is more harmful to liver and causes a serious cellular toxicity and a liver failure. Previous histopathological studies indicated the necrosis, vacuolization, central vein dilation, hemorrhage, cytolysis and complete cell membrane degeneration in hepatocytes in tramadol long-term treated mice (Aitic *et al.*, 2005; Rukhshanda *et al.*, 2014).

Moreover, the results of the kidney function tests showed an increase in BUN, uric acid and creatinine levels in samples obtained from the-more-than-5-years tramadol abuser. This suggests that the long-term use of tramadol has negative impacts on kidney functionality. This result is in accordance with Aitic *et al.* (2005) who reported an increase in BUN and creatinine levels in rats with long-term tramadol receiving; others studies reached similar results (Borzelleca *et al.*, 1994; Aitic *et al.*, 2005; Elyazji *et al.*, 2013; Rukhshanda *et al.*, 2014).

#### References

Assis DN and Navarro VJ. 2009. Human drug hepatotoxicity: a contemporary clinical perspective. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol.*, **5**:463–473.

Atici S, Cinel I, Cinel L, Doruk N, Eskandari G, and Oral V. 2005. Liver and kidney toxicity in chronic use of opioids: An experimental long term treatment model. *J Biosci.*, **2**: 245-252.

Brena SF and Sander SH. 1991. Opioids in non-malignant pain: questions in search of answers. *Clin J Pain.*, **7**: 342–345.

Borzelleca JF, Egle LS, Harries DN, et al.1994. Toxicological evaluation mµ-agonists. Part 1: Assessment toxicity following 30 days of repeated oral dosing of male and female rats with levoalpha acetylmethadol HCl (LAAM). *J Apple Toxicol.*, **14**:435-446.

Chandrasekaran D, DeSilva P and Dhatariya K. 2007. An uncommon presentation of a common drug overdose - the dangers of underestimating tramadol. *J Med Sci Res.*, 1:59–61.

Clarot F, Goulle JP, Vaz E and Proust B. 2003. Fatal overdoses of tramadol: is benzodiazepine a risk factor of lethality? *Forensic Sci Int.*, **1**:57–61.

Coughtrie MW, Ask B, Rane A, Burchell B and Hume R. 1989. The enantioselective glucuronidation of morphine in rats and humans. Evidence for the involvement of more than one UDPglucuronosyltransferase isoenzyme. *Biochem Pharmacol.*, **38**:

#### 3273-3280

Dayer P, Desmeules J and Collart L. 1997. Pharmacology of tramadol. *Drugs*. 2:18-24.

El-Gaafarawi I. 2006. Biochemical toxicity induced by tramadol administration in male rats. *Egyptian J Hospital Med.*, **23**:353 – 362.

Elyazji NR, Abdel-Aziz I, Aldalou A and Shahwan O. 2013. The effects of tramadol hydrochloride administration on the hematological and biochemical profiles of domestic male rabbits. *IUG J Natural and Eng Studies*,**21**:51-65.

Gillman PK. 2005. Monoamine oxidase inhibitors, opioid analgesics and serotonin toxicity. *British J Anaesth.*, **4**: 434-441.

Grond S and Sablotzki A. 2004. Clinical pharmacology of treatment, *Clin Pharmacokinet*, **13**: 879-923.

Halling J, Weihe P and Brosen K. 2008. CYP2D6 Polymorphism in relation to tramadol metabolism: a study of Faroese patients. *Therapeutic Drug Monitoring*, **30**: 271-275.

137

Hussaini SH and Farrington EA. 2007. Idiosyncratic druginduced liver injury: an overview. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.*, **6**:673– 684.

Jones D and Story DA. 2005. Serotonin syndrome and the anaesthetist. *Anaesth Intensive Care*, **2**:181–187.

Lee RC, Tavish MC and Sorkin EM. 1993. Tramadol: a preliminary review of its pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties, and therapeutic potential in acute and chronic pain states. *Drugs*, **46**: 313–340.

Matthiessen T, Wöhrmann T, Coogan TP and Uragg H. 1998. The experimental toxicology of tramadol: an overview. *Toxicol Lett.*, **95**:63–71.

McCarberg BH, and Barkin RL. 2001. Long-acting opioids forchronic pain: pharmacotherapeutic opportunities to enhance compliance, quality of life, and analgesia, *Am J Ther.*, **8**:181–186.

Milne RW, McLean CF, Mather LE, Nation RL, Runciman WB, Rutten AJ and Somogyu AA .1997. Influence of renal failure on the disposition of morphine, morphine-3-glucuro-nide and morphine-6-glucuronide in sheep during intrave-nous infusion with morphine. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.*, **282**:779–786

Moore KA, Cina SJ, Jones R, Selby DM, Levine B and Smith ML. 1999. Tissue distribution of tramadol and metabolites in an overdose fatality. *Am J Forensic Med Pathol.*, **1**:98–100.

Panchenko LF, Pirozhkov SV, Nadezhdin AV, Baronets VI and Usmanova NN. 1999. Lipid peroxidation, peroxyl radicalscavenging system of plasma and liver and heart pathology in adolescence heroin users. *Vopr Med Khim.*, **45**:501–506.

Pang WW, Wu HS and Tung CC. 2003. Tramadol 2.5 mg (middle dot) kg<sup>-1</sup>appears to be the optimal intraoperative loading dose before patient-controlled analgesia (French Article). *Can J Anesth.*, **50** (1): 48-51.

Poppers P J. 1980. Hepatic drug metabolism and anesthesia, *Anaesthesist*, **29**: 55–58.

Pothiawala S and Ponampalam R. 2011. Tramadol overdose: A Case Report. *Proc Singapore Healthcare*, **20**: 219-223.

Raffa RB. 1996. A novel approach to the pharmacology of analgesic. *Am J Med.*, **1A**: 40-46.

Raffa RB, Friderichs E, Reimann W, Shank RP, Codd EE, and Vaught JI. 1992. Opioid and non-opioid components independently contribute to the mechanism of action of tramadol,

an "atypical" opioid analgesic. J Pharmacol Exp Ther., 1: 275-285.

Rukhshanda S, Razia I, Muhammad NA, Anum Z, Javed I and Muhammad SA. 2014. Effects of tramadol on histopathological and biochemical parameters in mice (*Mus musculus*) model. *Global J Pharmacol.*, **1**:14-19.

Shadnia S, Soltaninejad K, Heydari K, Sasanian G and Abdollahi M. 2008. Tramadol intoxication: a review of 114 cases. *Hum Exp Toxicol.*, **3**:201–205.

Shi Q, Hong H, Senior J and Tong W. 2010. Biomarkers for drug-induced liver injury. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.*, 2:225–234.

Singhal P C, Sharma P, Sanwal V, Prassad A, Kapasi A, Ranjan R, Franki N, Reddy K, and Gibbons N. 1998. Morphine modulates proliferation of kidney fibroblasts. *Kidney Int.*, **53**: 350–357.

Subrahmanyam V, Renwick AB and Walters DG. 2001. Identification of cytochrome P-450 isoforms responsible for cistramadol metabolism in human liver microsomes. *Drug Metabolism and Disposition*, **29**: 1146-1155.

Tao Q, Stone DJ, Borenstein MR, Codd EE, and Coogan TP. 2002. Differential tramadol and O-desmethy met-abolite levels in brain vs plasma of mice and rats administered tramadol hydrochloride orally. *J Clin Pharm Ther.*, **27**: 99-106.

Tolman KG. 1998. Hepatotoxicity of non-narcotic analgesics. *Am J Med.*, **105**: 13S–19S.

Wilder-Smith CH, Hill L, Osler W and Okeefe S. 1999. Effect of tramadol and morphine on pain and gastrointestinal motor function in patients with chronic pancreatitis, *Digestive Dis Sci.*, **44**:1107-1116.

Wu WN, Mcknown LA, Gauthier AD, Jones WJ, and Raffa RB. 2001. Metabolism of analgesic tramadol hydrochloride in rat and dog. *Xenobiotica*, **31**: 423-441.

Wu WN, Mckown LA and Liao S. 2002. Metabolism of the analgesic drug ULTRAM (tramadol hydrochloride) in humans: API-MS and MS/ MS characterization of metabolites. *Xenobiotica*, **32**: 411-425

Zabihi E, Hoseinzaadeh A, Emami M, Mardani M, Mahmoud B and Ali-Akbar M. 2011. Potential for tramadol abuse by patients visiting pharmacies in northern Iran. *Substance Abuse: Res Treat.*, **5**:11-15.