Culture Media Comparative Assessment of Common Fig (*Ficus carica* L.) and Carryover Effect

Ibrahim Al- Shomali, Monther T. Sadder^{*}, Ahmad Ateyyeha

Department of Horticulture and Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Jordan, Amman, 11942, Jordan

Received: October 20, 2016 Revised: January 3, 2017 Accepted: January 14, 2017

Abstract

Production of common fig in Jordan has dropped more than 20-folds during the last five decades, due to several challenges, including biotic and abiotic stresses. The present study was conducted to asses a new protocol of shoot and callus development from fig apical buds. Explants of three local genotypes (Khdari, Mwazi and Zraki) were collected and grown in three culture establishment media: Murashige and Skoog (MS), Olive Medium (OM) and Woody Plant Medium (WPM). Two months later, shoot growth and callus development were measured, followed by transplanting into subculturing medium in test tubes with MS media. After additional two months, the same parameters were measured again. The results of the culture establishment showed that the highest shoot growth was obtained with OM and the highest callus development was obtained with WPM. On the other hand, the subculturing in MS medium showed prominent carryover effect of the first inoculation media, where the highest shoot growth was obtained in cultures transplanted from OM and the highest callus development was obtained in cultures transplanted from WPM. The present study delivers an improved protocol for establishment of common fig by tissue culture.

Keywords: Fig, in vitro, media, carryover effect.

1. Introduction

Common fig (Ficus carica L.) is one of the earliest cultivated plants. Fig fruits are known for their favorable taste and richness in nutrients and pharmacological compounds (Moon et al., 1997; Dhage et al., 2012). Common fig is native to the Mediterranean region, including Jordan (Sadder and Ateyyeh, 2006). However, the production of common fig in Jordan has dropped more than 20-folds during the last five decades. In addition, the production of common fig faces many challenges including biotic and a biotic stresses (drought, salinity, alkalinity, soil borne diseases and nematodes), (FAOSTAT, 2015). In Jordan, twelve local landraces are cultivated. Among which Khdari, Zraki and Mwazi are the most preferred and, together, they represent around 64% of the total cultivated fig in Jordan (Almugrabi and Anfoka, 2000). Increasing salinity has a negative effect on the number of shoot, shoot length and fresh and dry weight of common fig in vetro (Qrunfleh et al.). The number of fruits per shoot in the second crop of Khdari, Zraki and Mwazi are characterized by low, intermediate and high, respectively (Ateyyeh and Sadder, 2006b). The Zraki landrace produce the largest fig fruits in Jordan (up to 27.6 g) that are famous for their purple skin, while both Mwazi

and Khdari have green fruit skin color (Ateyyeh and Sadder, 2006a).

Over the last few decades, tissue culture techniques have been used for rapid and large-scale propagation of a number of fruit trees (Bajaj, 1986; Zimmerman, 1986). The propagation by conventional method of (cuttings and grafting) is limited and slow. As those pieces can be obtained only from upright branches, which results in poor rooting and only 20–30% of the cuttings survive (Kumar *et al.*, 1998).

In vitro culture of Ficus species has been widely studied as an alternative method for mass-scale production and high quality planting material (Rout et al., 2006). The successful results were obtained from using apical buds and shoots tip (Hepaksoy et al., 2006). The Murashige and Skoog (MS) (1962) culture medium is basically and widely used for plant tissue culture. Its components of salts are responsible for significant gains in tissue and cell development and growth. Additional media were also available for woody plants. The Woody Plant Medium (WPM) developed by Lloyd and McCown (1981) is the second most used medium for in vitro cultivation of woody species. It was developed for culturing shoots of woody plants and has found widespread use in the propagation of bushes and trees. WPM was shown to be beneficial for micropropagation of common fig (Brum, 2001; Mustafa et al., 2016). The olive medium (OM) is

^{*} Corresponding author. e-mail: sadderm@ju.edu.jo.

another medium developed for woody plants and utilized for micropropagation of olives (Rugini, 1984).

Most published articles of micropropagation of common fig focused on studying the effects of different concentrations of plant growth regulators on *in vitro* plant growth and development. However, the literature lacks comparative studies assessing multiple media. Therefore, we initiated this study to assess the effect of different media while ignoring the plant growth regulators' effects. Moreover, we are trying to move forward to build a strong tissue culture protocol for fig transplant production in Jordan.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Explants Material

Healthy shoot cuttings with apical buds were collected from fig trees, which were planted in Shafa Badran Agricultural Station, the University of Jordan (32°3'36"N 35°55'22"E). Around 60-70 shoot cuttings (15 cm long) were utilized from each landrace of Mwazi, Zraki, and Khdari. Cuttings were transported in water for 1 hour. Apical buds were excised from the shoot cuttings and directly submerged in citric acid solution (1.5 mg/l). The explants were surface-sterilized with absolute ethanol for one min. Thereafter, the buds were surface-sterilized with commercial bleach (1.625% sodium hypochlorite) and few drops of tween-20 (Sigma, USA) for 15 min with continuous shaking. The samples were washed with sterile distilled water three times.

2.2. Culture Media

In the present study, three types of media were utilized, the first one was full strength MS medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962), the second was WPM (Lloyd and McCown, 1981) and the third was OM (Rugini, 1984). In addition, all media contained 30 g/l sucrose and 1 mg/l 6-Benzylaminopurine (BAP) (Sigma, USA), the media were distributed in Petri dishes (20 ml each). The pH was adjusted in the range between (5.7-5.9) using 1 N NaOH and 1 N the HCl. The media were solidified with 1.2 g/l agar, and were sterilized by autoclaving at 121°C and 15 psi for 20 min.

2.3. Culture Establishment

The buds were inoculated in dishes. Surface sterilized buds were cultured on the surface of the three media working under aseptic conditions in laminar flow hood. Cultures were maintained in the growth chamber under a daily photoperiod of 16/8 (light/dark) provided by cool white fluorescent light and $23\pm2^{\circ}$ C for two months.

2.4. Subculturing

After culture establishment, shoots and callus were transplanted to test tubes containing 10 ml MS medium for each tube in highly aseptic condition. The cultures were placed in the growth chamber under the same conditions used for culture establishment for additional two months.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

For culture establishment, explants were planted *in vitro* in 7 dishes (replications); each dish containing three buds in a Completely Randomized Design (CRD). Same design was maintained for subculturing. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 22 (2013) statistical analysis program. Means were separated by Tukey HSD with p < 0.05.

The shoot and callus development were evaluated at the end of both culture establishment and subculturing stages. Growth scales were used to assess callus development for size ($1 = < 5 \text{ mm}^2$, $2 = 5 \cdot 10 \text{ mm}^2$, $3 = 10 \cdot 15 \text{ mm}^2$, $4 = 15 \cdot 20 \text{ mm}^2$, $5 = 20 \cdot 25 \text{ mm}^2$) and shoot growth for height (1 = < 1 cm, $2 = 1 \cdot 2 \text{ cm}$, $3 = 2 \cdot 3 \text{ cm}$, $4 = 3 \cdot 4 \text{ cm}$, $5 = 4 \cdot 5 \text{ cm}$).

3. Results

3.1. Culture Establishment

Three different common fig landraces were used to obtain apical bud explants. Both callus development (Fig. 1.A) and shoot growth (Fig. 1.B) was achieved for the majority of cultures explants. Some cultures developed limited phenol oxidation in the medium surrounding the explant. However, it did not affect growth.



Figure 1. Culture establishment of common fig using bud explants 60 days old. A. Callus development in MS media, B. Shoot growth in WPM media, (Scale bar = 1 cm)

After two months of explant inoculation, when the data were combined, shoot growth in the landrace Khdari showed no significant differences between the three investigated media, whereas callus development was more prominent utilizing WPM when compared with the two other media (Table 1). For the Mwazi landrace, the highest significant shoot growth was achieved using OM compared to either MS or WPM. On the other hand, WPM gave the highest callus development compared to other media (Table 1). The shoot growth of the third landrace, Zraki, was not significantly different between the three investigated media, although the highest mean value was recorded for OM. The highest callus development in Zraki was achieved using WPM and the smallest was achieved using MS medium, while the OM resulted in an intermediate callus development which is not significantly different from those achieved by either WPM or MS medium (Table 1).

Table (1) Effect of different culture media on shooting and callusdevelopment of three different fig varieties namely; Khadri,Mwazi and zraki Means \pm SD, Means followed by the differentletters within the column are significantly different according toTukey test at P ≤ 0.05

	Khdari		
Medium	MS	OM	WPM
Shoot growth	1.5 ^a ±0.7	$3.09^{a}\pm0.7$	$2.2^{a}\pm1.2$
Callus development	$1.5^{b}\pm0.7$	$1.54^{b}\pm0.7$	$3.0^{a}\pm0.65$
	Mwazi		
Medium	MS	OM	WPM
Shoot growth	$1.87^{b} \pm 1.12$	$3.33^{a}\pm0.5$	$1.54^{b}\pm0.68$
Callus development	1.5 ^b ±0.75	$1.0^{b}\pm0.0$	$2.81^{a}\pm0.75$
	Zraki		
Medium	MS	OM	WPM
Shoot growth	$2.0^{a}\pm1.0$	3.5 ^a ±0.7	$2.0^{a}\pm0.86$
Callus development	$1.2^{b}\pm0.44$	$1.5^{ab}\pm0.7$	$2.22^{a}\pm0.44$

When the data was combined for all three common fig landraces, the significantly highest shoot growth was achieved for OM (Fig. 2), which is more than 30% greater than the shoot growth achieved by either of the remaining media. In contrast, the combined callus development data for all three landraces, showed significantly highest figure for explants cultures over WPM with almost one-fold increase as compared to either calli grown over MS medium or OM (Fig. 2).



Figure 2. Effect of culture media on shoot and callus development of three different fig varieties of Jordan. Data represent means of all three landraces combined. Columns having different letters are significantly different

Furthermore, shoot growth showed no significant differences when comparing between the three landraces using combined data of all media (Fig. 3). On the other hand, the landrace Khdari revealed the most prominent callus development from bud explants, while the smallest development was recorded for Zraki common fig landrace (Fig. 3)



Figure 3. Effect of common fig landrace on shoot growth and callus development (Data represents means of all three culture media combined). Columns having similar letters are significantly not different

3.2. Subculturing

After culture establishment, the same explants were further transplanted into fresh MS medium to test the carryover effect of various culture media (Fig. 4). After a while the old shoots started to become discolored and died, however, new shoots grew from the bud base and those were considered for data measurement.



Figure 4. Shoot and Callus development of Mwazi buds after 60 days of .subculture. (Scale bar = 1 cm)

Two more months later, shoot growth in the landrace Khdari was highest in cultures transplanted from OM followed by WPM and then MS medium, with 3.5, 2.75 and 1.5, respectively (Table 2). Meanwhile, callus development was more progressive in cultures transplanted from WPM with at least 1.5-fold increase compared to the two other media. The shoot growth in the landrace Mwazi was highest in cultures transplanted from OM followed by MS medium and then WPM, with 4.12, 2.9 and 2.18, respectively (Table 2). On the other hand, there were no significant differences for callus development for cultures transplanted from any media. Likewise, Zraki shoot growth was highest in cultures transplanted from OM followed by MS medium and then WPM, with 3.6, 2.33 and 2.09, respectively (Table 2). The highest callus development in Zraki was achieved in cultures transplanted from WPM with more than 2-fold increase compared to cultures transplanted from either MS medium or OM.

Table (2) Media carryover effect on shoot growth and callus development of transplanted cultures. Means \pm SD, Means followed by the different letters within the column are significantly different according to Tukey test at P \leq 0.05

	Khdari		
Previous medium	MS	OM	WPM
Shoot growth	1.25 ^b ±0.35	$3.5^{a}\pm1.18$	2.75 ^{ab} ±0.65
Callus development	$1.5^{b}\pm0.7$	$1.33^{b}\pm 0.51$	$3.87^{a}\pm1.12$
	Mwazi		
Previous medium	MS	ОМ	WPM
Shoot growth	2.9 ^{ab} ±0.87	4.12 ^a ±0.62	2.18 ^b ±1.13
Callus development	$2.2^{a}\pm1.03$	$1.5^{a}\pm0.57$	2.12 ^a ±083
	Zraki		
Previous medium	MS	ОМ	WPM
Shoot growth	2.33 ^b ±0.75	3.60 ^a ±0.54	2.09 ^b ±0.7
Callus development	1.33 ^b ±0.51	1.1 ^b ±0.22	3.09 ^a ±0.7

When the data were combined again for all three common fig landraces, the significantly highest shoot growth was achieved for cultures transplanted from OM (Fig. 5), which is this time around 40% greater than the shoot growth achieved from cultures transplanted from by either of the remaining media. In contrast, the combined callus development data for all three landraces showed significantly highest figure for explants cultures transplanted from WPM with almost one-fold increase as compared to either calli grown over MS medium or OM (Fig. 5).



Figure 5. Effect of culture media before subculture on shoot growth and callus development (Data represents means of all three landraces combined). Columns having similar letters are significantly not different

Moreover, shoot growth showed no significant differences when comparing between the three landraces using combined data from cultures transplanted from all media (Fig. 6). Likewise, no significant differences were recorded between common fig landraces for callus development from bud explants transplanted from all cultures (Fig. 6).



Figure 6. Effect of common fig landrace on shoot growth and callus development (Data represents means of cultures transplanted from all three media combined). Columns having similar letters are significantly not different

4. Discussion

When comparing the results of culture establishment data (Fig. 2) with culture subculture data (Fig. 5) after transplanting the cultures, the highest means of shoot growth was obtained in OM (3.18) and (3.7) for establishment and subculture, respectively , and in the same time the highest means of callus development was clear by

using WPM (2.74) and (3.03)for establishment and subculture, respectively. Similar trends can be found, emphasizing the carryover effect of initial culture medium. This is clear for shoot growth, which was outstanding in OM during culture establishment and after transplanting to new medium from OM. Similarly, callus development was outstanding in WPM during culture establishment and after transplanting to new medium from WPM.

MS, Knudson, WPM, and White and B5 media were assessed for micropropagation of common fig (Brum, 2001). Each medium was tested with four levels of sucrose (0, 15, 30 and 45 g/l). The results indicated that WPM supplemented with 20 g sucrose gave a high number of shoots and excellent growth of roots. Consequently, other reports have recommended WPM for common fig micropropagation (Fráguas *et al.*, 2004a; Fráguas *et al.*, 2004b; Mustafa *et al.*, 2016).

However, our results (Figs. 2 and 5) show that OM is a much better alternative to WPM. In olives, highest shoot regeneration was achieved from OM medium supplemented with thidiazuron or zeatin (Rugini, 1984; Mencuccini and Rugini, 1993; Zacchani and De Agazion, 2004). Unfortunately, only limited initiatives have assessed the potential of OM for woody plants other than olives. Shoot establishment and proliferation were achieved in guava cultured over OM (Papadatou *et al.*, 1990). In addition, OM was found to stimulate the development of new branches in *Juniperus phoenicea* (Loureiro *et al.*, 2007).

Our comparative investigation of MS medium, OM and WPM is basically a comparison of relative concentrations of macro- and micro-element as correlated to in vitro establishment of common fig. Important differences can be noticed when comparing the element concentrations in OM (Rugini, 1984), WPM (Lloyd and McCown, 1981) and MS medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962). Boric acid concentration is almost twice in OM (12.2 mg/l) compared to either WPM or MS medium (6.2 mg/l). Boron (B), complexes with mannitol, mannan, polymannuronic acid, and other constituents of cell walls, is involved in cell elongation and nucleic acid metabolism (Taiz and Zeiger, 2002). Furthermore, magnesium sulfate concentration is four times higher in OM (732 mg/l) compared to both of MS and WPM (180.7 mg/l). Magnesium is required by many enzymes involved in phosphate transfer and is a major constituent of the chlorophyll molecule (Taiz and Zeiger, 2002). Moreover, potassium phosphate (Monobasic) concentration in OM (340 mg/l) is twice the level in either MS medium or WPM (170 mg/l). Phosphorus is component of sugar phosphates, nucleic acids, nucleotides, coenzymes, phospholipids and phytic acid. It has a key role in energy transfer reactions (Taiz and Zeiger, 2002). Another major difference is the higher concentration of zinc sulfate (Heptahydrated) in OM (14.3 mg/l) compare with the other two media (8.6 mg/l). Zn is a glutamic constituent of alcohol dehydrogenase, dehydrogenase, carbonic anhydrase (Taiz and Zeiger, 2002). Therefore, such elevated concentrations of these various elements in OM would be more beneficial in fulfilling shoot growth requirements in common fig as compared to WPM or MS medium.

Our data show that the WPM media gave the highest callus production in all common fig landraces investigated in this study (Khdari, Zraki and Mwazi). This effect was further emphasized by the carryover effect on callus development of cultures transplanted from WPM compared to the other two media. This would be also promoted by the BAP supplied in our medium. BAP is one form of routinely applied cytokinins in plant micropropagation. They promote division, elongation and differentiation, delaying plant senescence, promote the breaking of the apical dominance and induce proliferation of axillary shoots (Taiz and Zeiger, 2002). Although BAP is the most widely used cytokinin, this does not mean it is ideal for all species. Similar to our results, callus development was found to be promoted in common fig using WPM supplemented with BAP (Fráguas et al., 2004b). The use of kinetin in the culture medium decreased the formation of callus in common fig (Jordan and Iturriaga, 1980). However, good callus induction was shown in the MS medium supplemented with 0.4 mg/l kinetin and 4.0 mg/l 2, 4-D (Danial et al., 2014). On the other hand, callus development is concentration dependent, fresh and dry callus weights were increased linearly with the increasing kinetin concentrations (Fráguas et al., 2004a).

Callus induction by WPM was also reported for other plant species, such as in **Barringtonia** racemosa (Behbahani et al., 2011) when compared with cultures grown over other media, like MS and B5. Furthermore, a fast callus growth was achieved by WPM medium with 2, 4-D. Farzinebrahimi et al. (2014) also found high percentage of callus formation with best dry and fresh weights to be formed on WPM supplemented with 2,4-D and NAA in Gardenia jasminoides Ellis. In fact, the concentration of various elements of three media investigated in our study, we can notice an elevated concentration of manganese sulfate in WPM (22.3 mg/l) as compared to both OM and MS medium (16.9 mg/l). In addition, Thiamine-HCl levels are 1.0, 0.1 and 0.5 mg/l in WPM, MS medium and OM, respectively. These important constituents with elevated levels in WPM may explain the promoting ability for callus development more than the other media. Thiamine was recorded to be essential for callus formation in other plant species including soybean (Ikeda et al., 1976) and date palm (Al-Kayri, 2001).

5. Conclusion

Our results revealed the importance of the medium per se and how much it could affect shoot growth and callus development. The data showed that the OM is more suitable for shoot growth of apical bud in common fig than either WPM or MS medium. On the other hand, WPM media were found to be crucial for callus induction and subculturing for different fig landraces. Moreover, it is important to consider the carryover effect of initial inoculation medium on both subsequent tissue growth and development.

6. Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the Deanship of Academic Research at the University of Jordan for funding this research.

References

Al Mugrabi KI, Anfoka GH. 2000. Distribution of fig mosaic in Jordan, *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* **39**: 263-270

Al-Khayri JM. 2001. Optimization of Biotin and Thiamine Requirements for Somatic Embryogenesis of Date Palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.). *In Vitro* Cellular & Developmental Biology. *Plant*, **37(4)**: 453-456.

Ateyyeh AF and Sadder MT. 2006a. Growth Pattern and fruit characteristics of six common fig (*Ficus carica* L.) cultivars in Jordan. *Jordan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **2**: 105-112.

Ateyyeh AF and Sadder MT. 2006b. Preliminary study on the vegetative and reproductive growth of six common fig (*Ficus carica* L.) cultivars in Jordan. *Jordan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **2**: 1-7.

Bajaj YPS. 1986. Biotechnology in agriculture and forestry, vol 1.Trees I. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York

Behbahani M, Shanehsazzadeh M and Hessami MJ. 2011. Optimization of callus and cell suspension cultures of *Barringtonia racemosa* (Lecythidaceae family) for lycopene production. *Scientia Agricola*, **68**(1): 69-76.

Brum GR. 2001. Micropropagação da figueira (Ficus carica L.) 'Roxo de Valinhos' Dissertação (Mestrado em Fitotecnia). M.Sc. Thesis, Universidade Federal de Lavras, Brazil.

Danial GH, Ibrahim DA, Brkat SA and Khalil BM. 2014. Multiple Shoots Production from Shoot tips of fig tree (Ficus carica L.) and callus induction from leaf segments. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences and Technology* **20(1)**: 117-124.

Dhage SS, Pawar BD, Chimote VP, Jadhav AS and Kale AA. 2012. *In vitro* callus induction and plantlet regeneration in fig (ficus carica L), *Journal of Cell and Tissue Research*, **12(3)**: 3395-3400.

FAOSTAT (2015) <httn://www.fao.org>.

Farzinebrahimi R, Taha RM, Rashid K and Yaacob JS. 2014. The effect of various media and hormones via suspension culture on secondary metabolic activities of (Cape Jasmine) *Gardenia jasminoides* Ellis. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014: Article ID 407284.

Fráguas CB, Pasqual M and Pereira AR. 2004a. Multiplicação *in vitro* DE *Ficus carica* L.: efeito da cinetina e do ácido giberélico. *Ciência e Agrotecnologia*, **28**(1): 49-55.

Fráguas CB, Pasqual M, Dutra LF and Cazetta JO. 2004b. Micropropagation of fig (*Ficus carica* L.)'Roxo de Valinhos' plants." *In Vitro Cellular and Developmental Biology-Plant* **40**(5): 471-474.

Hepaksoy S and Aksoy U. 2006. Propagation of *Ficus carica* L. clones by *in vitro* culture, *Biologica Plantrum* **50** (3): 433-436.

Ikeda M, Ojima K and Ohira K. 1976. The thiamine requirement for callus formation from soybean hypocotyl. *Plant Cell Physiol* **17(5)**: 1097-1098.

Kumar V, Radha A and Kumar Chitta S. 1998. In vitro plant regeneration of fig (Ficus carica L. cv. gular) using apical buds from mature trees, *Plant Cell Reports* **17**: 717–720

Lloyd G and McCown BH. 1981. Commercially-feasible micropropagation of Mountain Laurel, *Kalmia latifolia*, by shoot tip culture. *Proc. Int. Plant Prop. Soc.* **30**:421-427.

Loureiro J, Capelo A, Brito G, Rodriguez E, Silva S, Pinto G and Santos C. 2007. Micropropagation of *Juniperus phoenicea* from adult plant explants and analysis of ploidy stability using flow cytometry. *Biologia Plantarum* **51**: 7-14.

Mencuccini M and Rugini E. 1993. In vitro shoot regeneration from olive cultivar tissues . *Plant cell ,tissue and organ culture* (32) 283-288

Moon CK, Kim YG and Kim YM. 1997. Studies on the bioactivities of the extractives from Ficus carica. *J Inst Agric Res Util* **31**: 69-79

Murashige T and Skoog F. 1962. A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiol. Plant.* **15**: 473-497.

Mustafa NS, Hassan SAM, Taha RA and Zayed NS. 2016. Studies on the behavior of proliferated shoots and roots of two fig cultivars in vitro. *International Journal of ChemTech Research* **9(7):** 1-7.

Papadatou P, Pontikis CA, Ephtimiadou E and Lydaki M. 1990. Rapid multiplication of guava seedlings by in vitro shoot tip culture. *Scientia Horticulturae* **45**: 99-103. Qrunfleh M, Shatnawi M, Al-Ajlouni Z .(2013) Effect of different concentrations of carbon source, salinity and gelling agent on *in vitro* growth of fig (*Ficus carica* L.)

African Journal of Biotechnology Vol. 12(9), pp. 936-940,

Rout GR, Mohapatra A and Mohan Jain A. 2006. Tissue culture of ornamental pot plant: A critical review on present scenario and future prospects *Biotechnology Advances* 24(6):531-60

Rugini E. 1984. In vitro propagation of some olive (*Olea europaea* L.) cultivars with different root-ability and medium development using analytical data from developing shoot and embryo *Scientia Horticulturae* 24(2):123-134

Sadder MT and Ateyyeh AF. 2006. Molecular assessment of polymorphism among Jordanian genotypes of the common fig (*Ficus carica L.*). *Scientia Horticulturae* **107**: 347-351.

Taiz L and Zeiger E. 2002. Plant Physiology 3ed edition Chp 5 (69).

Zacchani M and De Agazion M. 2004. micropropagation of local olive cultivar for germplasm preservation *.Biologia plantram* **48(4)**:589-592.

Zimmerman RH (1986) Propagation of fruit, nut and vegetable crops – overview. In: Zimmerman RH, Griesbach RJ, Hammerschlag FA, Lawson RH (eds) Tissue culture as a plant production system for horticultural crops, Martinus-Nijhoff, Dordrecht, pp 183–200.