Bisphenol-A Hepatotoxicity and the Protective Role of Sesame Oil in Male Mice
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Abstract:

The present study is conducted to estimate the protective effects of sesame oil (SO) against bisphenol-A (BPA) induced hepatotoxicity in male mice. BPA (50 mg/kg b wt) was gavages orally to mice once a day for twenty-eight successive days. While, SO was administered to the mice orally at 1 mL/kg b wt. through three regimens (before, with or after) treatment of BPA. After the complementation of treatments, the liver of each sacrificed animal was subject to DNA damage evaluation using alkaline comet assay and histopathological examination. In addition, blood was collected for biochemical assessment of the liver function. Results showed that, BPA significantly reduced the relative liver weight, and caused DNA damage, several histopathological alterations in liver tissue and elevation in ALT and AST compared to the control. On the contrary, oral gavages of SO with BPA was effective in the increase of relative liver weight, amelioration of DNA damage and histopathological alterations, and in the reduction of the liver enzyme levels compared to BPA’s treated mice. Furthermore, the best alleviation of the undesirable effects of BPA appears in the administration of SO to mice before the BPA treatment, followed by after treatment and during or with BPA treatment. In conclusion, the present study provided clear evidence that SO possesses a promising protective activity against the noxious effects of BPA.
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1. Introduction

Bisphenol-A (BPA) is a famous endocrine disruptor fabricated compound (Michalowicz, 2014) widely utilized in the manufacturing of polycarbonates, epoxy resins and thermal paper (Hoeckstra and Simonneau, 2013), food and beverage containers, utensils, baby tools, protective coatings and CD (Maffini et al., 2006; Biedermann et al., 2010).

A huge quantity of BPA is continuously released into the environment through different routes, including sewage, landfill leach and domestic waste combustion (Gassara et al., 2013). Therefore, BPA has become ever-present in the environment (Flint et al., 2012). Healthwise, BPA causes hepatotoxic, mutagenic, reproductive and carcinogenic effects (Doherty et al., 2010; Erler and Novak, 2010; Meeker et al., 2010; Zeinab et al., 2012). Moreover, Lee et al. (2003) stated that BPA induced DNA strand-breaks in mouse lymphoma cells. In addition, it can induce liver, kidney, brain, and other organ injuries by generating reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Bindhumol et al., 2003; Kabuto et al., 2004).

Sesame oil (SO) is obtained from the Sesamum indicum L. seeds that belong to the family of Pedaliaceae. It contains many fatty acids and antioxidants, including sesamin, sesamolin, sesamol, and tocopherol, (Fukuda, 1990). Furthermore, it offers lipid peroxidation, the best protection by increasing nonenzymatic and enzymatic antioxidants (Sankar et al., 2005). Many studies revealed that SO attenuated the hepatotoxicity induced by numerous compounds in experimental animals (Erol et al., 2011; Srinivasan and Liu, 2012; Periasamy et al., 2012; Azab, 2014; Soliman et al., 2015). Whereas, Cengiz et al. (2013) reported that SO treatment was not significantly effective in the mitigation of histopathological disturbances in CCl4 treated rat liver. Therefore, the present research is designed to evaluate the protective effects of SO against the hepatotoxicity induced by BPA in male mice.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals

Bisphenol-A (BPA) (∼ 99 %) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Company (St. Louis, MO, USA). Commercial sesame oil was purchased from EL Captin...
2.2. Dose Preparation

BPA was dissolved in absolute ethyl alcohol (95 %) and diluted with corn oil [1:20 alcohol: corn oil (vehicle)] to obtain a final concentration of BPA (50 mg/kg b wt). It was freshly prepared before use.

2.3. Animals and Treatments

Male Swiss albino mice weighing 26±5 g (10-12 week old) were purchased from Theodor Bilharz Research Institute, Giza, Egypt. They were housed in polypropylene cages (43×30×15cm, 5 mice per cage) with stainless steel covers in the Animal House of Environment and Bioagriculture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University. The animals were kept under controlled temperature (23±4 °C), 50–55 % relative humidity, and a photoperiod of 12 hours of light: 12 hours of dark cycle. After acclimation for two weeks, the animals were randomly divided into seven groups (n = 10) with approximately equal mean body weights. Animals were administered orally for twenty-eight successive days for BPA (50 mg/kg b wt) and/or SO (1mL/kg b wt) either before, with, or after the BPA administration as follows: group one (control); group two (vehicle); group three (SO); group four (BPA); group five (SO before BPA); group six (SO with BPA) and group seven (SO after BPA).

2.4. Oil Analysis

2.4.1. Fatty Acids Analysis

The fatty acid profile was determined as fatty acid methyl esters by Thermo scientific TRACE 1310 gas chromatograph attached with ISQ LT single quadrupole mass spectrometer (GC-MS). The preparation of the fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) was performed according to the procedure of AOAC (2000). A sample of the oils (50 mg) and 1 % H2SO4 in absolute methanol were put in screw-cap vial (2 mL). The vial was covered under a stream of nitrogen before being heated in an oven at 90 °C. Finally, 1µL of the solution obtained was injected into the GC–MS system after the addition of the internal standard. The fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) composition analysis was performed in an Thermo scientific TRACE 1310 gas chromatograph attached with ISQ LT single quadrupole mass spectrometer with DB1, 15m; 0.25mm ID (J&W scientific) capillary column. Helium was used as a carrier gas with a flow rate of 1.5 mL min⁻¹, and the injector temperature was maintained at 200°C. The oven temperature was programmed with an initial temperature of 115°C for one minute and then increased to 280°C by 7.5°C min⁻¹ for three minutes.

2.4.2. Total Phenolic Content

The total phenolic content (TPC) was determined using the Folin–Ciocalteau’s reagent according to the method reported by Lin and Tang (2007) at 760 nm with spectrophotometer (UV-1601; Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan). The quantification was done on the basis of the standard curve of gallic acid concentration ranging between 10 to 80 mg/mL (r² = 0.99).

2.4.3. Determination of the Total Tocopherol (Vitamin E)

High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system (1100 series, Agilent Technologies) was used for the quantification of vitamin E based on a method described by Gimeno et al. (2000). The oil sample was diluted in hexane (1:10). Thereafter, 200 µL was transferred to a screw-capped tube, where 600 ml of methanol and 200 mL of the internal standard solution (300 µg/mL of α-tocopherol acetate in ethanol) were added. After being vortex-mixed and centrifuged (3000 g, 5 min), the samples were filtered through a 0.45 mm pore size filter, and an aliquot of the overlay was directly injected into the chromatograph.

2.4.4. Measurement of the Antioxidant Activity

The ability of SO at 200 µL to scavenge 2.9 mL of 1, 1'-diphenyl 1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radical was estimated by the method of Singh et al. (2002).

2.5. Relative Liver Weight

At the termination of the experiments, livers were dissected out, trimmed of excess fat and weighed before the genetic and histopathological studies took place. The liver weight was presented as relative organ weight as follows:

Relative organ weight = \frac{\text{Absolute organ weight (g)}}{\text{Final body weight (g)}}\times 100

2.6. Evaluation of DNA Damage

2.6.1. Comet Assay in the Liver Cells

The comet assay was performed in the liver cells according to Bandyopadhyaya et al. (2008). Briefly, 50 µL of cell suspension was mixed with 100 µL of 1 % low melting point (LMP) agarose and were added to fully-frosted slides coated with 80 µL of 1 % normal melting point (NMP) agarose. The cells were then incubated in a lysis solution (2.5 mol L⁻¹ NaCl, 100 mmol L⁻¹ EDTA, 10 mmol L⁻¹ Tris-HCL, 1 % Triton X-100, pH 10) at 4 °C for at least two hours, at which the slides were placed into an alkaline solution (300 mmol L⁻¹ NaOH, 1 mmol L⁻¹ EDTA, pH 13) at 4 °C for twenty minutes to allow for the DNA unwinding, and were electrophoresed at 25 V (300 mA) for twenty minutes. Finally, the slides were neutralized in a 400 mmol L⁻¹ Tris buffer (pH 7.5) for fifteen minutes and were stained with ethidium bromide (5 µg mL⁻¹). Images of fifty randomly selected nuclei per experimental group were captured using a fluorescence microscope (Eclipse 800, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). They were analyzed with image analysis software (Comet Assay IV, Perceptive Instruments, Suffolk, UK). The scored parameters included the tail length, DNA percentage in tail and olive tail moment (OTM). Tail length is the maximum distance that the damaged DNA migrates from the center of the cell nucleus. The percentage of DNA in Tail is the DNA content that migrates from the nucleus into the comet tail. OTM is the product of the tail length and percentage of DNA, which gives a more integrated measurement of the overall DNA damage in the cell.
2.7. Histopathological Examination

Liver from each sacrificed mouse was dissected out and trimmed of excess fat tissues. The tissues were fixed in 10 % buffered formalin and were processed for paraffin sectioning by dehydration in different concentrations of alcohol, cleared with xylol, and embedded in paraffin blocks. Sections of about 5µm thick were stained with Harris haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) for a histological study (Delafield, 1984).

2.8. Biochemical Analysis

Aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) liver enzyme activities were measured in serum by the method of Reitman and Frankel (1957).

2.9. Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 16 software. The experimental data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The Duncan's multiple range test was used to determine the significant differences between means. All values were expressed as mean ± SD, and the significance level was set at P≤0.05.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Sesame Oil Discussion

3.1.1. Fatty Acids

The fatty acid composition is an essential indicator of the dietary nutritional value of the oil. As summarized in Table 1, the main fatty acids in SO are palmitic (13.57±2.71), stearic (4.23±0.41), oleic (42.93±3.76) and linoleic (35.77±2.21) in addition to some traces of palmitoleic (0.67±0.25), heptadecanoic (0.43±0.20), linolenic acid (0.73±0.40), arachidic (0.87±0.20) and eicosenoic (0.77±0.15). Hassan (2012) revealed that sesame oil belongs to the oleic–linoleic acid group. It has less than 20 % saturated fatty acid consisting mainly of palmitic and stearic acids. Oleic acid and linoleic acid constitute more than 80 % of the total fatty acids in the sesame seed oil. It has been mentioned that edible oil fatty acids may exert beneficial health effects by the modulation of signaling pathways regulating cell differentiation and proliferation (Lewinska et al., 2015).

3.1.2. Phenolic and Vitamin E Content

Sesame seeds are a rich source of antioxidants and bioactive compounds including phenolics. In addition, among the vitamins of sesame seeds, vitamin E is very essential which makes sesame seeds efficient as healthy food (Pathak et al., 2014). The analysis of sesame oil revealed that SOs phenolic and vitamin E content was (15±2.0) and (6.77±0.77), respectively as shown in Table 1.

3.1.3. Antioxidant Activity

The antioxidant activity measurement showed that sesame oil at 200 µL exhibited an antioxidant activity of 61.03±0.74 as shown in Table 1. Previous studies revealed that the sesame oil possesses a strong antioxidant activity that greatly boosted its applications in the healthy food products because of its efficiency in the protection of the liver and the heart. In fact, sesame oil can be classified as edible oil with a high potential of antioxidant activity (Cheng et al., 2006; Bopitiya and Madhujith, 2013).

Table 1. Chemical composition and antioxidant activity of sesame oil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td>(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitic</td>
<td>13.57±2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitoleic</td>
<td>0.67±0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptadecanoic</td>
<td>0.43±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearic</td>
<td>4.23±0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oleic</td>
<td>42.93±3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linoleic</td>
<td>35.77±2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linolenic</td>
<td>0.73±0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arachidic</td>
<td>0.87±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eicosenoic</td>
<td>0.77±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total phenolic content</td>
<td>15.00±2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E concentration</td>
<td>6.77±0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of antioxidant activity (200 uL)</td>
<td>61.03±0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as means ± SD. Mean values in the same column within each parameter bearing the same superscript do not differ significantly (P ≤ 0.05).

3.2. Relative Liver Weight

3.2.1. Effects of BPA on Relative Liver Weight

According to the data in Table 2, the oral administration of BPA to male mice at a dose of 50 mg/kg b wt for twenty-eight consecutive days caused a significant decrease (p≤0.05) in the relative liver weight (3.19±0.05) compared to the control (3.38±0.05). These results are in agreement with the findings of Hassan et al. (2012) who demonstrated that the oral administration of BPA to male rats at the dose of 0.1 mg/kg/day for four weeks induced a significant decrease in the body weight compared to the control. In addition, previous data demonstrating that exposure to BPA during embryo development and infancy decreased the organ weights of male mice and rats (Kabuto et al., 2004; Ganez et al., 2014).

3.2.2. The Protective Effect of SO against the BPA Effect on Relative Liver Weight

The relative weight of liver of the male mice treated with BPA and SO are summarized in Table 2. Results showed that the oral administration of SO according to the three regimens of treatment caused a significant increase (P≤0.05) in the relative weight of liver compared with the BPA-treated male mice. These results are in agreement with Hussien et al. (2013) who reported that the administration of SO with cypermethrin resulted in significant protection against its toxicity on body and organ weights of rats.
The depletion of antioxidant enzymes (Meeker et al., 1998). The mechanism of BPA genotoxicity technique to measure the DNA strand breaks in the cells by Xin (2012) who found a significant increase in the levels structural chromosomal aberrations in the cells of bone liver cells of male mice treated with BPA were observed (2010). In addition, data clearly illustrated that the treatment of mice with BPA and/or SO for 28 consecutive days obviously alleviated the incidence of DNA damage in brain tissues.

The SO protective effects could be attributed to its lignans compounds namely sesamol, sesamin and sesamolin (Suja et al., 2004), which are responsible for many of the physiological and biochemical properties as antimutagenic and antioxidant (Rong et al., 2005). In addition, these results might be attributed to the antioxidant nature of phenolic compounds in the SO, which have antimutagenic, anticarcinogenic, and anti-inflammatory properties that might potentially be helpful in caring for the genome stability (Xie et al., 2013).

### Table 2. Means and standard deviations of relative liver weight of male mice treated with BPA and/or SO for 28 consecutive days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Relative liver weight(g/100g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3.38±0.05a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>3.39±0.05^d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>3.41±0.04^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPA</td>
<td>3.19±0.05^d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO before BPA</td>
<td>3.34±0.06^bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO with BPA</td>
<td>3.28±0.10^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO after BPA</td>
<td>3.30±0.05^e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as means ± SD. Mean values in the same column within each parameter bearing the same superscript do not differ significantly (P ≤ 0.05).

### 3.3. Genetic Investigation

#### 3.3.1. BPA’s DNA Damage

As shown in Table 3, the mean values of tailed cells, tail length, tail DNA percentage and olive tail moment in liver cells of male mice treated with BPA were significantly increased than the control. These results came to a consensus with Tiwari et al. (2012) who found a significant increase in the micronuclei occurrence, structural chromosomal aberrations in the cells of bone marrow and DNA damage in the lymphocytes of adult rats treated with BPA in various doses (10 µg, 5 mg and 50 mg/kg b w). In addition, a significant increase in the levels of chromosome aberrations and DNA damage in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells exposed to BPA was observed by Xin et al. (2015). The alkaline comet assay is utilized in different research areas as a quantitative and qualitative technique to measure the DNA strand breaks in the cells (Gedik et al., 1998). The mechanism of BPA genotoxicity might work through the oxidative stress induction and the depletion of antioxidant enzymes (Meeker et al., 2010). In addition, the micronuclei induction might be attributed to the BPA aneugenic effect (Hunt et al., 2003; Quick et al., 2008).

#### 3.3.2. The Protective Effects of SO against BPA’s DNA Damage

Significant alleviation (P<0.05) in the mean values of tailed cells, tail length, DNA percentage in tail and olive tail moment as a result of the SO administration through the three regimens of treatment was observed (Table 3). In addition, data clearly illustrated that the treatment of mice with SO before BPA was the best regimen in restoring the observed DNA alterations (in liver tissue) followed by the administration of SO after the BPA treatment whereas, the co-administration of both SO and BPA was the least effective.

Several studies are in harmony with these results, Chattopadhay et al. (2010) who revealed that the co-administration of sesame lignans and nicotine tartrate for fifteen days minimized the percentage of nicotine DNA damage in rat liver. Arunugam and Ramesh (2011) demonstrated that pretreatment with SO showed reduction in DNA damage induced by 4-Nitroquinoline-1-oxide. In addition, Hussien et al. (2013) found that the treatment of female rats with SO and cypermethrin together for thirty consecutive days obviously alleviated the incidence of DNA damage in brain tissues.

The SO protective effects could be attributed to its lignans compounds namely sesamol, sesamin and sesamolin (Suja et al., 2004), which are responsible for many of the physiological and biochemical properties as antimutagenic and antioxidant (Rong et al., 2005). In addition, these results might be attributed to the antioxidant nature of phenolic compounds in the SO, which have antimutagenic, anticarcinogenic, and anti-inflammatory properties that might potentially be helpful in caring for the genome stability (Xie et al., 2013).

### Table 3. Comet assay parameters in liver cells of treated male mice with BPA and/or SO for 28 consecutive days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Tailed cells (%)</th>
<th>Tail length (µm)</th>
<th>Tail DNA (%)</th>
<th>Olive tail moment (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>12.00±1.00^e</td>
<td>7.66±0.80^f</td>
<td>13.53±0.51^g</td>
<td>1.03±0.08^h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>12.73±0.54^c</td>
<td>8.56±0.41^d</td>
<td>13.15±0.22^e</td>
<td>1.12±0.04^f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>12.67±0.57^b</td>
<td>8.40±0.45^c</td>
<td>13.50±0.26^f</td>
<td>1.13±0.05^g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPA</td>
<td>29.43±0.51^a</td>
<td>17.30±1.08^b</td>
<td>19.93±0.58^c</td>
<td>3.63±0.52^d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO before BPA</td>
<td>14.00±1.00^f</td>
<td>11.26±0.30^d</td>
<td>15.03±0.25^e</td>
<td>1.70±0.06^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO with BPA</td>
<td>18.67±0.55^a</td>
<td>13.93±0.31^b</td>
<td>16.76±1.50^c</td>
<td>2.20±0.14^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO after BPA</td>
<td>17.00±1.00^e</td>
<td>12.90±0.10^c</td>
<td>15.16±0.47^c</td>
<td>1.95±0.05^e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as means ± SD. Mean values in the same column within each parameter bearing the same superscript do not differ significantly (P ≤ 0.05).

### 3.4. Histopathological Examination

#### 3.4.1. BPA’s Histopathological Alterations

Oral administration of BPA for twenty-eight consecutive days caused several histopathological alterations in the liver of male mice. The examination of the mice liver sections treated with BPA revealed marked congestion of the hepatic blood vessels as well as marked vacuolar degeneration of the hepatocytes with many necrotic cells (Figure 1 B and C). The investigation of histopathological alterations in the liver treated with BPA, established the genetic findings as well. These data are confirmed by Korkmaz et al. (2010) who noticed hepatic necrosis and congestion in male rats submitted to BPA treatment (25 mg/kg/day) for 50 day. Moreover, Ahmed et al. (2015) found that the treatment with BPA of adult male rats at the dose of 150 mg/kg for seventy consecutive days caused hepatic histopathological alterations.

These histopathological alterations may be related to the induction of DNA damage by BPA in these tissues. Moreover, it has been reported that BPA can cause oxidative damage in rat organs by generating ROS (Chitra et al., 2002; Kabuto et al., 2004). In addition, Sangai and Verma (2012) found that BPA caused changes in the activities of ATPase in the liver and kidney of mice thereby causing a reduction in the ATP production which causes necrosis.

#### 3.4.2. The Protective Effects of SO against BPA’s Histopathological Alterations

The microscopical examination of liver tissues revealed that SO through the three regimens of treatment with BPA
effectively improved the histological alterations induced by BPA especially the regimen of administration of SO before the BPA treatment. Liver histopathological examination of the SO-treated mice for twenty-eight successive days before the BPA treatment showed a mild degree of hepatocellular degeneration and necrosis (Figure 1 D). While, liver sections of the mice co-treated with SO and BPA showed centrilobular necrosis and degeneration of the hepatic cells (Figure 1 E). The examination of the liver sections of SO-treated mice for twenty-eight successive days after the BPA treatment showed congestion of the central veins and blood vessel moderate necrobiotic in the hepatocytes (Figure 1 F).

These findings are in agreement with those of Periasamy et al. (2012) who confirmed the protective effects of SO against monocrotaline-induced liver histopathological changes in rats. In addition, Soliman et al. (2015) noticed that the treatment of rats with SO ameliorated cypermethrin induced degenerative changes in the liver tissue. The protective role of SO may be attributed to the antioxidant nature of vitamin E that can prevent cell oxidative damage by preventing the oxidation of unsaturated fatty acids (Kim et al., 2012). In addition, SO contains active phenolic lignans such as sesamin, sesamol, and sesamolin, which are known as hepato-protective components (Periasamy et al., 2014).

3.5. Biochemical Analysis

Finally, to confirm the protective effects of SO against the histopathological alterations induced by BPA in the liver tissue, serum AST and ALT were determined.

3.5.1. The Effects of Bisphenol A on Liver Enzymes

Results in Table 4 showed that the oral administration of BPA caused a significant increase ($P \leq 0.05$) in serum AST (49.33±1.52) and ALT (42.33±1.52) levels compared to control (29.67±1.15 and 24.67±1.15, respectively). These findings are in conformity with Korkmaz et al. (2010) who revealed that aspartate transaminase (AST) and alanine transaminase (ALT) levels were increased in male rats exposed to BPA (25 mg/kg) for fifty days. The high level of AST and ALT was accompanied by free radical generation and alteration in the liver tissue (Gaskill et al., 2005).

3.5.2. Sesame Oil Protective Effects against BPA’s Liver Dysfunction

Compared to the BPA group, the oral administration of SO through the three regimens of treatment with BPA significantly attenuated the liver enzymes (Table 4). Furthermore, the treatment of mice with SO at regimen before the BPA administration resulted in the best alleviation of the sera ALT and AST levels followed by the administration of oil at regimen after and during the BPA treatment. These findings are in accordance with Periasamy et al. (2012) who reported that SO significantly alleviated the AST and ALT levels in monocrotaline-treated rats.

Table 4. Biochemical measurements in the serum of BPA and/or SO treated male mice for 28 consecutive days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>AST(U/L)</th>
<th>ALT(U/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>29.67±1.15</td>
<td>24.67±1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>31.00±2.00$^e$</td>
<td>23.67±0.57$^e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>27.33±0.57$^e$</td>
<td>24.67±1.15$^e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPA</td>
<td>49.33±1.52$^e$</td>
<td>42.33±1.52$^e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO before BPA</td>
<td>32.00±1.73$^d$</td>
<td>27.67±3.51$^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO with BPA</td>
<td>41.00±2.64$^b$</td>
<td>34.67±2.08$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO after BPA</td>
<td>34.33±4.50$^c$</td>
<td>27.68±5.03$^c$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as means ± SD. Mean values in the same column within each parameter bearing the same superscript do not differ significantly ($P \leq 0.05$).

4. Conclusion

It can be concluded that BPA has the potential to produce genotoxic effects, and histopathological alterations in the liver tissue of male mice in addition to biochemical alterations. Accordingly, strict limitations on the use of this compound must be put especially in food contact materials. Sesame as a valuable seed oil appears to have abundant beneficial properties for applications in food. In addition, it can be considered as an excellent novel and multi-purpose ingredient in several industrial, cosmetic, and pharmaceutical products. Data reported herein illustrated that sesame seed oil can used as an antioxidant to attenuate the hepatotoxicity of BPA. Subsequently, it can be
categorized as edible oil with a high potential of antioxidant activity.
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